Copyright in this document is reserved to the Crown in right of the State of Western Australia. Reproduction of this document (or part thereof, in any format) except with the prior written consent of the Commissioner of the Corruption and Crime Commission Act is prohibited.

CORRUPTION AND CRIME COMMISSION

OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ACTING COMMISSIONER SCOTT ELLIS

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

AT PERTH ON TUESDAY, 24 NOVEMBER 2020, AT 9.43 AM

COUNSEL:

MS NADIA PANTANO

WITNESS: ANTONY DAVID HASSALL

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Please be seated.

HASSALL, ANTONY DAVID CALLED AT 09.43 AM:

THE ASSOCIATE: The Commission is conducting a number of examinations for the purposes of an investigation under the Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003. The scope and purpose of the Commission investigation is to enable the Commission to examine how culture contributes to serious misconduct in Hakea and other prisons in Western Australia. Before your examination begins, it is necessary for you to take an affirmation. Please stand, take the card in your right hand and read the affirmation out loud.

HASSALL, ANTONY DAVID AFFIRMED AT 09.44 AM:

THE ASSOCIATE: Thank you. You may be seated.

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Mr Hassall, I see that you have received and signed the notice to witnesses?---Yes, sir.

And the Commission has appointed Ms Pantano as counsel assisting the Commission. She will ask questions on behalf of the Commission.

Yes, Ms Pantano?

PANTANO, MS: Mr Hassall, can you please state your full name?---Yeah, Antony David Hassall.

And you are currently the Commissioner for Corrective Services?---That's correct. I'm currently on leave before I retire, but yes.

And what's your final day of service?---It's sometime next year, still to be determined. I've got some leave to take, and they're just working it out, but I actually leave Australia on 27 December.

 And what has been your function as commissioner?---To oversight the day-to-day management and operations of prisons, youth justice and Community Corrections, and also make sure that policies are implemented and the system is run efficiently and effectively.

Okay. And how long have you held that role for?---I was appointed on an acting basis in April 2017, and substantively appointed in May 2018.

And you've told us a little bit about your functions. Can you tell us in just a little more detail about exactly what

24/11/20

HASSALL, A.D. (Public Examination)

your role entails?---So obviously, the day-to-day management, which is - I have four deputy commissioners that help me discharge that duty, one that's responsible for adult male prisons, one that's responsible for youth justice and the women's estate, and one for offender services - and what I mean by that is rehabilitation, education, programs and health. And the other one is operational support, so security, intelligence, emergency response.

8 9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46 47

48

49

50

51

2

3

5

6

7

you attended a public examination at Mr Hassall, Commission back on 10 February of this year, following the publication of several Commission reports, and also the publication of several Commission reports, and you gave evidence in relation to a number of issues, particularly around changes that the department were making on a whole range of topics. So throughout this examination I may refer back to some of the evidence that you gave during that examination. And the first thing I want to take you to is that, back in February, you identified that Hakea Prison in particular had not been complying with the current policy of ensuring that all use of force incidents were being reviewed in a timely manner, and you said that they had failed to send their reviews to the central use of force committee for further oversight, and that you had now assigned two staff full-time to Hakea Prison to review all outstanding use of force incidents and reports, and that the local committee would report twice weekly to ensure future compliance. I just want to ask you about that, and what feedback or commentary you can provide today about that process that was undertaken at Hakea?---Yes. Thank you. That's correct, so as part of the reform program we have what's called a COPP project, which is the Commissioner's Operating Policies and Procedures, which was - I think the evidence I gave to the Commission before was about renewing all of our rules and guidance to staff. One of the main ones was the use of force. So that - COPP has been implemented across the State, and all of them will be done by the end of this year. that COPP, there's guidance, if you like, to staff about they should and shouldn't do, and then superintendents are supposed to manage any use of force incidents, and it reminded me Hakea hadn't been doing their use of force. They've caught up with the - the backlog of those reviews, although I have to say, when we had a look at that, what we - what we discovered, if you like, was that the reviews that are done locally by the superintendent, which is fine, and then it gets sent up, and it stays within the same chain of command, if you like, so really, the oversight there could have been better, the governance, that is in the process of being moved to one of the other divisions, the operational support division, so the reviews will be done at the prison, and then to provide some

additional scrutiny and oversight to make sure that that backlog doesn't occur again, the review process will be done by the operational support deputy commissioner, who also then chairs the use of force committee

5

Okay, so - - -?---There was a bit of a weakness, I guess I'm saying, in the process.

7 8 9

Okay. So who was originally conducting that oversight function?---It would be the Deputy Commissioner, Adult Male Prisons, and he had - I think it was an assistant superintendent is the grade doing the reviews.

12 13

10

11

Okay. And the weakness was in that system, which has now been moved?---It's in the process of being, it's all in the same line management, so if it's - I guess, the way I would describe it, you've got - you're marking your own homework, so to speak.

19

20 So no real sort of independence, I guess?---No. Correct.

21

22 So it's now been shifted to the operational support division?---It's in the process of being moved, yeah.

2425

26

27

Okay. And when do you expect that that process will fully eventuate?---Look, I haven't been in the office for a week. I would be surprised if it hasn't been done. It's just an administrative move.

28 29 30

31

Okay. And who's responsible for ensuring that move takes place in your absence?---Well, Mike Reynolds is the Acting Commissioner, so it would be him.

32 33

34 We've heard a bit over this last week, and obviously 35 throughout the Commission's entire investigation, about 36 cultural issues, particularly at Hakea, but around the wider 37 prison organisation. What's your awareness, Mr Hassall, of 38 cultural issues within Hakea particularly?---Well, my - what 39 I've experienced in my career is large prisons, whether 40 they're here or in the UK, which is where I started my 41 career, they have transient prison populations, have 42 generally - and I'm generalising here, poor staff-prisoner 43 relations, for a number of reasons. Staff don't get to build those productive relationships with prisoners. 44 They also 45 tend to be highly unionised, and there - that creates management issues. And I think what I've seen at Hakea over 46 47 my time here is no different to what I've seen elsewhere, 48 and I think what I would say to the Commission is - culture 49 always a symptom of something, whether it's weak 50 management, whether it's the way the prison operates, or it's the role of the function of the prison. What I - my51

view on Hakea is - I'll probably go back to 2013, 14 and 15, the prison population increased significantly. For the want 2 of a better way of describing it, Hakea became a warehouse. 3 4 There was additional accommodation put into that prison. was severely overcrowded, and then if the system treats 6 prisoners in that way, then there's a - there's always a 7 small number of staff, as disappointing as it is, that will 8 capitalise on that and I think that's what happened. 9 other thing I - I would say is what I've learnt over my 10 career is that the superintendent, whoever is in charge of 11 the prison, sets the tone and the culture. And one of the most disappointing things, I think, around Hakea is over the 12 13 last 10 years I think there's been 13 superintendents. Now, 14 in the last two years we've had some stability and we've had 15 a good senior management team there. But all of those create 16 that environment, if you like, and if you - if you have an 17 environment that sort of prisoners are dehumanised in a way, then there'll be a small number of staff that monopolise 18 19 that. I'm not condoning that behaviour. I'm just trying to 20 put it into a bit of context.

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30 31

32 33 You said that in your experience prisons largely - or, sorry, they're often highly unionised and that that presents issues. What issues do you see that

presenting?---Well, I think, look, I - I have no issue with unions in the workplace. I think we live in a democracy and that's a good thing. As I said, those large prisons where you have very transient prisoner populations they do seem to have a stronger grip if you like, more influence than you would possibly want and I think in this State you - again you have to look back at history where, you know, I - some of that management prerogative, if you like, has been ceded to the union. I go back to 2010, if I could give the Commissioner an example?

34 35 36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46 47

48

49

Sure?---Staffing level agreements in prisons. Now, in under the Prisons Act the superintendent is responsible for the good order and - of the prison so you would - it would be fair to conclude from that that he or she should set the staffing levels and how staff are deployed in the prison. In 2010, for some reason we - there - there was a process whereby that had to be by agreement with the union. therefore the unions have won - the Prisoner Officers' Union has a disproportioned influence over the staffing of a gaol. So management has ceded some prerogative and over the years that scope creep, if you like, has just progressed. what we've been doing over the last two years we've - we tried to claw that back but you have to do it carefully, thoughtfully and, you know, make sure you've got the right staff to do that and we - we started that process.

Tell me a little bit more about how you're attempting to claw - sorry, before we get into that what. What are you what are you suggesting that you need to - or the Department should be clawing back?---Well, I think you start with the premise that working with a trade union in a productive manner is a good thing because you get better outcomes and I think that's the premise that I have tried to approach my role as the Commissioner and where we've done that we've had good outcomes. And the union's role, in my view - and I say this as I started on my career I was a union official - is in three aspects. One is representing their staff, as I saw, you know, that - what you're looking at through this hearing. The second one then is workplace health and safety issues and the third one then would be, in this context, the Industrial Agreement. And I have no issues where, you know, there's robust discussions about industrial agreements but as I've said, management has to have the right to manage the At the end of the day, the superintendent has that power under the law.

19 20 21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

2

3

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

So we — and what sort of brought this to the forefront is a couple of years ago we were having a — I had a meeting and I asked the IR team around staffing levels, we were having issues around overtime, rolling lockdowns, which will compromise the safety of the prison if they're not done—implemented properly and my question was quite a simple question. It was what has precedence, the staffing—level agreement or the legislation and the view was the staffing—level agreement. Well, that cannot be right and that indicated to me that there was a cultural problem in headquarters about how their view of that relationship worked.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

So we - we - I appointed an assistant commissioner that reports to me, that does strategic IR. So if you imagine the structure of the Department you've got, for the want of a better way of describing it, sitting in HR corporate services transactional IR which were all the sort of day-to-day HR stuff but the more strategic stuff, managing the Industrial Agreements, trying to get our industrial relations with the union back onto what I would call a more businesslike footing, that is managed out of the Commissioner's office and it's those - - -

43 44

Out of the Commissioner's office, sorry, did you say?---Yes, sorry.

47

48 Yes? Sorry, continue?---And it's those areas, you know, 49 where - around staffing deployment, how staff are deployed 50 in the prisons, where, you know, I can quite understand superintendents' frustration over the years where they've had very little control in that space.

2 3 4

6

7

8

1

So other than appointing an assistant commissioner to deal with the more strategic matters and you identified that there needs to - it needs to be more businesslike, when you say more businesslike that's - are you talking about the relationship between Corrective Services and the union?---Correct.

9 10 11

12 13

1415

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

Okay. So other than that, what other things have you put in place to - in an attempt to claw back some of this control?---Well, there's a - a number of things. Obviously training for superintendents, that's an ongoing piece of work. We've got the governing structure, I think, in terms of our interaction with the unions, the Peak Consultative We - it probably operates now far more Committees. effectively than it did before. Certainly before I was Commissioner I was - I was asked to attend one of those meetings by the former Commissioner and it was probably the worst interaction I've seen between management and unions. I think that having those meetings on a more businesslike footing where you talk about operational issues, which are in - rightly in the purview of the union in terms of health and safety and those type of things, are good. encouraged, you know, the deputy commissioners to work with the executive of the union on a range of things, particularly where we had to do new projects; bringing Wandoo back inhouse for example, opening up the AOD prison. Some of those engagements have been constructive; some of them have been less so.

313233

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

And why, in your opinion, do you think it's beneficial or important in order for the Department or Corrective Services to claw back some of this control, why do you think it's important that there's a more businesslike relationship between the two entities?——Well, it goes back to what I said earlier about the superintendent setting the tone and the culture of what goes on in each prison and it's important that management have the right to manage and have the right to discharge their functions and run those prisons and — and they are in — because they are in charge and I think making sure that that is the case is important. Equally, hearing the legitimate concerns of the staff associations, whether that's the Prison Officers' Union or the CSA, is important as well.

46 47 48

49 50 So when you reference the CSA, who are you referring to?---Well, they - they're the sort of administration-type reps and the union that represents some of the superintendents so there's the two - two main unions that cover the Corrective Services.

2 3 4

6 7

8

9

10

1

Okay. And is there one particular union that you see as having more of an influence over the superintendents being able to effectively manage their own prisons?---Well, the Prison Officers' Union I'd describe it has the largest voice. Absolutely. I mean, I think they have a high - I don't know what the number is, I'd only be going on what I heard given before the Commission last week. I know they have a very high saturation rate of prison officers.

11 12 13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

Okay. So when you say that they have the loudest voice, the Prison Officers' Union, would you then - is it your evidence that they, that union, is the one that has more of an influence over superintendents being able to manage effectively?---Yes, I think as I said in large transient prisons they - the - the - historically the influence has probably been disproportionate; as the further you sort of get out of the metro area where staff/prisoner relations are on a more proactive, positive footing, things are less of an issue, then the influence for some reason seems to dissipate. I don't know why that is. There are some outliers, Eastern Goldfields being one. We've had issues at Wooroloo, but generally that's the - the way it works.

252627

So how would you describe the relationship between the Prison Officers' Union and Corrective Services?---Well - - -

293031

2.8

The current relationship?---I'd - if you - if you look at it at three levels, if I could give you - - -

32 33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46 47

48

49

50

51

Sure?--- - - - the prison level, the sort of executive level and then my level. So at the prison level, if I look at Hakea now, you know, one of the things that has been fortunate is the government has invested in infrastructure and some of those conditions, so a lot of the issues that the union would raise have gone away, and I think that's allowed the superintendent to, for want of a better word, normalise relations. And that has been incredibly helpful, and we, certainly in the last six months, haven't had the issues that we have had historically. That doesn't mean to say they have gone away though. Of course, in the last year we've had COVID, so we've had a sort of different operating environment. The Deputy Commissioner of Adult Male Prisons, who chairs the PCC, I think has done a good job in getting those meetings on a more businesslike level. I know we certainly - we certainly discussed about the attendance, the management attendance. It was too broad. There was lack of structure, lack of an agenda, and I think he has done a lot of good work to get that onto a more sound footing. The relationship with me, look, it goes through — it goes through phases. Recently, you know, the union would describe it as the worst relationship they've ever had.

