Copyright in this document is reserved to the Crown in right of the State of Western Australia. Reproduction of this document (or part thereof, in any format) except with the prior written consent of the Commissioner of the Corruption and Crime Commission Act is prohibited.

CORRUPTION AND CRIME COMMISSION

OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ACTING COMMISSIONER SCOTT ELLIS

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

AT PERTH ON FRIDAY, 20 NOVEMBER, AT 2.14 PM

COUNSEL:

MS JESSICA TOWER

WITNESS: KEVIN JOSEPH SAID

WITNESS COUNSEL:

MS PATTI CHONG

```
2
3
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Please be seated.
 4
5
    THE ASSOCIATE:
                    The Commission is conducting a number of
    examinations for the purposes of an investigation under the
 6
7
    Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003. The scope and
8
    purpose of the Commission investigation is to enable the
9
    Commission to examine how the culture contributes to serious
    misconduct in Hakea and other prisons in Western Australia.
10
11
12
    Before your examination begins it is necessary for you to
13
    take an affirmation.
                              If you could please read the
    affirmation out loud?
14
15
16
    SAID, KEVIN JOSEPH AFFIRMED AT 02.14 PM:
17
                     Thank you. You may be seated.
18
    THE ASSOCIATE:
19
20
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Now, Mr Said?---Yes, sir?
21
22
    I see that you have received and signed the notice to
23
    witnesses?---Yes, sir.
24
25
    Thank you. I have appointed Ms Tower to act as counsel
26
    assisting me. She will ask questions on my behalf.
27
28
    Ms Chong, do you seek leave to appear?
29
30
    CHONG, MS: Yes, I do, with Mr Jiang, Mr Commissioner.
31
32
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Certainly. You have leave.
33
34
    Ms Tower?
35
36
    TOWER, MS: Please state your full name?---Kevin Joseph
37
    Said.
38
    And what's your job title, Mr Said?---I'm a senior officer,
39
40
    senior - senior prison officer at Hakea Prison.
41
42
    How many years have you been a prison officer?---Twenty-
43
    five.
44
45
    And in that time which prisons have you worked at?---I've
46
    worked at Roebourne Regional Prison. Got transferred back
47
    to Canning Vale in them days and then it was changed to Hakea
48
    and to a remand site.
49
50
    And how long have you worked at Hakea?---Approximately
51
    24 years.
```

SAID, K.J.

(Public Examination)

2

SAID, KEVIN JOSEPH CALLED AT 02.14 PM:

1

20/11/20

Epiq

```
1
2
    And in that time which units have you worked in within
3
    Hakea?---Every unit.
4
5
    Mr Said, as a prison officer do you understand that it's
    your responsibility to report misconduct if you see it?---
 6
7
    Yes.
8
9
    For use of force are there guidelines around when force can
    be used?---Only if it's absolutely necessary, if there's no
10
11
    other avenues.
12
13
    And when force is used there's a requirement, isn't there,
14
    that reports need to be written to detail the force that was
15
    used?---Yes.
16
17
    And to describe the incident?---Yes.
18
19
    Isn't it the case that one purpose of those reports is that
20
    the incident can be reviewed and the use of that force can
21
    be reviewed?---Yes.
2.2
23
    And if there are any problems identified in the use of that
24
    force, that might be something that's discussed with
25
    management or go to the Professional Standards Division?---
26
    Yes.
27
2.8
    And as a consequence of that, sometimes the Professional
29
    Standards Division might investigate a use of
30
    incident?---Yes.
31
32
    What's your view of officers who do commit misconduct or
33
    commit criminal offences while acting as prison
34
    officers?---In the use of force or on anything?
35
36
    Either?---They should be dismissed.
37
38
    Mr Said, you're aware aren't you that the Professional
    Standards
39
               Division when they conduct
                                                investigations
40
               direct prison officers to not discuss the
    sometimes
41
    incident?---(No audible answer).
42
43
    Are you familiar with that?---No, I'm not.
44
45
    You've never heard people being directed to not discuss it?-
46
    --Only by youse mob.
47
48
    Pardon?---Only by CCC.
```

20/11/20 SAID, K.J. 3
Epiq (Public Examination)

But not by Professional Standards?---No. They've never -

I've never had any dealings with them.

49 50

Mr Said, you mentioned that your current rank is senior officer?---Yes.

What does that role entail?---I'm in charge of a unit, with a certain amount of prisoners and a certain amount of staff.

And in approximate numbers how many prisoners, how many staff?---Well, it's just recently changed. It was approximately round about the 110 to 120, to about 10 staff.

12 And those 10 staff, would they all be of lower rank to you?-13 --Yes.

 And as part of your role do you review use of force reports?--No. If there's a use of force I have to do the minutes,
what's called the minutes. And then it goes to the next up the chain.