With you personally?---With me personally. I think there's a whole range of reasons. I don't take it personally. It will be around, you know, I get blamed for the overtime controls, I will, you know, get blamed for lack of consultation, a whole range of things, and then it will go normal. And I try - I have informal discussions with them. Probably this year I've had three informal meetings. I try, where I can, to be a circuit-breaker, but sometimes you can't. I think the last issue that was significant was around the installation of glass at Casuarina, that's, you know - I have to share that story with you, but if you want me to explain it to you?

As long as, obviously, you're mindful that his is in a public setting, so as long as you don't think that will compromise any - - -?---I won't compromise - I won't compromise security.

Sure?---So we were doing a new unit at Casuarina, and the union were adamant that they wanted grilles on the office windows. And all these discussions were going on at what I call the office level, between infrastructure services and the union. And those discussions had been very productive. They had been on the journey all the way through with that design and, I thought, really good consultation had occurred, and I'm still of that view. Then it came to almost the 12th hour of getting ready to go, and we put a different design in, and - we had had it tested and stuff, and there was some issues around the test. We went back and redid it, and it just seemed to me to get completely out of hand, and I had to go down there and do a bit of a reset, and they were incredibly frustrated on that. Now, I say - I've been in this job for 30 years - the safety and security in those units is the best that you're going to get in a prison setting. But that's the type of thing that can come out of nowhere, and all of a sudden get blown up.

Okay. You said that you were trying to be a circuit-breaker. Just expand on that for me?---Well, if - I tried to on that one, so that was the AOD prison at Casuarina, so we had a deadline to meet, an election commitment to get that unit open, and they couldn't reach this agreement, so - and I'm just going from memory here, so I could be wrong, I think the secretary of the union rang me up. He was quite vocal about it, so I just intervened - not in terms of stopping it, but just went to say to the infrastructure people,

"What's happened?" Went to the specialist people, you know, "What's happened in terms of the testing?" And then we just got everybody, the union executive, the management team of the prison, myself, we all went to Casuarina to observe the test. Now, we couldn't reach an agreement, so we just went ahead and did it anyway; so that type of stuff.

Sort of like a middle man?---Try to be. There's some areas that I don't go - disciplinary issues. They've raised those with me in the past. I always refer those on to Professional Standards. You know, where I can, I try and - try and, sort of, I guess, make it - yeah, "middle man" is a good way of describing it. Yes.

15 You what, sorry?---"Middle man" is a good way of describing 16 it.

Right. So why are disciplinary issues in particular do you try and stay away from?---Well, when the department was - the old Department of Corrections was (indistinct) and - with the Department of Attorney-General, under the new structure, disciplinary issues, rightly, were taken away from the Commissioner. That doesn't mean to say they don't complain to me about things, they absolutely do, but I would just generally pass them on. I think they've written to me a couple of times on issues, but they don't sit with me, and I think that's a good thing.

And why do you think that's a good thing?---Well, it means that, you know, the Commissioner, the executive of corrections, isn't then under undue - doesn't come under undue pressure. You've got somebody independent looking at it. They can also look at systemic things, and there's an element of independence, even though it's still managed in the department, which I think is good for the department, but also good for the employee.

Just on that, if we can just take it a little bit further, you also mentioned in your examination back in February, about the shift of random and targets searching in prisons, and the alcohol and drug testing on staff being moved out to - across to Professional Standards?---Standards, correct.

And you identified that that was previously undertaken by the drug detection unit with Corrective Services, and you said then that that would provide the necessary independence of this important site safety and corruption prevention function. Do you still support that shift, that change?---Yes, absolutely.

And again, it may be obvious, but I'll ask you anyway, why is that important that that function be moved to Professional Standards?---Well, it's the same analogy as I used as during the use of force, it's like marking - you know, drug 4 detection is a prisoner - prison visitor function, and it just sat there - it was a legacy from the old department, 6 7 sat there because there was just nowhere else to put it. 8 That role is about checking visitors into prisons and 9 searching prisoners. I think blurring it with doing staff testing and searching is very unhelpful, and I use the same 10 11 analogy, it's almost like marking your own homework.

12 13

Mm?---It's absolutely critical to have that separate and done independently.

14 15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Okay?---And you mentioned, again back in February, that there was random and targeted drug testing. What are your views on the need for testing at times to be random?---I think it's a tool. What you would want to do when you do random testing is test out some assumptions. So if you've got evidence that there's an issue in prison, as an officer you raise that, you know, where you have a governance forum that's chaired by the Director General, where we might be seeing trends across the system, or a prison, then doing random testing is good. I also think it's a useful tool to have anyway, to - if your workforce, I guess, if they know that that's going to happen. What's happening at the moment is that - I think one of the problems that we have is the testing regime was just limited to one group of staff, and is being changed at the moment. We've put some recommendations to government to change the regulations, and I think that will then capture everybody that goes into a prison, which is what you really want.

333435

So do you mean staff or visitors as well?---Staff, so at the moment it just covers prison officers.

36 37 38

39

40

Right?---It doesn't cover admin staff or chaplains and stuff like that. I don't think drug abuse just sticks with one group of staff, and I think having the broader group, it will give us a much safer system when that's introduced.

41 42 43

You said one of the other reasons why testing - random testing was necessary, you said:

44 45

46 It will keep the workforce -

47

48 - you didn't finish. You then said:

49 50

They know it's going to happen.

51

24/11/20 HASSALL, A.D. Epiq (Public Examination)

I just wanted to explore that a little bit further. "I will keep the workforce" - - -?---It's like a deterrent, I suppose, for want of a better way describing it. It's always no different to drink-driving. If you think you're going to get caught, you might think twice about doing it. We don't know - it's hard to quantify whether that's the effect, but that's how I would see it.

Okay. Now, just on the searches, the searching within prisons, is it correct that that also sits now with Professional Standards?---Staff searching or prisoner searching?

Staff searching?---So if there's a searching event, they could authorise that through Professional Standards, then yes, they could, but you would still have searching when you go into a prison done by prison gates - prison officer gate staff - so that would still occur.

And the Commission is aware that there is a Professional Standards division charter. Are you aware of that charter?--Yeah, it was brought to my attention two weeks ago, yes.

Okay. What's your understanding of what that charter entails?---Look, it just sets out their role, their function, what they do, the scope of their authority, stuff like that.

What's your view on the charter?---Look, I'd have to refresh myself. It didn't - it didn't resonate as something significant with me. I haven't really digested it. The main bits for me are the code of discipline and the integrity framework, which I think are really good platforms for us to manage a workforce.

Are you aware that it also provides PSD with the authorisation for unfettered access to departmental facilities, including prisons?---Yes.

Okay. And what's your view on that?---Well, that's a - if that's exercised appropriately, then there's no issue. The problem that - it seems to me - is that the superintendent is responsible for the good order of the prison, and therefore, so am I. So - and I've raised before, and I have to say - what I'm going to say to the Commission now, I'm not saying this happened, it's just a hypothetical. If you've got something that's going on in a prison and you know about it, but you're responsible for the good order of that prison, and that compromises the good order, then that would become an issue. I say that, though, I don't think that has happened, and I have no reason to believe that it's

happened, but that's what I could see happening if that wasn't exercised appropriately.

So you're saying that if a superintendent wasn't given the head's up?---Not necessarily the head's up, I would -because it might be about him or her, or - you know, look, if somebody is trafficking drugs into a prison, I'd want to know about that, because I'd want to take - it might be a staff issue, but also be a security issue. So we'd need to work together. I haven't had that situation when I was - in fairness, and I think we've got a structure around that, and I do get assurance that they - the Professional Standards people will always say to if there's an issue that's going to compromise security, they will let me know. I have no reason to disbelieve that, so - that's all the hypothetical I could see.

 Just on Professional Standards, just briefly describe for me what's your opinion - your view on what their role is with the department?---So I would say two functions, the education and training of staff, and then the investigation of misconduct. I can expand on both, if you want.

That's okay?---Okay.

And how would you describe the nature of the relationship between Professional Standards and Corrective Services?---Well, it's a new division. I think there are some - there's always teething problems when you have something new and you have, you know, things are being established. I think they've grown over the last couple of years. I think there's room for improvement, to be perfectly honest.

In what aspects?---Well, in terms of the communication. Again, I don't - I think there's areas where that could be better.

Mm hmm?---At the end of the day, they reported to the Director General, so I'm just one of their stakeholders, directions is - they have a whole range of other areas of the department they have to serve. I think there's some areas that Professional Standards were - they could - certainly, I've raised this as an example, is where I thought they hadn't taken an assertive enough line on an issue, particularly around Bandyup, where the superintendent was raising concerns with me about the mistreatment of female offenders, and the process is some things I refer back to the superintendent. Well, when you're trying to change the culture, sometimes you have to have a hard reset. And I've raised those issues and, in fairness, they have responded to that. I think other - other examples which they can't fix

are - I know, for an example, a case that was before them about use of force. I'd taken quite a hard line on inappropriate use of force, because it compromises the safety of prison. There's a whole flow-on about that, and look, I think dismissal should be the only option. I know that they tried - I had discussions with the head of Professional Standards about that. He'll tell the Commission that that's my view. Where they've tried to do that, they haven't been successful. That's not their - they have tried, and people have been re-employed. The problem with that is that sends out a signal to a small number of staff that there's no consequence.

12 13 14

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

Mm?---So those things are frustrating.

1516

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

What, in your opinion, do you think are some of the factors that are maybe leading to examples like that you've just given being unsuccessful?---Well, I think - I asked that question. Look, they presented the case, it went to the IRC and we didn't win in the IRC. I think there was - there was a view that you can train this out, but you can't. three types of use of force in my view. There's one that goes, "Okay, it's appropriate, it's proportionate and it's lawful," so there's no problem there. The second type is the officers get something wrong, you know, a wrong wrist lock, or whatever. Well, that's a training issue. The third type, which I think is what the Commission has been looking it, is where it's not proportionate, it's not legal. - when you want - when you need to reset the culture, the There is no way only outcome for that should be dismissal. you can train that out of people. I'm just talking from over 30 years' experience, and I've experienced that in the UK where, resetting the culture, you have to take a hard line. I think they want to do it, I just don't think they can at the moment, because it just doesn't - they haven't got the legislation in this state.

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46 47

48

49

50

51

One of the things that's come out in the Commission's throughout investigation, and particularly examinations in that there may have been an alleged assault towards a prisoner, but then what has perhaps - or one of the factors that may have hindered the investigation into that assault was this cover up by fellow officers. your view on that, and the impact that that's having?---Again, I would - I think you have to - to understand that, you have to understand a bit of the culture, and prisons are very closed institutions. Generally, the public doesn't know what goes on inside a prison, and the work of officers pretty much goes unnoticed. And their work is complicated and complex, and by and large the vast majority of people do a good job. But it does create that us and them mentality.

Nobody, you know - and I've seen it myself, I started as a prison officer, nobody knows how difficult our job is. Nobody knows what goes on inside, you know - go back to my comments about 2014, 15 and 16, there was lots of negative comments around the work of prison officer. What that encouraged them to do is to stick together.

Mm?---And so what you get is a lot of solidarity; so that's what you're dealing with. The second thing is, there's a lot of suspicion of outsiders. That's just generally the nature of the way prisons are. It's not unique to WA, it's a cultural thing. And then, thirdly, there's this expectation of courage, if you like, supporting each other, physical courage, you know, when there's an incident in the prison that your mates are going to come and help you out. So if you put all of that together, it creates - it can create an incredibly toxic culture. And I think, you know, what the Commission has been looking at is that. Now, the only way you can change that is by looking at it as a whole. So - and I say it starts with, you know, the conditions that prisoners are held in, because you have to look at what was the use - I mean, I've read the report on what happened, so what - what created the environment that would allow staff to think that that was appropriate, so the mistreatment of that prisoner? That's where the event started, then you have to look at the - the conditions that prisoners are held in; you know, the - as I've described to the Commission the inhumane treatment that was Hakea in 2014, 15 and 16 creates that authorised environment. So you've got that was the start of the event and then you've got this very strong culture that makes it incredibly difficult. I - I've been in that position myself a few times where I've been a whistle-blower and it is incredibly difficult. And all you can do is make sure you've got good leadership, that the process and the systems are sound and robust but I still go back - and I think it's good that the Commissioner put the spotlight on this but I still go back that you need a hard cultural reset and the only way you can do that is when prisoners are mistreated then there is no place for them in our system and that's the - that's the only way you will get that reset.

How do you - what suggestions do you have about the "us and them" mentality, how could Corrective Services go about trying to rectify that mentality?---With the union, or the staff?

Well, the "us and them" mentality. Who are you - who is the "us" and who are the "them"?---I think generally prison officers, everybody is the them; whether they're management, outsiders, what, that's just the nature. I've seen

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44 45

46

47 48

49

50

successful leadership, I'll just use Hakea as an example at the moment, you know, where it's visible leadership. The superintendent there sets clear expectations of standards for the staff, is out and about, talking to the staff, understanding the issues for the staff; more importantly recognising good performance, because there are a tremendous amount of staff that go into work every day and do the right thing.

Sometimes they - their voice is the quiet voice. So what you need to do is make sure that their voice is the loudest voice so that that behaviour that you - you described becomes unacceptable, publicly unaccepted if you like in the prison. And that's what the superintendent at the moment at Hakea has done and I think, you know, it's very early days, I'm not saying that it's turned a corner but if we can keep that type of momentum going then that's when you get real change and prisoners get better treatment.

Now, he has been fortunate. He's got the new visits centre, been able to refurbish the prison, the numbers are down, so all of that has enabled that. How I - I describe it to some of the management is when - when prison officers join the Corrective Services anywhere they - they - the unions meet with them and I - I say this from experience because I've done it myself and - a long time ago - what they'll say is you need to be in the union; prisoners make complaints about you, all that management want to do is get rid of you out of the job. So that's why they get a high saturation rate. So what I'd - how I'd describe it is once they're in that tribe there is no point trying to wedge them in that tribe. All you can do is show them that that assumption is incorrect by the good management, good leadership, good standard setting and a professional approach to their work.

But just to carry on from that comment that you made about comments that the union may have made in the past to prison officers about all management want to do is basically get - --?---Generalising, obviously.

Yes, I appreciate that and I appreciate that that's not to be attributed to all union reps - - -?---Correct.

- - and all messages that are coming out of the union. I appreciate that. So then what impact do you see the union then having on the culture within Corrective

then having on the culture within Corrective
Services?---Well, it can have - it can be positive and it
can be negative. I mean, one of the things when I listened
to the hearings last week was disappointing and shocked at
what I heard because the discussions that I've had with the
- the union at senior levels is that they don't condone that

type of behaviour and there is no place for it. You know, if - what I would expect is if somebody has done something wrong is you would - you should - the only advice to management to the - from the union to staff, to management should be, "Be honest, if you've made a mistake admit it," and then just let the process run. And I always say that because what you want - we all make mistakes in the workplace and if you go and try and cover it up, what that means is your trust with the employee diminishes. So if they're - if they're honest and open, I've made a mistake - now, you may not be able to salvage them, that may still be the outcome, they may still have to go but there might - if - if they start with a fundamental basis of, well, I'm going to tell you the truth, then the trust between you as an employer and them as an employee you've got something to work with. When they move away from that, well, it - you - you've lost it, pretty much, and I think that's - it would seem to me what So I think there they can have a positive has happened. role in that space. Also, they can have a - you know where we do joint planning and things like that where we've had good results around cost savings, implementations that are very, very positive. I use Roebourne Prison as a really good example where in the past hasn't been without its issues, you know industrial issues and stuff like that, lacked investment over a number of years and the relationship between the superintendent previously and the local union delegate was pretty fractured. Now there's been a new superintendent, he has taken quite a proactive, positive step in terms of engagement and the progress in that prison has been impressive I have to say. Now, we haven't spent a lot of money in the prison but he's changed the nature of the relationship. He hasn't ceded control to the union delegate but he's - understands the difference between consultation and negotiation and very often people get those two things mixed up.

35 36 37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44 45

46

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2223

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

And what's the importance of differentiating between the two?---Well, consultation - this is my advice I always give to people - is you may have a view, I may have a view as management; I will consult with you, I may take into account your views on things but I will still stick with what I want to do if I want to. Negotiation is you have a position, I have a position; we have to reach some sort of an agreement. And very often one of the things I think that local delegates and the union find frustration is - frustrating is they get that confused. They think consultation means agreement. Well, it doesn't at all.

47 48 49

So basically the message needs to be the buck stops with the superintendent?---Superintendent. Correct.

Okay?---And I think - sorry, if I could just add?

2

4

5

No, no. No, carry on?---I think that's been blurred over the years and I still think there's cases today where that is still the case but, as I said, we're trying to address that.

6 7 8

There's just a couple of things I want to take you back to that you raised in your evidence just now and you said if 9 there's open and honest dialogue between superiors and their 10 11 staff that trust - there's a trust there and in your opinion what - what's the flow-on effect of if there's trust there, 12 13 what's the flow-on effect in your opinion on the culture 14 that's then reinforced or created?---Well, you create a safer 15 culture for - I think Roebourne's a really good example, 16 sorry to go back to that but I know, without disclosing it 17 there's been issues publicly, that reported to the superintendent around misconduct there that I'm not saying 18 19 it wouldn't have happened before but there it was quite open 20 and I think that is a result of the leadership style and 21 that trust, where misconduct is - is not accepted. 22 that's a - so it's a - it's an outlier, I would totally 23 accept that but that's one of the flow-on things. The other 24 thing I would say is don't underestimate the impact on prisoners when you have that type of culture, because bad 25 26 behaviour flows down and prisoners are at the bottom. 27 I can tell you that when - when there's issues with the staff 28 group it - it will absolutely flow down to the prisoner group 29 as well.

30 31

32 33

34

Would you also agree that the flow down, that the bad behaviour flowing down, if it starts at the top in management then it also ultimately may end up with the prisoners but another effect is that it flows down onto the staff reporting to that upper management?---Correct. Yes.

35 36 37

38 39

40

Okay. And just to take you back just before we finish on the trust aspect, you said it creates a safe culture and then misconduct - sorry, do you agree then people then feel comfortable to then be able to come forward and report potential or suspected misconduct?---Yes.

41 42 43

44

45

Okay. So if there's not a safe culture do you see that alternatively that could then be a serious misconduct risk?--Yes, I think you get the behaviours that the Commission is looking at at the moment.

46 47

Okay. And just to take you back to one of the comments you mentioned in relation to the union, you said that you have heard union reps or officials say that management just want

you out, or words to that effect, to staff?---This is a long time - I'm generalising, but yes.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

1

I appreciate that, and you've outlined some of the positive steps that the union have also taken. What do you think Corrective Services can do to try and mitigate, if they can do anything, that message, that particular message that has come from the union which inevitably I'm suggesting creates a distrust amongst prison officers to - or to the upper management in reporting misconduct?---Well, look, I agree. I think it does. I think you're absolutely right with your assumption that it does create distrust. I think the way you counter that, I go back to the point that I made is you - you demonstrate there's another - there's another way. Certainly as - whilst I've been the Commissioner one of the things I absolutely try to do is to be a visible leader; set standards, role-model the behaviours. You know, I think if you spoke to pretty much anybody in Corrections they all tell you there's three things that I - I wouldn't tolerate: the mistreatment of prisoners, sleeping on nights and racism and sexism.

212223

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

Those are pretty much my consistent messages and I think the — the team below me have that as well. Changing the culture though takes a long time as I — I think I've said that to the Commission before and you go — you have to go back to those — the build-up of that, what caused it, what was the cause, and then look at, you know, how you deal with it. I think we've made some good progress, although I still think there's more to do. I think Hakea is on the right trajectory, in my view, for the want of a better way of describing it, with the leadership and stuff like that. There are probably other places that the Commission will probably turn its attention to. I don't think your work is going to be done for a while, to be perfectly honest.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46 47

48

49

50

51

You - sorry, culture was raised back in February of this year in those public examinations and it was something that Commission also touched on its 2018 report into misconduct risks in WA prisons and the Commission identified that a culture existed which discouraged staff from reporting against their colleagues, attributed in part to a lack of confidence in the confidentiality of reporting and fear of repercussions from other staff, including prison management. Now, we've touched on some of those aspects already today and you've also agreed that in order for cultural change to be effective it's got to start from the top. And in your evidence back in February you outlined a number of examples of how you personally were attempting to change the culture and you've touched on a couple of them here again just now; you said that you role-model behaviours, you set standards.

Is there anything else that you do?---Well, I think one of the - one of the key things about running a prison system is - and this has been an undercurrent for years, is around how 3 4 leaders in that system are appointed and selected and I know that that has been one of the - ever since I've been in WA, 6 in 2015, and different union leaders, different leaders have 7 raised with me that lack of transparency or the perception that there's, for the want of a better way of describing it, 8 9 jobs for the boys. The union would frequently raise with 10 me, you know, their concerns around Freemasons for example. Now, I don't know the extent to that but one of the things 11 12 that you do is making sure the processes to select leaders 13 are transparent and certainly that wasn't the case. It would 14 seem to me that, you know, things were done in a - in a - in 15 a manner that lacked transparency and when - and of course 16 when management behave like that what you - what you get 17 then is, well, if it's okay for management to behave like that then, well, why - why - there's some double standards. 18 19 And what I've tried to do, where possible, is exercise far more transparency around those processes. Now, sometimes 20 21 you can't. If you - if you've got a position, it's a regional prison, you can't fill it and you've tried, then sometimes 22 23 you just have to put somebody in there.

2425

2627

28

29

30

31

I would also say that some of the women in Corrections also complained about that, them not having a fair go. So like I said, I think just building on that transparent approach and that I know the deputy commissioners that worked for me have the same view and I know the same complaints have been articulated back to them. And what that breeds is distrust and if you have distrust, then you have an environment where this type of behaviour can just flourish.

32 33 34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

In your examination in February you said that you would sometimes meet with new recruits. Do you still do that?---I pretty much this year where absolutely possible, where my diary has permitted, I've spoken to every single new member of staff and whether that's a prison officer, Community Corrections officer or Youth Justice officer and I give them a talk that covers a number of areas; (1) I tell them a little bit about myself, a little bit about my expectations around staff safety, prisoner safety, rehabilitation; I talk about the overrepresentation of Aboriginal people and how important it is to make sure our response is culturally appropriate and then I also talk about the fact that they're public servants and the standards that are required of them as a public servant and the fact that that behaviour and those standards are not just - doesn't just apply when they're at work but also applies when they're out of work and I give them some clear messages that, you

know, if they can't sign up to that then they probably shouldn't be working for us.

And is there any mention of the code of conduct?---Yes, they get - they get it formally through their training. I briefly - I don't go into detail because it's quite an informal chat, but yes.

What importance do you personally place on the code of conduct?---High. I think it's - it's - it's - it's the bedrock of our making a safe prison system and it sets the expectations of staff behaviour, tells them how to report misconduct.

And what do you do to ensure that you abide by it in your daily activities?---Well - well, the way - my personal behaviours. How I carry out my duty if, you know, making sure misconduct's reported, creating a culture amongst the senior team where they can ensure that the standards are adhered to.

And what do you do to ensure - and you've touched on this a little bit already but to ensure that others I guess within your reporting line abide by it?---Well, I - like I said I think they pretty much know my expectations which are, you know, they're not different to the code. They will - I probably have far more focus if I'm being - you know, on prisoner decency and care because I think that's really important and that's - I think that's been lacking in the past and again we - you know, just the way I carry out my role as the Commissioner. The - there's a governance structure in place where we look at misconduct and it's chaired by the Director General. I think that's incredibly useful. That enables me to pick up issues, sometimes things that may not be - you know, you may go and ask the question I'm just going to - usually around staff/prisoner relations. You'd want to make sure, yes, the member of staff is being deal with. You know, what's the complaint? What's the allegation? Are they still in the workplace? prisoner being looked after? Those types of things I think are good discussions to have. We didn't have that before.

Sorry, which are the discussions that now you're having more frequently?---So there's a - there's a Director General's governance group.

Yes?---And in that group there's myself as the Commissioner, there's the head of Professional Standards, there's the Director of Intelligence, a couple of other people turn up from Professional Standards and we look at - they look - that group is the Director General who is the employer, it's

his assurance group if you like and I think that's a good governance group. It looks at three things so one is the staff training and gives me the opportunity to say - which 3 4 is around misconduct training and ethics. I have in the past asked them to prioritise in different areas, for example 6 where we're seeing issues, so that - that type of discussion 7 will take place. Then there would be things that's reported 8 of through Corrective Services intelligence, you know, where 9 somebody's reported something on the intelligence system, it 10 would - that's been referred over to Professional Standards 11 because it's about a staff issue. And that forum then would 12 just enable me to do a bit more probing, say, you know, like, 13 the example I've just given, for example. And then you just 14 get to see where all the cases are. It's usually - it helps 15 you identify themes and trends and to see where you've got 16 the - where you need to put a bit more management effort and 17 attention. Like the example I gave you was Bandyup where on 18 one of the visits, the superintendent did raise with me her 19 concerns, likely, in my view, around some inappropriate comments that male staff had been making to 20 21 female prisoners, some of the inappropriate behaviours. And 22 then I was just able to feed that back up. And in fairness, 23 you know, as I said, they referred those back to the 24 superintendent to deal with. She was trying to change the But in fairness, they have taken a different 25 26 response going forward. So that's just a good communications 27 loop.

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

One of the things - or one of the other examples that you gave in your evidence in February was in relation to how you were attempting to change culture, one of the - the concrete examples you gave was where a superintendent - you mentioned it in Feb. It may have happened earlier than that obviously. They had raised concerns with you about how prisoners had been treated in the past and you said you were able to raise these with PSD or with Mays personally. And you - and they are able to go back and have a look at these?---I think that was the Bandyup example.

38 39 40

Right. Okay?---Exactly right.

41 42

43

44 45

46 47 And you said in that examination that that wouldn't have happened two years prior and it would have just gone under the radar. So what did you mean when you said "Two years ago, that wouldn't have happened"?---Well, we didn't have Professional Standards then. I think - I think, you know, what they've done for the - is professionalised our approach to - - -

48 49 50

51

Right?--- - - - misconduct. It was - it was an investigation services. It was more, you know - it was more of a

24/11/20 Epiq HASSALL, A.D. (Public Examination)

transactional - you know, there's misconduct. Let's deal with misconduct and move on. This is more of an education. Like I said, you know, the education arm of that work. And having the ability to raise cultural issues in that way and then to go back and be - have a fresh look I think has been - has certainly helped me with my job and I know certainly the superintendent has found that has added value in her attempts to change the culture there.

4 5

And you said that previously it would have gone under the radar, so what would have gone under the radar?---Well, I don't think - perhaps that wasn't the best way of describing it. I don't think that - those discussions wouldn't have been put in - there was just no forum to have that discussion, so it would have just been ignored I guess. The superintendent would have been left just deal with it. They'd have moved on to the next thing.

How would you describe the - you've talked briefly about the relationship, sorry, with PSD, the current relationship and you said the communication could be better. How important is it in your opinion to have an open flow of communication between Corrective Services and PSD?---Really important.