And can you explain what that is? --- What, the minutes?

Yes?---Yeah. I just look at each officer's report and then I put my recommendations of what should happen involve the prisoner, i.e. if a prisoner is - like I have to make sure that photographs are taken of any injuries. I have to make sure that staff are all okay, that there's not going to be no injuries involving them and where the prisoner's been sent to, usually to a - if it's a punishment area or something like that and my recommendations, i.e. goes to close supervision or he goes - recommend police charge, things along that line.

As part of that process would you also be keeping an eye out to make sure that the force that was used was appropriate?---If I was there.

When you're writing the minutes do you do that?---No, because I - I - I don't know if it's appropriate because I - I haven't seen it. I haven't seen what happened. I can only go by what's on the reports.

Yes. But for example, if what was written in the reports indicated that force had been used when it wasn't necessary would you take steps about that?---Well, I - I wouldn't know if it was necessary or not. If the - if a prisoner just abused an officer and an officer had to physically restrain a prisoner and by using force, but that's never happened. So I - I don't know what - how to answer you, that guestion.

```
So in your experience you've never read a use of force report
    where you were concerned about the amount of force used?---
2
3
    No.
 4
5
    How long have you been a senior officer?---Approximately
 6
    15 years.
7
8
    And then that would have been both at Hakea and
9
    elsewhere?---Yes. No; I apologise, only at Hakea.
10
11
    Mr Said, do you agree that senior officers play an important
    role in signalling what is acceptable or unacceptable
12
    behaviour in a workplace?---Yes.
13
14
15
    How would you expect senior officers to respond if they
16
            aware
                   of suspected serious misconduct?---They
    became
17
    probably would have to speak to the - the next - this person
18
    above them.
19
20
    What other options might they have?---They could report them
    if there's a - report it to the superintendent; make a report
21
22
    that it was things like along it was unnecessary, along that
23
    line.
24
25
    And do you think that it is important that misconduct is
26
    thoroughly investigated? --- Yes.
27
28
    In your role as a senior officer do you try to lead by
29
    example?---Yes.
30
31
    Do you only use force against prisoners when absolutely
32
    necessary?---Yes.
33
34
    Would you make sure that any use of force that you were
35
    involved in is accurately reported?---Yes.
36
    Would you also encourage officers junior to you to be
37
38
    truthful and fulsome in their use of force reports?---Yes.
39
40
    Do junior officers come to you for advice,
41
    Mr Said?---Regarding?
42
43
    Well, regarding misconduct incidents for example?---Well,
    they'd come to me advice if they're looking for certain parts
44
45
    of the Prison Act or as - if a prisoner's asked them a
```

49 If a junior officer came and told you they were being 50 investigated for misconduct, what kind of advice would you

give them?---Be truthful.

basically it.

46

47

48

51

20/11/20 SAID, K.J. Epiq (Public Examination)

question that they don't know the answer to.

That's

And why is that?---Because they could end up here.

Other than ending up at the Commission?---They could lose their job. They could get charged. Yeah, just be truthful.

And so you - you would encourage staff underneath you to be truthful and forthcoming into when there is a misconduct investigation?---Yes.

Would that still be your advice if it meant encouraging that officer to admit wrongdoing on their behalf?---Yes.

Mr Said, the next questions I have for you relate to an incident you were not a part of.

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, just before we go.

Would your advice to be truthful extend to a situation where the officer was going to have to reveal misconduct by another prison officer?---Sorry, if one?

Sorry. You were asked about - - -?---Yeah?

- - - whether you would tell a prison officer who came to you for advice, effectively to admit wrongdoing if they were being investigated. Would your advice to a prison officer who came to you for advice extend to saying, well, you should tell the truth and give evidence or reveal facts which revealed misconduct by another prison officer?---I would just tell them to tell the truth.

Okay. Thank you.

TOWER, MS: So, Mr Said, my next questions relate to some allegations about an incident in 2018?---Yes.

The allegations are that a prison officer had unlawfully assaulted a prisoner and that a number of prison officers had written inaccurate incident description reports in order to cover up what had happened. That's the allegation. And the prison officers who were allegedly involved were stood down in August 2020?---Yes, I know.

And, Mr Said, you're aware of the incident I'm referring to?---I know of the incident. Well, I know - since the breakdown I know of the incident, yes.

What do you mean the breakdown?---Well, since they were suspended. And then I got the - the summons telling me about the date of the incident and along that line.

20/11/20 Epiq SAID, K.J. (Public Examination)

You knew about this incident back in 2018 didn't you, Mr Said?---No.