And why?---Well, like I said, you - it - you - it's a - it goes to the core of the good order and safety of the prisons. So we, in Corrections, would get information in around a whole range of things. Some of that would just be normal prison security issues. Some of it will be around staff behaviours. That would get referred over to PSD and I'm sure they get the same. And what you want is somebody somewhere in the system having a whole holistic look at the whole picture, joining all of that up, so it's not just dealt with in isolation. And look, I think in the early days, that - that was problematic. I think that's absolutely fair I think there was - there was the people issues, to say. there was the IT issues. As the Department's matured, that has got much better. I - I think - I don't - I don't think that's the case anymore. I'm sure that there'll be examples somewhere where there are gaps like there are in any system.

 Sure. How do you determine what information you're at liberty to share, say, with Professional Standards, how do you decide that?---Well, I don't share any. It's all done at the sort of Assistance Commissioner level. And the - the - the rule is, everything goes. So I - I don't sort of - it doesn't flow up to me and I - I triage, I guess. I don't.

Okay?---So the - the Director of Intelligent Services, the expectation on him is to give everything over to Professional

Standards. So I don't say "No, don't share that, don't give that".

2 3 4

1

What about - - -?---But I'd - I'd go as far as to say, stuff comes to me and I'm probably frustrate them a little bit cos I send it directly over to them and I don't following the proper reporting. Cos people write to me and I just it straight over.

8 9 10

6 7

To PSD?---PSD.

1112

13

14

15

16

Okay. And what about with the union? You said that you need to have a somewhat sort of - you didn't say amicable. They're my words. But good what working relationship with them - what about the flow of information from Corrective Services to the union? What - or do you - what type of information do you mean? Just generally any information?

17 18 19

20

Yes, so what sort of information would you share? Obviously generalise it because again, I don't want to compromise the safety?---Sure.

212223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

But yes, what sorts of information would be appropriate for you to share with the union?---Usually we would share things like we - the long term custodial infrastructure plan, what we're doing around changes in accommodation. We've got a network design project going well at the moment, which I describe as getting the prisoner in the right - prisoner at the right time having the right services. We would - we'd share the type of information and certainly consult with them on that. We would certainly want their views on that. They have raised with me that they don't understand the structure of the Department. I - I've talked to them about that. I've explained the structure. I think not this year, last year, I went to their conference and did a whole PowerPoint presentation to their State counsel on the Department's structure, where Professional Standards sits in, in that to give them more clarity over that. They do They have come in the past and asked questions, like I said, around misconduct. I would refer those on. I - Idon't - my purpose is try and keep a sort of wall between myself and them on that. They - but they have raised issues with me.

43 44 45

46 47

48

49

So sorry, issues relating to members going to the union and disclosing the potential misconduct?---More - more general. So there would be more - I - I guess the complaint would be there's a lot of stuff in Professional Standards. That's been the one. The - the view that - and I'm not saying that I for one minute I agree with this, I'm just reflecting - - -

Sure?--- - - back to you what I - they've said to me, is that they feel that their prison officers are being targeted by Professional Standards. That's been a complaint. I think there was - there was an issue at Hakea that back with that sort of seemed to be there. The biggest complaint - that all came to a head then. This year's been a difficult year though, I have to say, because we've had the COVID to deal with, so for the - for the vast majority of the year, we didn't really meet with them. We did get together once a week on a sort of COVID call. But pretty much, as you would expect, most things sort of went into an abeyance, so the main discussion was around COVID.

Okay. What about not meetings, you said that there - you may have had more recently three informal meetings - - -?--All early this year.

- - - over the year, what about just - is that including telephone calls or are you talking about in-person meetings?--In - well, sometimes they'll ring me up and complain about things. I have to say, whenever they ring me up, it's a complaint. The last one was I think two weeks ago. It was a Friday evening staffing issue at Wandoo. I - I just referred that one to the Deputy Commissioner. They were - they have rung me up in the past and said that when they felt that they haven't had the right consultation. Those conversations have been quite difficult. Like I said, the Casuarina glass thing. When they knew I was leaving, they invited me over to say farewell, so I went to that.

Okay. And - - -?---Yep.

Sorry?---Generally, once you've had the conversation, the tension will reduce. But it's - it - look, it's like I said, they - you know, the - you know, Andy Smith has come out within the last three months and said I'm the worst Commissioner, I don't consult, don't do this. That's - I just take that on the chin and move on. And that's just the nature of being the leader.

So that's the - the impression he's - or that's what he said to you?---And other people.

Right. Okay?---I actually think he came out and said it in the press. I think he - I think he quoted me personally, but I think he has said that.

Right. Okay. And so that may be his view, what about your view on the relationship with the union?---Well, I think, like I said, I go back to my fundamental belief that they have a role to play. And it's really important that you

24/11/20

don't let the relationship between one or two people damage the whole system. Because it would absolutely grind to a halt if that was the case. So I would much rather deal with their legitimate concerns where we can and have good local relations. And I always say, the Assistant Commissioner that we've brought in to manage this sort of transformation of industrial relations, if you like, my brief to him is yes, do your job, but we still want to work together and to do some of these things. I fundamentally believe that having that productive relationship you get better outcomes. That doesn't mean to say that management though should give up its right to manage and lead.

Okay. So you've just then given, I guess, discussed the reasons for having productive relationships. But how would you actually describe the current state of the relationship with the union?---Well, probably after these hearings, not - it's going to be worse than before, to be perfectly honest. I think that's just a mere consequence. They are - they are - they'll make some assumptions around that. But the - the - the Commissioner after me will have to deal with that. I think - I - I don't think they're as good as they have been in the past. I think they - it was pretty low to start with. I - I describe, if I can give you an analogy to people, if - if I walked across the Swan River, they would complain that I couldn't swim. And that's the position that - that I'm in. Whatever you do, there's always - needs to be more.

Sorry, whatever you do - - -?---Whatever you do, there's always - it's never good enough.

Right?---But that doesn't mean to say, look, that's - that characteristic, that analogy, is representative of every single prison in the State. It's certainly not. I'm talking about my relationship with - - -

Yes?--- - - with the executive. I think in some of the prisons, they have really - like the examples that I've given to you. In some of the prisons, the relationships really don't work well at all.

Sorry, what relationships? --- Well, the local management-union relationship. So in some prisons, they're very productive. I think Roebourne was the one - the example I gave to you. I think in other prisons where there's -Eastern Goldfields, for example, where we've had issues around recruitment, a whole range of things, I think, you know, leadership issues in that gaol, I think then the relationships could be much better. They aren't productive as they could be. So it's not - it's not - it's

```
not a level playing field everywhere I guess is what I'm
 1
 2
    saying.
 3
 4
         So that's why I was asking your personal relationship
    No.
    with the union, how you would describe that? --- Well, I try
    to be open and transparent, but it all - almost changes,
 7
    depends on what - you know, what issue comes up. They are
 8
    - like I said, they are, you can have it, you know, a couple
 9
    of weeks ago they rang me up and said "We hear you're
    retiring, Commissioner, we'd like to come and say farewell".
10
    It was very cordial, very - you know, very nice of them to
11
12
    do that. But then if something goes wrong, it can - it can
13
    easily go off track. But that's just the - that's not -
    that's not unique to hear, to be perfectly honest. That's
14
15
    just the way - that's the role that I occupy and that's the
16
    role that their executive occupy.
17
18
    So it's up and down?---Yes, I think that's a good way of
19
    describing it.
20
21
    Okay.
22
23
    Commissioner, now might be an appropriate time for a
24
    15-minute adjournment.
25
26
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Okay. We'll adjourn for 15
27
    minutes.
2.8
29
                       (THE WITNESS WITHDREW)
30
31
                        (Short adjournment)
32
33
    (TIMESTAMP) / 10.56.18 AM
```

HASSALL, ANTONY DAVID RECALLED ON FORMER AFFIRMATION AT 11.13 AM:

4 THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Please be seated.

Yes, Ms Pantano?

PANTANO, MS: Mr Hassall, I just want to take you to a couple of other comments that you made back in your evidence in February in relation to some of the changes that were either on foot or due to be implemented, and I just want you to give us an update, if you can, on those?---Yes.

You said that three prioritised - there were, sorry, three high-risk operational policies that were being prioritised, and that regarded - regarding searching, reporting and the use of force were being scheduled for implementation by May of this year. Have those policies been implemented yet?---Yes.

Okay. And how do they change how things are done in respect to searching, to start with?---Well, there's clearer guidance for staff. For example, on the - how to conduct searches, just as one example, and then how to record - and like I - the evidence I gave to Commission earlier this year, what we've done with this project is end-to-end change, which is why it's taken a while; so from the training aspect, to the system aspect, to the implementation. So that's the process that we followed.

Okay, and - sorry?---Sorry.

You go ahead?---I think the overall - they way we've approached it is to make sure that there's no - the guidance is much clearer to staff in terms of their responsibility, their legal responsibility and level of decency and standards.

And what about in relation to reporting? How have things changed in relation to those new policies or procedures?——So, as I said, the end-to-end system — so the TOMS system, the total offender management, we've had people working on that in terms of how things are reported in TOMS. I think one of the issues that the Commission found, and I'm — from memory — was about the ability to cut and paste, as one example. That's now gone, so it's free text; people can't do that.

Okay, and in relation to use of force? What's changed in relation to that policy?---If I can just refer to my notes, I can give you a really comprehensive answer, rather than

doing it from my head. So - and I'm happy to table this if the Commission would like, so again, it sets out the purpose of the policy, the COPP as it's called, where it sits under the Prison Act, then it goes on to use of restraints, some guidance around to prevent a prisoner injuring themselves, when to seek medical advice, preventing escapes, and then it goes into some principles about the use of force, and there's a whole series here, but I'm happy to table this document.

8 9 10

2

3

6

7

Thank you. So would you say in general that those guide - the new policies provide clearer guidelines?---Absolutely.

11 12 13

Okay. You also stated that training had been announced - this is again back in February -

14 15 16

17

18

to provide greater emphasis on the actions of officers prior to, during and following use of force on prisoners, and that further work was being undertaken regarding the requirement and timing for refresher training.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44 45

46 47

48

49

50

What can you advise in that space? What's been happening?---Look, I haven't brought that information with me, so I'm just going from memory. So there's always two - under the old policy, there was always a review required. reviews still have to be taken - occur under the new policy, and we have looked at the whole - one of the problems we had is, we training everyone to the same level, so there were a number of different components of the use of force training. So if I can give you an example, at Boronia, we have the same requirement to train officers at Boronia in the use of a baton, where they don't get issued with batons at Boronia. So we've tried to be smarter in the approach in terms of use of force refresher training, and not have a sort of one size fits all. I would still say to the Commission though, there is more work to do on that. We have targeted what I call the high-risk areas, so Hakea, Casuarina, Albany, and then the sort of regional areas in terms of what we call prison response training, where it takes us slightly longer to get staff to deal with an incident. I didn't know that was going to be the question. I would have brought you the most upto-date training figures. As I say, what I say is, we've targeted those areas, but there's still - it's an ongoing piece of work. One of the bigger problems that we have in Corrections is everything is sort of - everyone feels is mandatory training, and there's only so much time that we have for training. And again, that's a legacy that's built up over a number of years, so we have got the training academy looking at what is actually required in terms of (indistinct) training. I can't recall how many hours are in the industrial agreement for training, but it far exceeds

our training liability, the hours that we have. So we just have to prioritise things like that.

2

1

4 Now, the Commission is cognisant of the fact that this - the 5 incident that it has been investigating, dated back to November 2018, so this is some time before a lot of these 6 7 changes have been implemented. However, I guess, what was 8 evident was that issues around the culture, and the impact 9 that the culture was having, was current, because a lot of the things that we've seen through TI that was played last 10 11 week, was around advice being given to officers, or the take-away of advice that was allegedly being given to 12 officers, was about sticking together, covering for each 13 other, and that occurred from August of this year when the 14 15 officers in question were stood down. So while the incident 16 occurred, allegedly occurred, sometime - the 17 incident occurred some time ago, the issues around culture 18 were still current today, and only a few months ago. 19 comment can you provide the Commission as to what you hope 20 and understand - you're on your way out, but - and culture, 21 we all understand, it's a long road, it's not a quick fix, 22 but what things do you think the department - Corrective 23 Services have put in place to try and address these current 24 issues?---Well, I think the first thing I would say is, you know, looking at that incident - I mean, that - that was not 25 26 a use of force, that was an assault, and we do not train 27 staff for that, and there is no place for that. It absolutely 28 undermines the good order and the good governance of the 29 prison - for staff to behave that way, to be quite honest, 30 is shocking. And, you know, it's appalling to me that staff 31 would do that, and there is no place for it, and they're not 32 trained that way. And even if, you know, they're out of 33 currency with their training - I did my prison officer over 30 - more than 32 years ago, I can still remember what is 34 35 right and what is wrong, so that's the first comment, I would 36 I just go back to the comments that I've made to the 37 Commission previously, you know, about - the change in the 38 culture, as you've rightly said, takes a long time. 39 have to start with what - why did those officers think it 40 was appropriate to do that to that individual, whatever the 41 individual has done to end up in Hakea. And that's because 42 the way the system views those people, and I think I've 43 described to you some of the issues that enable that type of 44 culture to flourish. Now, we've been very fortunate; we've 45 had a lot of investment in the prison system since 2017, new infrastructure, all of that helps, and that enables those 46 47 staff that want to rehabilitate prisoners, that want to have 48 good relationships, it enables them to come to the fore. 49 And what you will see over time is that behaviour became 50 less pronounced, if you like, and it will be more unacceptable. So I see that we're in a transition at the 51

moment, and I think aiding that transition is the establishment of Professional Standards, it's the stuff I've talked about - leadership, getting the right leaders, having more transparent (indistinct). I still go back to the point I made in the session this morning to the Commission, I am of the firm view that, unless there is a sanction of dismissal for that type of behaviour, a hard reset, then, I'm afraid, there will always be people that think that they can do that-sadly, a small number of people, and there should only be one outcome for those people, and they should not be working in Corrections. Now how you get to that from what we currently have, I guess your report inform people that come after me.