At the very least, Mr Said, by the time the officers were stood down you were aware that the version of events in the incident description reports wasn't accurate. Isn't that right?---No. I - I - I knew about the - I was - I spoke to one of the officers on the day he was suspended and he didn't know about it until later and then he told me it was to do with an incident that happened two years ago. I don't know about the incident two years ago. I wasn't on duty. I've got no minutes concerning me.

15 It wasn't discussed among the officers, Mr Said?---I don't 16 know if they did or not.

Or did they with you is my question?---No. No - half of them - they couldn't remember anyway, two years ago.

When you say "they", which of those officers have you spoken to about this?---Every one of them, on a regular basis

Mr Said, did you - pardon?

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: No, sorry.

TOWER, MS: Did you not understand the Professional Standards Division had directed the officers to not discuss it, except for the union, their lawyer and support person?—-No, I didn't know that at all.

None of them told you that?---No. They wasn't - they wasn't discussing it with me. All I was doing was - I was speaking to them on a regular basis on behalf of staff support.

Are you saying you never discussed the details of the incident?---They never discussed it with me. They never told me what happened, because half of them couldn't remember what happened.

Mr Said, were you giving the officers advice about what to do?---I told them to stick by their reports if they can't remember.

Why would you give that advice?---Because, if they can't remember, that's the only thing they can do, is go by the report, because the report they done on the day would have been truthful, as far as I was concerned.

Mr Said, were the officers actually telling you that they 1 couldn't remember?---Yes. 2 3 Or were you telling them they should pretend they couldn't 4 remember?---No, I can't recall. I can't recall telling them 5 to pretend that they can't remember. 6 7 That doesn't sound like advice you would give?---No, I don't 8 think so. No, it don't sound like that. 9 10 And they didn't discuss the details with you? Is that 11 clearly your evidence? --- Well, I don't know, they - they might've talked about it in a roundabout way. There was an 12 13 incident about females and a prisoner was restrained, that's all I know, but they never said, "Joe Blow done this, and 14 15 this person done that," and things like that. I don't know 16 anything about that. 17 18 And so you didn't know that they had colluded on the reports?---Well, I didn't know they had colluded till you 19 just told me. I thought they was just being - alleged that 20 21 it was colluded. 2.2 23 Mr Said, what I'll be doing now is playing a lawfully 24 intercepted telephone call? --- Yep. 25 26 And then I'll ask you some questions about it?---Of course. 27 28 The transcript will come up on that screen there, and the audio will play as well. The first portion I'll play is 29 from 14 August 2020, and for context, the Commission has 30 31 information that this was the day that the officers were 32 stood down. 33 34 Madam Associate, if I could have exhibit 0211-1^? 35 36 0211^ 37 38 START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT 39 40 Part conversation from 11:29:03 to 11:29:45 41 42 SAID: At least you ain't on a desert island 43 44 : Yeah. 45 SAID: But uh it sounds like what you said, it's collusion 46 47 more than anything else. 48 49 : Yeah.

20/11/20 Epiq

```
SAID: But eh you know how can you fuckin' you, you, all you
    gotta say is I can't recall which you can't recall. You can't
 2
 3
    recall
 4
 5
          : Nah.
 6
 7
    SAID: what happened at that time and who done what and
 8
 9
      : Nah.
10
11
    SAID: who grabbed hold of this and grabbed hold
12
13
         : Nah
14
15
    SAID: of that.
16
17
               : Exactly.
18
    SAID: You know I am, for sure.
19
20
              : I just you know I'm, now that's I, 'cos I
21
    spoke to the other as well,
22
23
24
    SAID: yeah.
25
26
        : and she said, yeah. She said I've, I quit.
27
    They haven't contacted me and she, she said if they come and
28
    contact me I'll tell them to go get fucked '
29
30
31
    SAID: Brilliant, brilliant, yeah.
32
33
    END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
34
35
    TOWER, MS: Mr Said, that's your voice?---It certainly is.
36
37
    And you recognise the voice of the other male? --- I certainly
38
    do, yes.
39
40
    And at the time of this conversation, you understood that he
41
    had been stood down in relation to this incident?---I knew
    the minute he walked out the prison, because I was in the
42
43
    gym when he come - when he come out to change out of his
    uniform, so I knew by - I was one of the first people to
44
45
    know.
46
47
    And you understood that the allegation was that this officer
48
    had assaulted a prisoner?---No - what, on that particular
49
    day? No, I didn't know that at all.
50
```

20/11/20 Epiq Are you sure about that?---Yeah. All I know is on that actual day when I spoke to him, the officer told me that he's been - walked out - there was two of them, I believe, walked out that day, and it - as far as he can recall, it was an incident that happened a couple of years ago.

6 7

Madam Associate, if we could scroll up please, to line 4.

8

Mr Said, you say here that:

10

11 Ah, it sounds like what you said, it's collusion more than 12 anything else

13

14 ?---Yeah.

15

What was collusion more than anything else?---Well, the five of them were involved in an incident, so I believe, and the only thing they can - as far as I'm concerned, if a prisoner got assaulted, there would have been photos taken and then the police would have got involved, and it wouldn't have took two years for it to come to fruition about it.

22

23 Mr Said - - -?---So as far as I'm concerned, it looks like 24 the only thing that they're going for is collusion.

25

And what do you mean by collusion?---Where all compare their reports or discuss what they're going to write. I don't know.

29

But Mr Said, if five people all write the truth, we wouldn't call that collusion, would we?---That's exactly right, yeah.
Then you wouldn't be investigating them if they had all wrote the truth.

34 35

36

37

Yes, Mr Said, so by saying "it's collusion more than anything else," that's because you understood that what was in the reports wasn't the truth?---No, I didn't understand that at all.

38 39 40

Then why are you saying this?---Because as far as I'm concerned that's the only reason they were being suspended, suspended from the job.

42 43 44

41

And why is that?---I don't know why they was being suspended.

45

How did you come to this conclusion?---Well, I can't see whatever else it can be. Once our - once they said it may be to do with an incident that happened two years ago - it can't be assault, or anything, because they would have been charged by the police by now, so it must have something to

20/11/20

Epiq

SAID, K.J. (Public Examination)

do with their reports, and the only thing you can do with 2 reports is the collusion. 3 Mr Said, I suggest to you that the reason you said that is 4 5 because you had been told that the reports were in fact the result of collusion between these police officers, these 6 7 prison officers, pardon me. Isn't that the case?---No. 8 9 Madam Associate, I'm finished with that document. If I can now have exhibit 0070-4^? 10 11 0070-4^ 12 13 14 This is another telephone call. 15 START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT 16 17 Part conversation 14:19:51 to 14:20:12 18 19

20 SAID: I heard this all happened because 21 this bloody uhm, she phoned up Casuarina or sent an email to 22 Casuarina saying that ah you know this blokes who's got his

23 (indistinct) has had concrete ah, concrete justice or 24 something like that.

25 26

27

31

32

33

34 35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42 43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT

28 Again, Mr Said, that's your voice?---It TOWER, MS: 29 certainly is. 30

What's "concrete justice"?--- I don't know. I don't know, you'll have to ask the person who is supposed to have said it,

May is suggest that the obvious interpretation of what that means is slamming someone into concrete as a form of justice. Is that not what you thought it might mean?---It sounds it could be like that, but I don't know what it means. I've never heard the terminology before.

But when you - - -

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: So you were repeating a term that you didn't understand? Is that what you're saying?---I was repeating - sorry, sir?

You were repeating an expression that you didn't understand?---No, I was repeating that - that's supposed to have been what this person is supposed to have said to Casuarina, or sent them an email, or phoned them or something like that.

I've never - that's the first time I've heard that - that terminology, a concrete justice.

TOWER, MS: Mr Said, even on a first hearing that term, you understand what term is referring to?---Well, I believe I do, but I mean, I can't hundred per cent guarantee what it says.

What was your impression of what that term might mean?--- Exactly what you said.

So it's the case, isn't it, Mr Said, that you knew this incident wasn't just about reports, that there was an issue that a prisoner might have received concrete justice. You knew that?---I believe that, but the problem is that I can't understand why it's taken two years if someone had been assaulted and this type of thing had happened, I can't - this is what - the only thing that was confusing me is that it has taken two years to come to fruition.

And Mr Said, you didn't see any potential connection between that and the colluding on the reports you referred to in the last call?---Yeah, as I said to you, the only thing they could have you - have these guys on, guys and girls, is collusion. I can't see them having anything else, because if the person had been assaulted - you don't wait two years to get charged.

Mr Said, putting this aside for a minute, an you not understand that in a prison environment, if it's a prisoner's word against five prison officers, that it might be quite hard for that prisoner to get justice?---Probably.

And having worked in a prison environment for that long, did you not appreciate that that might have been what had occurred here?——Two years? I still can't relate — sorry, I can't relate to the two-year gap from when a person—because as far as I was concerned—again, I'm going back to the way the minutes are done, if a prisoner puts—if a prisoner puts a complaint in—first of all, when there's a PD5 photos are taken of the prisoner, whether he's injured or not. So then they would have photo evidence. So then he would have made a complaint, and these things don't take that long to be sorted.