13 14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

You mentioned getting the right leaders, and we touched on this a bit this morning, and the Commission has also been made aware that there is a general - or there may be a general distrust for upper senior management, which then sets the tone for the remainder of the organisation, and we And do you think that is the case, have touched on this. this general distrust for upper senior management?---I think - yes, historically, there has been. I think that's - it's a legacy. Again, this is just my personal view, it's stuff that people have said to me over the years, some of it you just dismiss, because it's - you know, it's a bit of emotion, but yeah, I think what people have reflected to me is, you know, certainly there's a period in Corrections where the senior people sort of outside the prison, if you like, have never worked in a prison, didn't understand how that prison system is operated. Now, I'm not saying for one minute that you need to have worked in a prison to run the prison system, I'm not suggesting that at all, but it's those types of things that erode management credibility, if you like. You can address that, of course, in terms of your leadership style, how you are with staff, how you are with the superintendents, but yes, I think - again, it's change it's part of changing the leadership culture, and that takes time. One of the - one of the problems we have at the moment is the constant turnover of leaders. You know, as I said, I think Hakea is an example; in 10 years, 13 superintendents. The same happens above the prison system as well. a lot of people acting, a lot of turnover, so it's very difficult then to gelatine together, around a set of core values and principles, and get those embedded through the system, when everyone keeps turning over.

45 46 47

48

49

50

51

How important then, in those - in that scenario, with a high turnover, then it for you as the leader of - or the head of Corrective Services, to really set the tone for how - for expected standards of behaviour?---Well, it's very important, and I think, you know - I'm sure there will be

```
people say I could have done more, and I'm sure that
    probably, you know, with hindsight, there's probably things
    I'd have done - could have done better, but I think it's
    important for any leader - we all learn - you know, I think
    one of the things, for example, when we had the machinery of
    government changes, a lot of management time and effort -
7
    and I'm not making any points here, I'm just making an
8
    observation of how it was, a lot of management time and
9
    effort went into restructuring. And then you sort of, you
    take your eye off the operational ball, and you're looking
10
11
    over here, and then things happen over here. Well, that's
    - you know, hindsight is a great thing.
12
    Mr Hassall, just in light of that, there are a couple of
```

13

14 15 exhibits I wish to show you, and you'll see a document come up on the screen in front of you, and you'll also hear some 16 17 audio.

18

19 Can I please have 0244-1^ please?

20

21 0244-1^

2.2

23 START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT

24 25

Part conversation between 15:44:30 to 15:45:59

26

27 SMITH: Uhm, quick question for ya.

2.8

29 HASSALL: Yeah.

30

31 SMITH: Big rumour. Are they changing the ah Super at ah 32 Wandoo on Monday? Is that right?

33

34 HASSALL: Yes. Uhm. Who's going there now.

35

36 SMITH: That that was my question.

37

38 HASSALL: I can tell you who's covering it temporarily, that 39 Mick Henderson. Henderson? Hendlison? The old fella, the

40

41 SMITH: Oh the one from Bandyup. Yeah good

42

43 HASSALL: (coughs) Yeah. 44

45 SMITH: yeah really nice bloke.

46

47 HASSALL: Yeah. Yeah Catharine's going off, so she got herself 48 into a bit of a problem so she's going off.

49

50 SMITH: Oh right. Not a worry. I just, with all the 51 (indistinct) there's a hell of a lot going on there at the

24/11/20

```
moment and then somebody said oh they changed (indistinct),
    oh my God as long as Sue's coming back it will settle down.
2
3
    But no Hendo's good.
 4
5
    HASSALL: Well we c- well look, I mean I can, you never heard
 6
    this from me but I mean you you heard what happened to
7
    Catharine right?
8
9
    SMITH: Hmm probably not.
10
11
    HASSALL: Well shit well are you on your own?
12
13
    SMITH: Yeah mate I'm in the car on me own.
14
15
    HASSALL: Oh yeah, she got pulled over for drink driving.
16
17
    SMITH: Oh God, no I didn't know that.
18
19
    HASSALL: And, yeah well she told her senior team and has
20
    been telling people so I, it was like well that was stupid
    'cos we couldn't just leave her there because of the optics
21
2.2
23
    SMITH: Yeah
24
25
    HASSALL: you know running the drug and alcohol treatment
    prison. Not in, you know she'll she's gotta go to court and
26
27
    everything so it's the optics of that, that's what's done it
2.8
    for her.
29
30
    SMITH: Yeah.
31
32
    HASSALL: (coughs) But she's, she announced it. I dunno why
33
    she announced it locally. I said why, just keep your mouth
34
    shut on these things, do you know what I mean?
35
36
    SMITH: Absolutely.
37
38
    HASSALL: Yeah.
39
40
    SMITH: Ah.
41
42
    HASSALL: So.
43
44
    SMITH: Yeah.
45
    END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
46
47
48
    PANTANO, MS: Mr Hassall, is that you speaking?---Yes.
49
50
    And is that Mr Andy Smith from the union?---Yes.
```

24/11/20 HASSALL, A.D. Epiq (Public Examination)

33

The secretary?---Yes.

Why did you tell him that information?---Look, I would have that type of - he's asked me before about changes of supers and stuff like - she had announced that publicly, which I thought was a stupid thing. I was trying to cut off any feedback that he was going give. As I said, he makes comments about management. I don't think there's anything wrong with that conversation.

Why did you preface the detail about why she left with, "You never heard this from me," and "Are you on your own"?--- Well, I don't like having those conversations publicly, to be perfectly honest, and if I said that, I was just - I don't know. I've got no answer for that.

 So I can understand the "Are you on your own," because you wouldn't want that to be public, but when you said, "You never heard this from me" - - -?---Well, I don't want him to go out and say he's got the information - we share information like that, at that type of level. That's - we have done for years.

24 Was Ms Phillips a union member?---I don't know.

Of the West Australian Prison Officers' Union, would she have been a member?---I have no idea.

Given her position, do you agree that she couldn't have been a member of the Western Australian Prison Officers' Union?---I - like I said, I have no idea whether she was a member or not. She had made that announcement, it was going to come out publicly. I was trying to protect her. What I didn't want to do was the union to come out and have a go at I just said that I think she was stupid that she announced the issues that had occurred with her.

You said that she had announced it publicly, what do you mean by she announced it publicly?---She told her senior team.

42 Right?---It had been in the papers.

44 Had it been in the papers at this point?---It may not have 45 been.

No, it hadn't, Mr Hassall. Do you know how it ended up in the papers?---No.

```
If I told you the Commission has information indicating that
   Mr Smith, the following day, following morning,
   leaked it to the media?---I'd be shocked at that.
3
```

4

5 Because the Commission has credible information that that is 6 in fact what he did?---Well, that's shocking.

7

8 And then subsequently, later that day, so the 13th, the story 9 was published?---Okay. I'm shocked about that.

10

11 Would this ordinarily be the type of information that you 12 would disclose to the union? --- Not really. It was because it Wandoo, it was a drug treatment prison. I think that may 13 14 have been the only type of discussion I've ever had with him 15 like that.

16

17 Because he didn't ask you - you said to him, "So she got" -18 if you go to line 13:

19

20 Catharine's going off, so she got herself into a bit of a 21 problem, so she's going off.

2.2 23

He responded:

24

25 Oh right, not a worry -26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

- then he talks about some of the changes. So he doesn't ask for any details, you just offer it. Why was it necessary in your opinion to provide that level of detail to Mr Smith about one of your employees?---Because - well, he had raised with me, I think on a call before, about some issues at Wandoo, and there was going to be a change of superintendent. We would - I would normally tell them there was a change of superintendent.

34 35

36 But would you need to go into the personal details as to 37 why?---Not necessarily, no.

38

39 So why did you on this occasion? --- Because she had made it 40 - she had told people at the prison.

41

42 Right, but her making the choice of telling her own staff is 43 one thing, you making the decision to then disclose that to a union official is another thing?---Yeah, I - - -44

45

46 Did you have her permission to disclose that information?--47 -No.

48

49 So I'm asking you again why did you disclose those 50 personal details?---Well, like I said she had made it - she had told people herself at the prison which I thought was a 51

24/11/20 Epiq

1 misjudgement on her part and what I didn't want and I didn't
2 know he was going to do that but he - that there'd be an
3 issue around that completely with her.
4
5 And you said you haven't disclosed this sort of information

6 previously?---No.

arborood chie sore or information

7

Can I have $0247-1^{\circ}$, please? This is another call the following day.

9 10

8

11 0247-1^

12

13 START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT

14

15 Part conversation from 11:54:50 to 11:55:31

16

17 SMITH: Look just uhm, just quickly, a phone call I got a 18 phone call from a reporter asking me to comment on 19 Catharine's situation.

20

21 HASSALL: Oh yeah the need, we had some stuff in yesterday 22 but, hang on I just stepped in a lift hang on. Hang on.

23

24 SMITH: Yeah no worries.

25

26 HASSALL: Yeah we, we had some stuff yesterday from it so yeah, she should have kept her mouth shut right until

28

29 SMITH: Yeah.

30

31 HASSALL: the actual date so

32

33 SMITH: Absolutely.

34

35 HASSALL: she's moving today I think it's today.

36 37

SMITH: Alright mate I just wanted to let you know I've not said anything obviously oh look I may comment on Wandoo.

38 39 40

END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT

41 42

43

44

45

PANTANO, MS: Were you aware, Mr Hassall, that at the time of this call while Mr Smith said to you in this particular portion of the call that he's not said anything, that he had in fact already leaked this to the media?---I didn't. No, I was not aware of that.

46 47 48

49

50

51

Were you aware of any unrest within Wandoo?---Like I said he called me on the Friday, I think there was three staff short - sorry, three staff on duty and he - he'd made complaints about that and the union had made those issues.

24/11/20 Epiq

```
1
 2
    So in light of the fact that you were aware that there may
    have been some issues going on at Wandoo, do you think it
 3
    was appropriate to divulge that level of detail about an
 4
 5
    outgoing superintendent to the union? --- Well, I didn't link
 6
    them, to be perfectly honest, at all.
 7
 8
    Would you agree that by virtue of your position, Mr Hassall,
 9
    you're privy to information that other individuals wouldn't
10
    be privy to?---Yes.
11
12
    And that you have a duty to deal with that information in an
13
    appropriate way?---Yes.
14
15
    Particularly the personal information about your employees?-
16
    --Yes.
17
18
    Do you think you should have disclosed those personal details
    about Ms Phillips to Mr Smith?---Well, now I know that what
19
    he did - obviously I wouldn't do it. Like I said, I would
20
21
    have discussions with him when people are moving on.
    sometimes he's asked and sometimes, yes, I've said, "Yes,
22
23
    they are moving".
24
25
    Have you given the details as to why they're moving?---No,
26
    not - - -
27
2.8
    Because what - what would be the reason why Mr Smith would
29
    need to know the reasons why Ms Phillips was
    leaving?---Well, like I said I was trying to protect - I
30
31
    know it doesn't seem like it with this but I was trying to
    close down a story that I - I was concerned was going to -
32
33
    and obviously he's leaked that. To - to close that down.
34
35
    I'm finished with that document, thank you. Can I have
36
    0244-3<sup>^</sup>, please?
37
38
    This is a continuation of the first call that I played you.
39
40
    0244-3^
41
42
    START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
43
44
    Part conversation from 15:47:01 to 15:48:16
45
46
    HASSALL: Fran's given Adam a lot of grief about that charter.
47
    I hadn't seen it.
48
49
    SMITH: It, I've sent it both home and to the work
50
    HASSALL: I've, yeah no I've got it now.
51
```

24/11/20 HASSALL, A.D. Epiq (Public Examination)

```
1
 2
    SMITH: Yeah.
 3
 4
    HASSALL: It's just that
 5
 6
    SMITH: Oh you hadn't seen it?
 7
 8
    HASSALL: I hadn't. I had, no. Neither had Mike.
 9
10
    SMITH: Unbelievable.
11
12
    HASSALL: He, I've (sneezes) I've just said to Mike, I've
13
    said look, I've said (coughs) Mike, because I've got the
    corporate history I said I know when they push, I said you
14
    won't have that, I said and they will both of them try a
15
16
    land grab. I said
17
18
    SMITH: Yep.
19
20
    HASSALL: you just be careful. I said they do it to me all
    the fucking time. Actually, I've just given up with it 'cos
21
22
    it's debilitating Andy.
23
24
    SMITH: Yeah.
25
    HASSALL: (coughs) And I've said you know, you j- I've said
26
27
    just gotta be careful just what you know, I've said the
28
    minister's gonna try and change it next year, I said but uhm
29
    he won't be here so then they'll come in and they'll be like
    vultures picking everything. I said, and at the end of the
30
31
    day, neither of them have any fuckin' experience in our
32
    world, do you know what I mean?
33
34
    SMITH: No that's right. Look you, you can pass on to Mike
35
    we'll give him the same support we gave to you.
36
37
    HASSALL: Yeah I will. And he knows that. And I said look,
38
    and he absolutely does, yeah.
39
40
    SMITH: Yeah, 'cos I, you know, I trust him as much as I trust
41
    you
42
43
    HASSALL: Yeah.
44
45
    SMITH: and we, we'd always look watch his back.
46
47
    HASSALL: Yeah, good good.
48
49
    END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
50
```

24/11/20 Epiq PANTANO, MS: Mr Hassall, there's just a couple of - well, there's several points in this call that I want to take you to. If we can just scroll to the top, please, what "charter" are you talking about here?---Well, the charter that you've referred to in that, like I said I hadn't seen it till a couple of weeks ago.

7
8 Fran's given Adam a lot of grief about that charter.

10 Is that Fran Logan and Adam Tomison?---Yes.

11

9

12 That's your respective Minister and Director 13 General?---Yep.

14

15 Why are you disclosing to the union about potential issues 16 between the head of your department and the Minister who 17 oversees your department?---Like I said it's the - you - you 18 have to understand the nature of those relationships to 19 understand that conversation.