46 Mr Said, putting aside your concern that the process takes 47 too long - - -?---Yeah.

49 --- from this call, we can see that you know that the 50 allegation involved a prisoner getting concrete justice. 51 Yes?---No, I don't know what you're talking about here.

20/11/20 Epiq SAID, K.J. (Public Examination)

So your assessment would have been that the report said the prison officers did nothing wrong in this circumstance?---I would imagine, yes.

Yet here we can see a concern about a prisoner receiving concrete justice?---Yeah, that's what it says there.

So if the prisoner did actually receive concrete justice, those reports would have been inaccurate? Right?---Well, yes. Yes, I suppose so, but I can't see it happening - I'm sorry, it loses me, concrete justice and two-year time frame and things like that. I just can't see it, but you know better than me, I suppose.

Mr Said, you've said that you're surprised this didn't come out earlier. Do prison officers have a culture of closing ranks, covering for each other, even in the face of misconduct or criminal conduct?---No.

45 If they did, what would your opinion be of that?---Not the 46 right thing to do.

Madam Associate, if we could please have exhibit 0213-1^?

50 0213-1^

20/11/20 SAID, K.J. Epiq (Public Examination)

1	This is a phone call from 15 August.
2	START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
4 5	Part conversation from 16:34:07 to16:34:55
6 7 8 9 10 11	rang me up and said that Josh Jackson has told him that fuckin' Cooper has told, was telling everyone on a night shift that, that we're all fucked because they're, we're all lying in the reports.
12 13	SAID: Jesus Christ.
14 15	: So I was
16 17 18 19	SAID: What a fuckin' thing that, well these people they, they, they should be more fuckin' supportive than comin' out with bullshit like this.
20 21 22 23	: Especially Cooper. Cooper shouldn't fuckin' throw stones because we've all, we've uh worked with, we all work with Cooper for a long time.
24 25 26	SAID: And we all know, we all know how each other, we've all got am, ammunition on each person you know
27 28	: Yeah, exactly.
29 30 31	SAID: for sure, so we sure, fuck me. Er, how can they prove any single thing
32 33	: Yeah.
34 35	SAID: if everyone just sticks to the same story.
36 37	END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
38	TOWER, MS: That's your voice, Mr Said?It is.
39 40 41 42	And the other voice we hear is the same male prison officer?Yes, miss.
43 44	We can see from line 3, the other prison officer says:
45 46 47	We're all fucked because they were all lying in the reports - $\hfill {}^{-}$
48 49	- pardon me, another prison officer was saying this?Yes.
50 51	So by the time of this conversation, you know that that's one of the allegations against them?Yes.

20/11/20 Epiq From line 14, partway across that, we can hear - we can hear you say:

3 4 5

How can they prove any single thing if everyone just sticks to the same story?

6 7 8

Mr Said, can you explain your comment here?---Well, as long as they stick to the same story, which is the truth, how can they prove there's anything different

10 11 12

13

14

9

Mr Said, when we talk about stories - - -?---Well, that's my terminology. The way I speak, obviously, it's not the best, but that's my terminology, the way I speak, where I come from - that's it.

15 16

17 Because Mr Said, another interpretation of this is you're 18 saying, "Stick with the made-up story, keep colluding". Do 19 you see how that interpretation can come from this? --- No. 20 I don't know how you got that idea whatsoever.

21 22

Mr Said, why not say, "Make sure you tell the truth"?---Because it's the way I speak, this - that is me.

23 24 25

Are you asking the Commission to believe that this is simply a turn of phrase?---Yes.

26 27 28

And you meant to say, how can they prove anything if everyone just tells the truth?---How can they prove - how can they prove that something happened if it didn't happen, as long as you all carry on with the same story, the same reports.

31 32 33

34

35

36

29

30

Because again, Mr Said, there is a concern that at the time of this conversation you may have known that the reports were false, and you're saying, "As long as you all stick together, no one will know they're false". Is that what happened?---No.

37 38 39

From line 7, we can see you saying:

40 41

They should be more fucking supportive than coming out with bullshit like this.

42 43 44

45

46 47

48

49

51

That's referring back to an earlier comment from the other officer where he's talking about the fact that there's someone on night shift saying they're all fucked because they were all lying in the reports. Why do you think other officers should be more supportive of officers being investigated for this kind of conduct? --- Because they haven't been found guilty, that's basically it. You haven't 50 been found guilty, and that's what we do. We phone up staff