20

21 So tell me about it, then?---Well, there's - the Minister 22 has a view on some things which I'm not prepared to -23 whatever - - -

2425

I'm not asking you what his view is?---He's recounted them to me, he's recounted them to the union and like I said I - I hadn't seen that charter until two weeks before.

272829

30

31

32

33

26

Yes. It's one thing for the Minister to air his view on something to the union and it's one thing for the Director General if he chose to do so, to air his view on certain things to the union. But do you agree it's another thing for you to air their views amongst themselves to the union?--No.

343536

37

38

Why not?---Because I have that relationship with the Minister and with the union and like I said, there was concerns raised about that charter which I've said to you in the evidence I gave this morning.

39 40 41

42

What were the concerns raised, your concerns about the charter?---Well, I think I said, didn't I, about the overreach, the security; stuff like that.

43 44

You said that was a hypothetical. That that hadn't actually occurred but that was a hypothetical scenario?---Yep, which could occur.

48

49 Right. So it's one thing again for you to disclose your 50 concerns about the charter to the union, but why are you disclosing potential discontent between the Minister and the

24/11/20

```
Director General to the union?---It just came up in - just
1
2
    as a conversation. Look, you know just happened.
3
    Yes, I know it happened?---I can't - I can't explain why I
4
5
    had that conversation. He - I - I don't know what went on
    before. He must have raised it with me, he must have called
 6
7
    me about it. I - I don't know who even started that call.
8
9
    In any event, do you think it was appropriate for you to
    disclose that fact to the union?---Well, like I said you
10
11
    have to understand the nature of the relationship going back
12
    a number of years.
13
14
    Yes. Yes, but was it then appropriate for you to disclose
15
    discontent between a minister and a director general to the
16
    union?---I didn't - I don't think I've said discontent, have
17
    I? I think - - -
18
19
    Someone is giving someone a lot of grief about the charter
20
21
    ?---Yeah. Well - - -
22
23
    So that implies a level of discontent between two
24
    parties?---Correct.
25
26
    Yes?---And he raised it with me and I said, yes, there's
27
    discontent - there's discontent. I don't see that that's
28
    inappropriate.
29
30
    You said:
31
32
    I've said to Mike, 'When they push,' I said, 'you won't have
33
    that and they will both of them try a land grab'.
34
35
    That's at line 10 to 12 - sorry, 11 to 12?---Yeah.
36
37
    Who will - sorry, what are you referring to?---I can't
    remember. I think that's around searching or stuff like
38
          I - I - - -
39
40
41
    Who's going to try a land grab?---I can't recall.
    it might have been some - some aspects of Professional
42
43
    Standards.
44
45
    Were going to try a land grab of what? --- Around the charter,
    the search; like I said, the searching in the charter.
46
47
48
    The searching of the charter?---The - there's - there's stuff
```

49

50

that.

that's - access to the prisons in the charter and stuff like

1 And what, what would be the land grab?---I - I think that's just a bad term that I've used.

3

4 Yes, in place of what?---I can't recall. The conversation 5 was - - -

6

7 It was only the 12th of this month?---Well, look, I can't 8 recall.

9

10 Take your time, Mr Hassall, and if you need a break to gather 11 your thoughts you're welcome to do that?---I - I absolutely 12 don't need a break at all.

13

Okay. Well, take - take your time and cast your mind back because it's only a couple of weeks ago that this call took place and you said earlier in your evidence that you haven't actually had many conversations with the union recently, you said maybe three or so - - -?---I think - - -

19

20 --- informal ---?---Yeah. Look, I think what I was 21 referring to there was the scope creep that I've said to you 22 earlier in evidence this morning.

2324

Okay. You said:

25

26 I said, 'Just be careful'. I said, 'They do it to me all 27 the fucking time' -

28

- at line 15. What did you mean by that?---Well, the undermining that I've had to put up with for the last couple of years.

32

33 Between whom?---One or two people in Professional Standards.

34

Right. You're employed by the Department, is that correct?----Yes.

37

38 Professional Standards are part of that department?---Yep.

39

The union are a separate entity. Do you think it's appropriate for you, in the role that you hold as Commissioner of Corrective Services, to be discussing these sorts of matters with the union?---Well, I think you have to understand two things; (1) the - the nature of what I have had to put up with over the last three years and if you want me to talk about that I'm happy to and - - -

47

Only insofar as it's relevant, Mr Hassall?---Well, the undermining that I've had to put up with, the - how I carry out my role by one or two people in Professional Standards, the lack of support that I've had and I guess, yes, that's

24/11/20 Epiq driven me to have a - probably have - you know raise things like that. I was coming to the end of my time, I was obviously frustrated around the lack of consultation. It may have been an error of judgment on my part.

You mentioned earlier on several occasions about issues with the union and them holding too much power over several aspects within Corrective Services and the Department. you think this was - these conversations we've heard so far are helping that?---Look, you have to have productive relationships. I don't think - like I said, that their role is three parts: the Industrial Agreement, the consultation I'm on my way out. I've obviously had a and this. conversation there. I don't think it's gone too far. Like I said I was trying to - I think she made a naïve decision. The charter was raised with me around the frustration I wasn't consulted on it, I had never seen it and that was my frustration coming out. But that's - that's not unusual. That - - -

21 What's not unusual?---That lack of consultation being - at 22 all.

Is the appropriate forum then - - -?---You're picking - you're picking two examples there in the way you're representing it, there's - that that's how it's been for the last three years and it isn't.

Mr Hassall, you may have misinterpreted. I certainly haven't, in any of my questioning, alluded to the fact that this is representative of the last three years. I'm merely talking about this particular conversation, that's all. That's all my questions are around is this particular conversation. You said in your evidence earlier that the relationship with the union, my words, were up and down, which you agreed with, and that you had said that Mr Smith had said to you that you were - or he has said in the past that you were the worst commissioner, they were your words?--Yep.

And that the relationship with your office was the worst it's been for years?---He's - he's described that and then he's gone the other way and said it's great. So he changes.

Right. Because at line 23 if we can scroll down, he is saying "We'll give Mike" - is he the person acting in your role?---Yep.

"The same support that we gave you" - and you said, "He knows that". And Smith says "I trust him as much as I trust you" and that "we'll always look - watch his back" and you

24/11/20 Epiq HASSALL, A.D. (Public Examination)

responded, "Yeah, good, good". Mr Hassall, we've only got a couple of examples so far that I've shown you of you 2 3 disclosing certain information to the union. Is this 4 indicative of the types of information you have been 5 disclosing to the union? --- Absolutely not.

6 7

So why are we just seeing a couple of examples

8 here?---Well, like I - like I said he raised Wandoo with me 9 on the - the two things that come up was Wandoo and the charter. The charter had been raised with me by the Minister 10 11 and the charter had been raised with me by him. 12 seen that. I had a quick look at it. I - I thought there 13 were some aspects in it like I said I had concerns over, but 14 generally some - some of it were fine. And that's it.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

You said this is not indicative of the types of information you would ordinarily have disclosed to the union over the last three years. Why not? Do you see something wrong with the information you've disclosed here?---No. Well, like I said I don't have - some of - some of the information around the capacity in the system, that type of stuff, that absolutely yes.

22 23 24

Yes?---But not - - -

25 26

27

28

But what about the information you've disclosed here?---Well, if there's been concerns around things I would give them a bit more of an understanding. I can't think of any examples like this, though.

29 30 31

32

33

34

If you had your time again would you still have disclosed this information we've seen so far?---Well, now I know what he did with the Catharine thing, no. The charter? Look, that's just frustration to be honest. I will accept that I was actually come to the - yeah, that was just frustration.

35 36

Can I have 0249-1, please?

37 38

39 Another call, Mr Hassall, between yourself and Mr Smith.

40

41 0249-1^

42

43 START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT

44 45

Part conversation from 19:21:44 to 19:24:09

46

47 SMITH: I'm trying to, I'm trying not to create a scene with 48 Wandoo, okay?

49

50 HASSALL: Yeah, no no, I get it.

51

24/11/20 HASSALL, A.D. (Public Examination)

```
SMITH: But but this is ridiculous. Tony Clarks's controlling
    everything, we get put out three weeks. All I can do is go
 3
    to the media and say Wandoo is now a farce. Okay?
 4
 5
    HASSALL: Yeah, n-
 6
 7
    SMITH: It is a disaster waiting to happen, and right on the
    top of what has just gone out in the papers, it would be
 8
 9
    cream. And I'm really trying to do the right thing by Andy
10
    Beck but
11
12
    HASSALL: Yeah.
13
14
    SMITH: he's not doing the right thing by us. There's a
15
    shortage of
16
    HASSALL: Have you, have
17
18
    SMITH: staff. It is bloody ridiculous, it's dangerous.
19
    There's four on arms
20
21
    HASSALL: Right.
22
23
    SMITH: there. Firstly they should be removed because the
24
    agreement was they'd never be on arms, so you've got no staff
    to deal with them on arms, but you've got four on arms, and
25
    you've got one person on the floor. They can't even crack a
26
27
    cell.
2.8
29
    HASSALL: How many are they supposed to (indistinct) what's
30
    the- what's the staffing and what, what they should have
31
32
    SMITH: The staffing at night, I think it's six at night?
33
34
    HASSALL: Okay.
35
36
    SMITH: But there's two out at, there's two out on a hospital
37
    shift, they've just had an incident.
38
39
    HASSALL: Yep.
40
41
    SMITH: There's eight at, there should be eight at night,
42
    okay?
43
44
    HASSALL: Right.
45
46
    SMITH: It's just ridiculous
47
48
    HASSALL: Alright.
49
50
    SMITH: we're tryin' to deal with it, we're doing the right
    thing we're ringin' 'em but this Tony Clark he's gotta
51
```

24/11/20 HASSALL, A.D. 44
Epiq (Public Examination)

```
1
    control every fricken' thing and I'm over it now it's just
 2
    media, media, media from now.
 3
 4
    HASSALL: Can you wait for a week until I've gone?
 5
 6
    SMITH: Well I'm tryin', Tony I'm trying to do
 7
 8
    HASSALL: I know, no I'm (indistinct)
 9
    SMITH: the right thing but it's just frickin' ridiculous.
10
11
12
    HASSALL: Let me speak to Beck and I'll get him to give you
    a call. I, I don't know what their problems have been over
13
    there 'cos look I mean, they shouldn't be running if they
14
15
    shouldn't be running five light but let me speak to him and
16
    I'11
17
18
    SMITH: Yeah.
19
20
    HASSALL: I'll get him to give you a call.
21
22
    SMITH: And the problem we've got is that they've let it run
23
    down so much over the last three or four weeks that it
24
    ordinarily the staff would have bent over backwards for the
25
    management. But they've
26
27
    HASSALL: Yeah.
2.8
29
    SMITH: treated the staff like shit for the last five weeks
30
    and we've told Andy Beck that and he refuses to meet with me
31
    he just you know too far off it's just Tony Clark, Tony
32
    Clark. Okay? Now the
33
34
    HASSALL: Well that's not
35
36
    SMITH: the staff
37
38
    HASSALL: that's not what it's supposed to be.
39
40
    SMITH: Well it's not what it's meant to be
41
42
    HASSALL: (indistinct)
43
    SMITH: but it's what's happening and the staff now literally
44
    just walk out the gate and say no deal with it. You know if,
45
    if the management don't give a stuff 'cos two of the senior
46
47
    managers walked out, two of the senior staff walked out while
48
    the incident was going on and the
49
50
    HASSALL: Who was that?
51
```

24/11/20 HASSALL, A.D. Epiq (Public Examination)

1 SMITH: staff are going you know that, that's just bloody 2 ridiculous you've got the ASOS which is uhm Catharine's 3 appointed Wendell Tennent

4 5

HASSALL: Oh.

6

7 SMITH: goes no, no I'm going home, in the middle of an 8 incident. It's just, it why, why should the staff try and 9 act professional and we're getting taken to the cleaners?

10 11

END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT

12 13

14

15

PANTANO, MS: So, Mr Hassall, you mentioned earlier about some issues going on at Wandoo. Are these some of the issues that were going on?---I think that was the call on the Friday night, yes.

16 17

18 It was, at 7.20 that evening?---Yep.

19

Friday the 13th. What did you understand to be the issues that were going on at Wandoo?---Well, there's two he's raised there. One was the shortage of staff which I've said I think, and the other one it's just reminded me was the - if you scroll back up, about the relationship between the superintendent and that ASO I think he was, which I just ignored to be honest.

27 28

Which what, sorry?---There was - I think he's raised two things there. One was the shortage of staff which was my immediate concern.

30 31

29

32 Yes?---No staff on nights. And then I think he raised an issue around the superintendent and - I'm just looking - an ASO.

35 36

Someone who the superintendent had appointed?---Yeah.

37

38 Yes. So if we can just go to the - you said it was your 39 immediate concern around staff shortages, was it?---Yep.

40

41 Okay. And the concern around staff shortages I won't get 42 you to go through it but is an obvious one in a prison 43 environment. Why at line 34 did you say:

44

45 Can you wait for a week until I've gone

46

47 ?---Look, that was a joke. I mean - - -

48

A joke about what?---He was going to go to the press. I mean, he threatens that all of the time with me in the past

```
1 and I just said - I was - he knew I was leaving and I was 2 just - it was just a joke.
```

With all due respect, Mr Hassall, it didn't sound like a joke when you said it?---Well, what did you - seriously are you saying that - what did you think I meant?

7

8 That's what I'm asking you?---It was a complete joke. It 9 was a banter between me and him. And if you're drawing 10 anything from that, I can absolutely tell you know you are 11 wrong.