20/11/20

Epiq

SAID, K.J. (Public Examination)

when there's - I phone up - I'm in touch with probably three staff that are suspended or off on stress leave because of 2 3 allegations against them, and I phone them up on a regular 4 basis, and that's what I do. 5 6 THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: And you give - - -7 8 THE WITNESS: That's part of my job. 9 10 THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: And you give them advice like you 11 the other prison - the other officer transcript?---Well, the only advice - the only reason I'm 12 giving it like this, sir, is because the time frame. They're 13 14 telling me they can't recall the actual incident, what 15 happened on the actual time, you know, the day of the 16 incident, but the others are a completely different scenario. 17 18 Mr Said, another interpretation of the first portion of this call is that you're saying, prison officers 19 should protect each other, even if there had been misconduct. 20 21 Make sure you support them. Is that what you're saying, 22 that you expected them to back each other up, even though 23 they might have used too much force?---No. 24 25 From line 7 you make the comment: 26 27 We've all got ammunition on each person, you know 28 29 ?---Yes. 30 31 Why did you say that? --- Because we have. I'm talking - and 32 it's nothing to do with the job. I'm talking about socialising, getting drunk, slagging off admin, things along 33 34 that line. I ain't talking about doing anything wrong within 35 the prison service. I've been there 25 years, I'm not going 36 to risk my career to support people that hasn't done the 37 right thing. 38 39 So by that comment you're - you're not referring to knowing 40 other prison officers who've used excessive force or colluded 41 on reports?---No, not at all. 42 43 Madam Associate, if I can have exhibit 0213-2^? 44 45 This is a later excerpt from the same call. 46 47 0213-2^ 48 49 START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT 50 Part conversation from 16:35:44 to 16:36:05 51

20/11/20 SAID, K.J. Epiq (Public Examination)

20/11/20 Epiq

: She said that there's a big pile of fucking PD5s on his desk and he's just fuckin' going through 'em with, with Devereaux. SAID: Well what you should do, you should, you should, obviously you don't say the names of the people : Yeah. SAID: of HR or anything like that. : Yeah. SAID: But when you speak to the, Kenny Brown and the union mate, you say this : Yeah. SAID: because the union fuckin' love stuff like this. : Hundred per cent. SAID: Yeah, make sure you let 'em all know. I can't believe : Yeah. SAID: I swear to God. I just thought everyone would be fuckin' staunch and uh support each other instead of all this fucking critical stuff. END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT TOWER, MS: Mr Said, my question is around your last statement there, "I just thought everyone would be fucking staunch and support each other instead of all this fucking critical stuff". When you're saying you thought everyone would be staunch, what did you mean by that? --- Supportive. Support each other. Be staunch. Be there for each other. So are you saying that what you - you don't mean hold ranks, no one tell investigations what's happening? --- No. So by this you're saying emotionally support each other?---Yeah. Madam Associate, could we play exhibit 0077-2^? 0077-2^ START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT

20/11/20 SAID, K.J. Epig (Public Examination)

1 2 Part conversation 11:37:27 to 11:37:50 3 4 SAID: Number two mate you just do what the fucken politicians 5 which is genuine I cannot recall unless you let me have a 6 look at the reports. 7 8 : Yeah. 9 10 SAID: They've got to show you the re, whatever you do don't get anyone to go on the reports and have a look at it 11 12 13 : Nah. 14 15 SAID: because that could backfire on that person. But don't 16 you, don't how do you what the fuck I can't even remember the last time I went to the toilet, that's I would say things 17 like that. 18 19 20 END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT 21 22 THE WITNESS: Not very nice - - -23 24 Mr Said, that's your voice?---Yeah. Yeah, yeah. 25 26 And the other voice we're hearing is - - -?---Yes. 27 28 - - - another one of the officers who was stood 29 down?---Yes. 30 31 My first question relates to line 2: 32 You just do what the fuckin' politicians - which is genuine, 33 34 'I cannot recall unless you let me have a look at the 35 reports'. 36 37 So here you're referring to the incident description 38 reports?---Yes. 39 40 Down at line 8 you say: 41 42 I can't even remember the last time I went to the toilet. 43 That's what I would say, things like that. 44 45 So here your advice is to tell this officer under investigation to tell Professional Standards that she's got 46 47 a bad memory?---No. That she hasn't - by - I can't even 48 remember this actually. "I cannot recall unless you let me have a look at the reports." Well, if she - they haven't -49 if they haven't let her look at her reports how can she 50 51 remember what's going on?