12

Well, he responds at line 35 in response to you saying "Can you wait a week - wait for a week until I've gone", he says:

15

16 Well, I'm tryin'. Tony, I'm trying.

17

?---It was seriously a humour between two people. I have a 19 - and if you - if you're drawing any conclusion other than 20 me saying "Just wait for a week and I'll be gone" as a joke, 21 then you are wrong. I can tell you now, you are absolutely 22 categorically mistaken.

23

You say humour and banter and we can play that part - well, from the start of the call again, because my proposition to you, Mr Hassall, is that Mr Smith didn't sound like he was - - -?---It was - - -

28

30

31 - - - in this call?--- - - 7.30 on a Friday evening. I was out at dinner.

33

34 Right?---I was having with my partner.

35

Right?---He was on the phone. You heard the tone of his voice. And I was just saying, "Andy, just wait a week". It was a complete joke. If he was here, he would tell you that's exactly how it was taken.

40

But he responds "I'm trying", so he didn't - on one reading, it doesn't seem that he thinks you were joking in his response?---Well, you - you - I can absolutely tell you he was. And to draw any conclusion from that other than banter is wrong.

46

What part is banter, Mr Hassall?---Well, I'll wait for a week to look. He knew I was leaving. It was a - seriously a joke. What did you - I mean, do you think I was going to say "I won't deal with any issues for a week"?

```
Did you not want any adverse publicity while you were still
1
    in the office, Mr Hassall?---Absolutely not at all.
2
3
 4
    Can I have 0247-2^, please?
5
6
    0247-2^
7
8
    START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
9
    Part conversation from 11:55:51 to 11:56:47
10
11
12
    HASSALL: Are you on your own?
13
14
    SMITH: Ah I am. I'm just about to go into uhm ah an
15
    appointment.
16
17
    HASSALL: Okay well there's a couple of other things. Ah
18
    Catharine's not the only one. Are you there?
19
20
    SMITH: Oh yeah, yeah, yep.
21
2.2
    HASSALL: Acacia.
23
24
    SMITH: Oh, oh I was aware of that, I did hear of that one.
25
26
    HASSALL: Yeah last month. And
27
2.8
    SMITH: Yeah.
29
30
    HASSALL: also at Acacia they, did you know there's a, there's
31
    a, there's a sexual, sexual assault gang been operating up
32
    there?
33
34
    SMITH: No I didn't.
35
36
    HASSALL: In the, in the protection, it's massive. In the
    protection unit, standing over, sexually assaulting
37
38
    prisoners, bikies and everything.
39
40
    SMITH: Oh. No, not, not a word of it.
41
42
    HASSALL: Well you, you haven't heard it from me.
43
44
    SMITH: No.
45
46
    HASSALL: You should get, ask some questions
47
48
    SMITH: Yeah.
49
    HASSALL: and there you go.
50
51
```

24/11/20 HASSALL, A.D. (Public Examination)

```
SMITH: Not a worry I, I will and ah yeah. Not a worry
```

HASSALL: Alright I

5 SMITH: no I appreciate that one.

HASSALL: alright, alright I'll leave it with ya.

END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT

 PANTANO, MS: Who's interests do you represent, Mr Hassall, in your role as Commissioner?---Well, what do you mean? That would be normal information that I would give to him if we've operational concerns like that.

You've got operational concerns about a prison, why would you tell the union?---Because he - he - he will know that that is going on there at some point. I don't want him to hear it from me, so he knows that there's concerns up there.

So why would he need to know that?---Because he's the head of the union and represents all of the staff up there.

Right. But it's not staff being allegedly sexually assaulted, it's prisoners?---Well, that - there's - that will be an operational issue. That type of information, I would give to him.

Right. Why did you ask again "Are you on your own"?--Because I don't want him to be in a room where there's
generally - that's the type - that's the nature of the
relationship I have with him.

Okay. And then again at line 17, why did you say "You haven't heard it from me". And before you answer, if there's nothing wrong with you sharing this information as part of duties, part of your functions and role as Commissioner, why did you not want it attributed to you?---Because I don't want - I didn't want - I don't want him leaking it to the media and saying "I've got this from the Commissioner". But if you think that in this role you don't have those types of discussions around those types of things, then you do.

You didn't say "Don't tell the media". You didn't say "Don't tell the media" in relation to Ms Phillips either. But you used the same phrases, or words similar, "You haven't heard it from me"?---That's just a phrase that I use - - -

Right?--- - - to be perfectly honest.

1 Which means don't talk to the media, is that what your 2 evidence is?---Yes.

3

So you saying "You haven't heard it from me" and "Are you on your own" means don't talk to the media?---It means it's a private conversation between the two of us.

6 7

5

8 Well, it is a private conversation because were you of the 9 understanding that you having this conversation with anybody 10 else present?---Sorry?

11

12 Were you of the understanding that you were having this 13 conversation with anybody else present other than Mr Smith?-14 --No. I asked him.

15

16 No. Right. So you knew that it was a private conversation?-17 --Yep.

18

So why do you not want this information attributed to you?--Because if it - I don't want him leaking it to the media
and saying it's come from me. But we have type of
conversation about things going on in the prisons. That that occurs.

24

Would there be anything that you would tell Mr Smith that you would be happy for it to be leaked to the media?--Absolutely not.

28

Right. So is it the fact then that it's an unspoken rule, my words, that when you disclose information to Mr Smith, you would just expect that he wouldn't go to the media?--- Yes.

33

Right. So why then do you need to, given you've been in this role for several years, why then do you need to preface it with firstly, "Are you on your own" and secondly, end it with "You haven't heard it from me"?---Look, it's just something I would say. I - I - it's part of my conversation. I have no idea why.

40

It's it because you didn't - sorry, I'll rephrase that. Is it because you knew you shouldn't be telling him this information?---I - I would have that same conversation with him today on both.

45

Why did you say Mr Smith should ask some questions?---Cos I wanted him to find out his own information at - at Acacia.

48

49 Why?---It's going - it's going to be an issue.

1 Had you reported this to anybody else?---The Acacia issues,
2 it's a significant issue for us, yes.

Yes, so had you reported this information to anybody else other than Mr Smith?---Most people in the Department know about it.

Right. So it's being dealt with - - -?---Yes, absolutely.

10 - - - by the Department?---Of course.

So why are you giving a heads up to the union, who you've already acknowledge causes several issues for various prisons because of their increased control, why are you giving them the heads up about information when the Department is already dealing with it?---Because like I said to you, that's the way I - we - we absolutely work in that way when there's things like that, operational issues, we share information with.

What's your criteria, your threshold, for when you're going to tell the union something?---It would depend on each each circumstance it would be different.

But if your Department, who you are employed by, are already dealing with an issue, why are you - in your role as Commissioner, why did you feel the need that you had to let the union know about this?---I - look, I - it's just the way I - I've done this with them on these types of things. I think to think that you can't share that type of information and those operational things is - frankly, it's just - well, it just wouldn't work.

The Commission is cognisant of the fact that there needs - should be a level of working relationship - - -?---I think - - -

--- between the two ---?---I think what I would have done differently is probably had a more detailed brief on the Acacia issue with him.

Why?---Well - well, like I said, that's just the way we - we've operated.

So you're saying the only thing you would have done differently is given him more information?---Not necessarily more information, I just wouldn't have done it like that over the telephone. I would have actually sat down with him and said "This is what we're doing. These are the actions that we're taking".

```
Were you gossiping here, Mr Hassall?---No.
2
3
    Were you gossiping with Ms Phillips' information?---I don't
    think so. Like I said, I was - she'd given that information
 4
         I think that was a naïve thing to do. It was just
    trying to protect her. I didn't think he would leak it to
7
    the media. He's never given me that indication he would do
8
    that before.
9
10
    Is it the fact Acacia's a private prison?---Yes.
11
12
    What oversight or involvement do Corrective Services have
13
    over the private prisons? --- They manage the contract.
14
15
    So Acacia's on a contract?---Correct.
16
17
    Okay. And how do they - how are they awarded the contract?
    Is it a tender process? How does it work?---It's - it's -
18
19
    well, it's just going through at the moment, it's all being
20
    done.
21
22
    It's going through at the moment?---It's being - there's a
23
    tender process being done, yep.
24
25
    And Acacia's part of that?---Yep.
26
27
    Right. And what involvement do you have in that process?--
28
    -Very little. I'm - I'm on - - -
29
30
    So what - - -?---I'm on - - -
31
32
    - - - involvement do you have in it?---I'm on the evaluation
33
    panel, but the Director General signs it off.
34
35
    All right. So you're on the evaluation panel?---Yes.
36
37
    To choose, or to decide, whether or not a - a - a private
38
    prison will be awarded a contract?---Correct.
39
40
    Right. How many people on the evaluation panel?---Well, it
41
    changed. I just took over because somebody was stood down.
42
    I think there was about eight. I - I - - -
43
44
    So you have a - is it like a - you have a voting sort of
45
    right?---It's a voting system, yeah.
46
```

48

Right. And everyone on the evaluation panel votes? --- No.

49 Did you have a vote?---I just chaired it and then the 50 recommendations go up to the Director General.

```
1 Right. So you were the chair of the evaluation panel?---
2 Correct.
```

4 And did you have a voting right as well?---Yes.

5

6 Right. Okay. And then it - recommendations are made by the evaluation panel?---Yes.

8

9 To the Director General?---Yes.

10

11 Who has the final say?---Correct.

12

Okay. So you're currently chairing an evaluation panel for - -?--It's a - the process had completed. It was complete. It's gone up to government.

16

17 Right. So - - -?---But if you - - -

18

19 Sorry, continue?---No.

20

21 Sorry, well - well - well - - -?---No, no, I'd say the 22 process is complete. It was complete.

23

Did you see there being any conflict then, Mr Hassall, of your involvement in the evaluation panel, chairing it, and then you disclosing this information to the union?---No, as - as I said, that process is complete.

28

When would - when had it been completed?---I haven't got the timeline. It was before this conversation.

31

How do you know that?---Cos I - I do, it's - I - I haven't got the timeline, but I know it was completed before this, cos the recommendations went up and the Minister's been briefed.

36 37

38

39

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Did the union have a view about the desirability of Acacia being a private prison, as it were?---They don't - their - their view is there - there's no role for the private sector in the running of prisons.

40 41 42

43

44

45

Okay. Would this information have given them some ammunition if they were wanting to do something about Acacia?---I don't think you could - well, as I've said, the tender and the process has been complete. This would just be an operational discussion that I had with them.

46 47 48

49

50

PANTANO, MS: If you were already aware of the union's view on certain private prisons, do you see that disclosing something like this could just add fuel to the fire?---This

could have been at any gaol. It just happened to be at 2 Acacia. 3 4 Yes?---Their view on the private prisons is not my view. 5 6 I didn't ask whether it was your view, but as I asked you, 7 did you knowing that that is their view, then disclosing 8 this information which you've said you didn't want it to 9 come from me - from you, do you see that it could add fuel to the fire?---Their - their - their view is - they're -10 11 they're already vehemently opposed to the private sector. 12 13 Right?---This - I - I haven't made that link between it 14 being a private prison. This was an operation issue and I 15 have briefed the unions on operational issues where I felt 16 it's beneficial. 17 18 And how could this have been beneficial to the union?---19 Because this is a big issue. This - this will be a 20 significant issue for the Department to deal with. 21 22 Yes, for the Department to deal with. So tell me how this 23 was a big issue for - that the union needed to know about?-24 --Well, that's a judgment call that I made. 25 26 Yes, so I'm asking you why?---Because usually things like 27 that, he - he would - he's come out in the past and criticised 28 the Department in the media and stuff like that, and I've 29 just taken the view that it's better to get them in the tent, 30 if you like, earlier on. 31 32 So if that's your view, why did you say "You haven't heard it from me"?---Look, I don't know. I - I just - I don't 33 34 want him saying "The Commissioner's told us this" in the 35 media. It's a confidential conversation between him as the 36 head of the union and me as the Commissioner. 37 38 I'm finished with that document, thank you. 39 40 Mr Hassall, I just want to take to the Code of Conduct. 41 42 Can I have 0036, please? 43 44 0036^ 45 46 PANTANO, MS: Does that document look familiar to you? I

49 If we can scroll down to the contents, then go to page 3. 50 It's the message from the Director General. And it's dated,

51 if we can scroll down, June 2019.

> 24/11/20 HASSALL, A.D. (Public Examination)

understand it's just the cover page?---Yep.

47

```
1
2
    If you can see at paragraph 4, it says that:
3
4
    This Code of Conduct sets out the minimum behavioural
5
    standards to which we are all bound.
 6
7
    ?---Yes.
8
9
    And - and second last paragraph:
10
    As a member of our diverse workforce, you must comply with
11
    the terms of this Code of Conduct and relevant supporting
12
13
    legislation, policies and procedures.
14
15
    Do you agree that this code applies to you?---Yes.
16
17
    If we can scroll to page 4 please?
18
19
    Under "Introduction", after the dot points:
20
21
    This Code of Conduct binds all sections of the workforce to
    an ethical standard of behaviour.
2.2
23
24
    So you'll over the part - previous two pages that this code
25
    sets out the minimum behavioural standards and also refers
26
    to ethical standards of behaviour, to which all employees
27
    are bound, including yourself.
2.8
29
    If we can go to page 5, please?
30
31
    Under "Our Principles", it highlights the principles that
    define the work required - sorry, required of - of each of
32
33
          That's a high-performing. Being high-performing and
34
    professional, ethical and accountable, trained,
                                                      safe and
35
    supported.
36
37
    And at paragraph 3, it goes into the Code of Conduct
38
    standards. And the first one is - talks about personal
39
    behaviour and acting with honest - sorry:
40
41
    Acting honestly and with integrity in your personal conduct
    and how you treat others, including but not limited to the
42
43
    following.
44
45
    And what I'm interested in is at the second dot point
    "Personal Behaviour Expectations":
46
47
48
    We lead by example and strive for excellence by setting high
49
    standards in the discharge of our professional duties and
50
    personal conduct.
51
```

24/11/20 Epiq 1 And if we can just go to the top of page 6, it flows on to 2 say:

3

We are responsible for maintaining a professional role with all with whom we come into contact.