20/11/20 Epiq SAID, K.J. (Public Examination)

20/11/20 Epiq

49

50

SAID, K.J. (Public Examination)

type of - I know it don't come across good and I apologise

about all the language that's being said but that's - that's

1 the way the - the world we live in now, the way we talk to 2 each other. 3 4 Because, Mr Said, another interpretation of that sentence is you giving examples of how to not get caught colluding?---Yeah, an officer's not going to say she can't 7 remember. I mean, I wouldn't even say it. I - I - I wouldn't 8 even have made that statement. I'm just saying it to her, 9 I'm giving her an example; that she can't remember unless 10 she has a look at the reports, then it might refresh her 11 memory. 12 13 Mr Said, can you appreciate that if there is a concern that 14 officers have colluded there might be an interest in the 15 investigation about getting them to share their clear 16 memories without all being able to go and look back at the 17 report they wrote? Can you see how that would be 18 advantageous, to get to the truth?---I - I don't know. 19 wouldn't know. 20 21 Madam Associate, if I could have exhibit 0213-4^ and this is 22 a later portion of a call we've already heard. 23 24 0213-4^ 25 26 TOWER, MS: Pardon me, Madam Associate. We seem to have 27 cut out. 2.8 29 START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT 30 31 Part conversation from 16:41:08 to 16:41:26 32 33 SAID: Even if people had fucking, even if it was a fucking 34 touch-up, who gives a shit? 35 36 : Yeah. 37 38 SAID: That's what we're there for, you know? I mean we've 39 all done it including 40 41 : Yeah. 42 43 SAID: myself numerous times. You don't uh, you don't fucking put people on a desert island 'cos you don't want to get 44 45 involved.

49 END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT 50

: Yeah.

46 47

TOWER, MS: Mr Said, I'm going to refer you first to line 2. You say onwards:

Even if it was a fucking touch-up, who gives a shit? That's what we're there for, you know. I mean we've all done it, including myself, numerous times.

Can you explain that comment?---All - all this - I don't know if you know I'm staff support, I've been in staff support for 20 years. I phone up the officers. I don't phone them up and tell them that they're life's ended; they've lost their job, their marriage is broke up or anything. I - whatever I say to them is in a supportive way. I telling people what they want to hear, to try and make them feel bad. These - these five people were going through a traumatic time and I - I'm - I'm there to support them. And I don't - maybe, okay, it's not the most cleverest thing today but I was there for them; to support them, nothing else. And now this is - for me to say - I mean I say that but it's just I'm trying to make them feel everything's going to be okay, it'll all be sorted out in the end. Don't worry about it.

Mr Said, do you appreciate that there are other options for giving someone support without saying, "Even if it was a fucking touch-up, who gives a shit"?---These are the officers that I personally worked with for the last X amount of years and I know them and they know me. They know I don't get involved in rubbish like that.

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Rubbish like what?---And they - they know - sorry, sir?

Rubbish like what?---Like physically assaulting prisoners. And they know that I don't stand for it.

TOWER, MS: That's what a touch-up means though, isn't it?--Yes.

 So really what you're saying here is even if you assaulted a prisoner, who gives a shit. As a senior officer, how is that acceptable?---I'm talking - I talk to each person in - on an individual basis. If I was speaking to another officer I - I wouldn't say that, if it was from a different area. But this person here, I've worked with this person for the last 10 years, 12 years, and this - and I've socialised with this person so he knows what I'm like. So it's just a - I'm saying it in a supportive manner. You could go through the whole five phone calls to all the people and you're probably going to get similar things through the lot of them, and even down to last week I was phoning them up. So you - you

can carry on as much as you want but it's - it's still going 2 to be the same. Everything was done in a supportive manner. 3

Mr Said, the Commission is unconcerned with you providing support. In this instance the concern is what appears to be you condoning prison officers assaulting prisoners?---No,

7 that's not true.

8 9 What are you saying by this sentence, Mr Said? Explain it?-10 --I've just explained it. It's said that I - it was a - it

- I was doing it in a supportive manner to try and make the 11

12 person feel at ease, that it's all going to be okay.

13

4

6

14 Mr Said, you understood that this gentleman was facing an 15 investigation for assaulting a prison officer -

16 prisoner?---What date was this?

17

18 This is 15 August?---The day after. Yeah, the day after 19 they got suspended.

20

21 Yes?---I didn't know any of them knew that they was going to 22 be charged with assaulting a prisoner.

23 24

25

26 27

28

Mr Said, if that's the case then why are you saying this?---Well, he must have said something to me then about it. I don't know. But he didn't say - you - you said this individual. Is that - is this the person that's you saying that's going to be charged for assaulting a prisoner? don't understand, sorry.

29 30 31

Mr Said, I'm asking you what you understood. You said, that first sentence:

33 34

32

Even if it was a fucking touch-up, who gives a shit -

35 36

37 38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

- because you knew at the time of this conversation that that was the allegation. That's correct, isn't it?---No, the allegation - well, it was the day after. I don't think they even knew theirselves. They had just been walked out on the day before, but they - I don't know if they'd - they - when the officer spoke to me, this particular officer, in the gym, 8 o'clock in the morning, and he said he's been walked out and I said "What for?" he said, "I don't know". He said I - I don't know what's - why. So I don't know whether he was told or - I believe that when he was walked out they never told him the reason he was walked out.