5

7 I just want to ask you some questions about that, Mr Hassall. 8 Is it correct you occupy the highest position within 9 Corrective Services?---Yes.

10

11 Do you agree that you need to lead by example?---Yes.

12

13 And that you need to set high standards in the discharge of your professional duties and personal conduct?---Yes.

15

Do you therefore consider that the disclosure of Ms Phillips' personal information to be acting within those parameters?—

--Like I said, she'd already disclosed it.

19

20 To her staff?---To her staff.

21

22 Right. You've disclosed it outside of the organisation?--23 Yes.

24

Yes, so I'll ask you again, do you consider that the - - -?--Well, in hindsight, I probably wouldn't have done. But I
still maintain that I was trying to act in her best interest.
I said to the - when it was told to me that she'd reported
that information, that I thought it was a mistake. We wanted
to manage the - any fallout from that.

31

Were you maintaining a professional role, Mr Hassall, in disclosing the potential discontent between your DG and the Minister?---I don't think there was any - I don't think - I've just - I think the Minister has raised this and issue and he's going to raise it with the Director General.

37

Were you maintain your professional role, Mr Hassall, in disclosing the inner departmental issues about Acacia with the union?---Like, I said, that would be information - some operational information I've shared with the union before that level, yes.

43 44

If we can go to 3.2, where it says:

45

Expectations of behaviour towards our employees, members of the public and those in the Department's care.

48

49 In paragraph 2, it says:

We exercise proper courtesy, consideration and sensitivity in the performance of our duties and our dealing with your employees and the broader community, including those in the Department's care.

Mr Hassall, do you think you exercise proper courtesy, consideration and sensitivity in disclosing Ms Phillips', one of the your senior staff member's personal information?——Like I said to you three times now, she disclosed that information. I wish she hadn't. I didn't want her to move on from that position, but there was some point that was going to have to happen.

So you think you did - - -?---And - - -

 --- exercise proper courtesy and consideration when disclosing her personal information?---Well, I was trying to - it doesn't seem like that from how you're reading it here, but I can absolutely tell you my best intention was to protect her position as superintendent.

And when advising Mr Smith about Fran allegedly giving Adam a lot of grief, do you think you were exercising proper consideration and sensitivity around issues to do with the charter?---Well, that - that wouldn't - I had no - that was raised with me the minister. He asked me if I'd seen it, and I said no. He'd raised it with me, and I just told him. I - I - if you think that that type - those types conversations don't occur, you just happened to have recorded, then they do.

What types of conversations occur?---That - well, those types of conversations that you've just replayed there.

So the head of the Corrective Services disclosing information about - - -?---Well, I wasn't disclosing information. What I was saying there that the Minister is going raise it so that's it.

No, that's not what you said. You said, "Fran has given Adam a lot of grief about that charter," which - the assumption is that someone is not happy about someone else's decision?---Yeah. Well, I haven't seen it, so - - -

Right, but Mr Tomison has signed off on the charter?---Well, I assume so. I hadn't seen it at the time.

48 Right?---And I think if you hadn't - the call before, the 49 union had raised it with me and I just - just leave it, let 50 - basically, they can just let it run.

In fairness, in the call that I played you, that wasn't what you said. So I'll ask you again, do you think that you exercised proper courtesy, consideration and sensitivity when disclosing discontent between your Minister and Director General?---You have to understand the nature of those relationships, and yes, I do.

7

8 Thank you. Now, if we can go to - still on page 6, sorry, 9 where the heading Communication and Official Information. 10 What do you understand "official information" to mean, 11 Mr Hassall?---Any information by the department.

12

13 And it gives a bit of a definition there, the second 14 paragraph, under Official Information:

15

We ensure that any information or material that we receive or view or access, or become aware of in connection with our jobs, or the operations of the department, is not misused intentionally or non-intentionally, whether or not the misuse is for the benefit of us or the department, or for the benefit, or to the detriment of any other person.

2223

Does that accord with your understanding of what official information is?---Yes.

2425

Okay. I just want to confirm, you came to be aware of the information regarding Ms Phillips through your role?--Correct.

29

30 Do you consider that to be official information?---Yes.

31

Okay. And you've already acknowledged that you didn't have Ms Phillips' authority to disclose it. That's correct?--No.

35

Do you think that you needed to, or are you of the view that you needed to respect the privacy of her?---Well, the information wasn't private. She - as I've said to you, she had already made an announcement to her team. That information was going to get out.

41

How do you know that that information was definitely going to get out, just by the mere fact that she's told her senior staff?---Because that's the way prisons operate.

45

What's the way prisons operate?---Nothing is - that's just - information leaks like that in prisons.

48

49 Do you think that's correct?---Yes.

50

51 Why?---Because the - I just - that's my experience.

24/11/20 Epiq HASSALL, A.D. (Public Examination)

No, no, but do you think that's an okay practise that information is leaked?---I'm not saying it's an okay practise, but what I'm telling is, I was trying to protect her. I know you're not portraying it that way, but that was my motivation.

7

9

10 11 In light of the fact that you had some knowledge that the union weren't happy with several staffing issues, amongst others, at Wandoo Prison - I know you said you didn't link the two, but can you see how it may have been an issue with disclosing that sort of information, there was already unrest?---No.

13 14 15

16

12

No?---That - the staffing issue was raised with me on the Friday evening. I had no indication that it had been a long-standing dispute at all.

17 18

The discontent between the Minister and the Director General, did you come to know that information by virtue of your role?---Yes.

22

Okay. Do you consider that to have been official information?---Not really, because I can tell you now that the union would also be aware of that information.

26

27 Of what information?---Well, on the charter.

28

29 How?---Well, that's a question you'll have to put to the union.

31

No, but you said you know that the union already know that?-33 --Well, I - - -

34

35 I'm asking you how you know that?---Because they told me -36 but you'll have to ask them where they got that information
37 from.

38

39 I'm not asking you where they got the information from, I'm
40 asking you where you got the information from?---The union.

41

Right, so they told you that they already knew that there may - that certain people might have had a view about the charter?---Correct.

- Right, but it's a different thing to disclose that there was discontent between the two most senior people in your organisation, one being the Director General, one being the Minister?---Well, your assumption is that they hadn't already been told. You're saying that I had given that
- 51 information, and that's not correct.

If the Minister wants to tell the union one thing, that's their prerogative, if the Director General wants to tell the union one thing, that's their - his prerogative, but do you see that it's an entirely different matter for you to be disclosing discontent between two other individuals?---Not really, on this particular issue, no.

8

Particularly, in light of the fact that you knew the union also weren't happy about it? You don't think that was adding again fuel to the fire?---No.

11 12 13

10

Strengthening the union's position?---No.

14 15

The information about Acacia, do you agree that came to you by virtue of your role?---Yes.

16 17

18 Did you consider that to be official information?---Yes.

19 20

21

22

Did you have authority to disclose it?---Well, nobody gives me authority to disclose information. As I've said to you, I would share with the union operational information, where I would deem it necessary.

23 24

25

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: You weren't operating that prison?---Sorry?

262728

You weren't - your department wasn't operating that prison?--No.

293031

You had contract supervision?---Yes, but this would be a significant issue for that prison.

32 33 34

PANTANO, MS: I'm finished with that document, thank you.

35 36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46 47

48

49 50

51

You've said throughout the morning, Mr Hassall, that cultural change comes about via a variety of factors, but one of them is that it's got to start at the top. And you've said that there is evidence of distrust at various levels, including from the top. Do you think that your actions in disclosing this information, albeit you say you - there was no issue with it, but could you see how it could instil distrust in the rest of the organisation? --- Not really. Having a good, productive relationship with the union is like I've said to you this morning - is what I try to aim for. Those two examples are one - as I've said, I was trying to protect the individual, and I just - you've made it sound it didn't seem that way, but I was, and the second one was that was the information we would share - by transparent with them, knowing that there's a bid issue coming, I don't see a problem with that at all.

	Commissioner, perhaps we can have a short - just a 10-minute adjournment will be sufficient.
4 5 6	THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: We'll adjourn for 10 minutes.
7 8	(THE WITNESS WITHDREW)
9	(Short adjournment)

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Please be seated.

PANTANO, MS: Mr Hassall, just a couple more questions before we finish up today. You said that nothing stays secure within the prisons and that information spills out or leaks out. Do you - is it your view that - or your opinion that this contributes to a culture of distrust within the Department?---Not necessarily.

Why not?---Well, because it doesn't.

When you made the comment about information spilling out and that information gets out anyway - - -?--I was - I was generalising.

Yes, I understand that?---I was - and when - so I was generalising about information. One of the things, like I said to you this morning around mistrust is a whole range of things, where I had people selected for positions. I've talked to you about the impact of the Freemasons. I notice you've chosen to ask me no questions on that or the impact of that at all. And all of those things. And that's probably where you should probably ask other people those types of questions.

So I appreciate - - -?---So you're choosing to focus on two conversations I had and characterise my style on two conversations, which is, I think, grossly unfair. What I'm saying to you is there are other things. And I've raised this with the Commission before. I've raised with - I think when we had our closed hearing the last time, I ran some of the things that are going on. And I - I don't know what you're doing in that space, but I would suggest to you that that's another area that you should look at.

Just to set the record straight, Mr Hassall, I - I did mention it earlier, but maybe I wasn't clear enough. I, in playing these calls to you, I, nor the Commission, are attempting to characterise your entire term of Commissioner by these two calls. So I just want to make that perfectly clear with you?---Cos that's certainly not the case. I - I - and again, I note that you have not made one comment about the other things that I've raised with you. Both here at this hearing and in the closed hearing, and I think I've raised it before more than once with the Commission.

Okay. Mr Hassall, we could be here all day. We could be here all week exploring every single issue that faces the

Department and - - -?---Well, there's some significant ones though. Some are - some are minor. And there are some significant.

Yes, so we have to be selective with our time and with the things that we choose to explore in this particular forum. It's not to say behind the scenes other things aren't necessarily going on. But we just don't have - they're - they're just not being explored in this forum for a variety of reasons. So I just want to go back to your comment about nothing stays secure within the prisons, that information often is leaked out. And I appreciate that there are other factors that contribute to a distrust and a culture and distrust, but I am just choosing at this point in time, in - on - in these questions to explore this?---Well, I was generalising.

Yes?---I was making a generalisation of generally how prisons operate.

Right. So based on that generalisation, do you agree that that - the fact that information at times is leaked out of the Department, can you see how that couldn't contribute to a culture of distrust?---It could contribute to it, yes.

Right. And do you think that by you divulging the information that you have in the - in the few calls that we've shown you is also contributing to that culture of distrust?---Well, like I've said, the - I - I don't characterise that as leaking. I was acting in the best interests of the employee. You may not think that, but I actually was. And significant issues like that we have at that gaol, which could have been anywhere, I would have briefed the union on. So would other people. It wouldn't just have been me.

Okay. And just before we finish up, you - you mentioned quite a number of times how you were protecting that individual by disclosing that information about her personal circumstances to the union, just explain for me, because I'm not quite understanding how you thought that was protecting her?---Well, it - it's - I work on the assumption of no surprises. Right. I don't think she should have disclosed the information. We would have had to have made some changes. I think it would have just been better to be open and transparent about it. In hindsight, perhaps, I don't know, I may have done it differently, I may not have done.

49 So again, sorry, I'm just curious as to how that was 50 protecting her, how you thought that was going to protect 51 her?---Well, I don't think she should have told anyone, and

1 2 3 4	that - we want - I wanted her to stay in the thing. I didn't want the union to run a campaign. The union had issues at Wandoo and stuff like that. I think she's done a good jok there. And I wanted to leave her there. And I didn't want
5 6 7	them to make an issue of it. Clearly I was wrong in my judgment on that with what you've told me that he's done.
8 9 10	So you said you didn't want the union to run a campaign? I didn't want the union to make an issue of it.
11 12 13 14 15	So then why were you telling them?Because she - it was going to get out. She'd told her staff. I - they were they were making issues around Wandoo and I didn't want them to make it further.
16 17 18 19	But you didn't say that in your call, Mr Hassall. You didn't say words to that effect at all?Well - well, that's - that was the intent.
20 21	Right.
22 23 24	Commissioner, I have no further questions for Mr Hassall, but I also ask that he not be released from his summons.
25 26	THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Okay.
27 28 29 30 31	Commissioner Hassall, you're not excused from your summons nor are you released from further attendance here. You are to present yourself to the Commission if and when called upon to do so. Otherwise, thank you for your evidence.
32 33	We'll adjourn.

(THE WITNESS WITHDREW)

AT 12.36 PM THE MATTER WAS ADJOURNED ACCORDINGLY

24/11/20 Epiq

34

Certificate Made Under Section 50A of the Evidence Act 1906

The transcript of Antony David Hassall heard on Tuesday, 24 November 2020

was made in good faith and, subject to any qualification referred to below, is correct, accurate and complete transcription of the contents of the recording;

was produced from recordings that were suitable for making an accurate and complete transcript except where otherwise stated in the body of the transcript. Any "indistinct" or "inaudible" or other notations indicating difficulty with the transcription contained within the transcript refers to those parts of the proceedings that could not be accurately transcribed due to speech clarity, recording quality or other factors impacting word intelligibility.

Certified on this 24th day of November 2020 by: Glenda Judge, Sheila Robbshaw, Joshua Stevenson

Full Name: Glenda Judge

Sheila Robbshaw Joshua Stevenson

Occupation: Transcriber and officer of the Commission under the Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003 ss 182, 3 who has taken an oath before the Commissioner.

Signature: (Glenda Judge) (Sheila Robbshaw) (Joshua Stevenson)

Epiq Australia Level 1, Kings New Office Tower 533 Hay Street Perth WA 6000