46 47

48 Mr Said, do you call all officers who are stood down and 49 say:

50

51 Even if it was a fucking touch-up, who gives a shit

20/11/20

SAID, K.J. (Public Examination)

```
2
    ?---No, not at all.
 3
    Was there something about this officer that made you think
 4
    he had assaulted a prisoner?---No. He probably - if you
    reading - do the other five phone calls I might have said
 6
 7
    the similar thing to them lot as well, because these are the
 8
    people in my unit that I look after.
 9
10
    But, Mr Said, wouldn't this have been nonsensical advice if
11
    they'd been stood down for falsifying their time sheet?---I
12
    don't know. I don't know, miss. I - I don't know.
13
    know.
14
15
    Mr Said, I suggest to you that you said this precisely
16
    because you knew the allegation was that a prisoner had
17
    received a touch-up. What do you say to that? --- No.
18
19
    I'll move on. Continuing on from that you say:
20
21
    That's what we're there for, you know. I mean we've all
22
    done it, including myself, numerous times.
23
24
    You accepted that "touch-up" meant assaulting a
25
    prisoner?---Yes.
26
27
    So you've done it numerous times. Can you explain that to
28
    the Commission?---As I said to you before I said that in a
29
    supportive way to - to try and ease the person's feelings,
30
    to try and say to him we've all done it, we've all been
31
    there. We've all gone through red traffic lights, we've all
32
    got drunk and driving and things like that. But that don't
33
    necessarily mean to say that it has actually happened. I'm
34
    doing it in a supportive manner. That's the - that's what
35
           Maybe I don't do it the correct way or the correct
36
    terminology but that's what I do, and these people know me
37
    like that as well.
38
39
                 your decades
    Mr Said,
              in
                                  of
                                      experience
                                                       it your
40
    understanding that all prison officers assault
41
    prisoners?---Not at all.
42
43
    Then why are you saying it to this officer?---Well, because
    I can't see any other reason that they was walked out. Unless
44
45
    there's been an allegation against, something along that
46
    line.
```

47 48 Here you say:

49
50 Even if it was a fucking touch-up, who gives a shit?

51

20/11/20 SAID, K.J. Epiq (Public Examination)

Is that your experience, that people within the prison system don't care if prisoners have been assaulted?---Not at all. Not at all.

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Is that just your attitude?---No, it's not my attitude, sir. I'm - again I'm just saying again, I'm going to have to keep repeating, it's all to do with staff support, sir.

So when you're giving staff support you're entitled and it's your practice to say things that you don't mean? Is that what you're saying?---It's all depends who the individual is. Yes, sir, you're - you're right, I do say things I don't mean sometimes. If - if we go along further down the track regarding when it came out that these guys are going to be charged or whatever the case, if they have been done I don't know, I would say - I would say the similar type of things about them.

TOWER, MS: Mr - sorry.

Pardon me, Commissioner?

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: No, no. Please go ahead.

TOWER, MS: You understood at the time of this conversation that this officer was under investigation?---Of course, yeah. The 15th, yeah.

What impact do you think these words would have had on his attitude towards the investigation?---Well, I don't know. But he knew that - he would have known that I would have been very supportive of him.

Even if he had touched-up a prisoner?---No, because he knows that I wouldn't stand for that.

38 Mr Said, that is in direct conflict from what you have told 39 this officer?---Yeah, I - I've said that again and I said 40 that, I agree.

You said - - -?---But he knows - he's - I've worked with this officer long enough for him to know that I don't do that. I'm just using general terminology.

46 Mr Said, I would suggest to you this is not general 47 terminology. It's quite confronting advice, that you don't 48 care if he's assaulted a prisoner. You are a senior officer. 49 Do you accept that saying this is entirely unacceptable?---50 I would say - I was saying this to this person, which I

20/11/20 Epiq SAID, K.J.
(Public Examination)

thought was private, between just the two of us, and I was trying to make him feel better, no other reason.

2 3 4

6 7

8

Do you tell other prison officers in private that it's okay if they assault prisoners?---No, only my friends. Other other officers, if they've been charged or anything like that I might have used the same terminology. But once that I know that they've been found guilty I - I - I disown them, I don't have nothing to do with them anymore.

9 10 11

12 13

14 15

16

Even though you've told them it's fine by you if they assault prisoners?---I'm doing it in a supportive manner. what I do. If an officer from another unit who was - if it was a different officer from another unit, I wouldn't have used them words or anything like that with the person as they were supporting that person. I take each individual ones - it all depends who the person is.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr Said, you accepted earlier that one of the roles of a to demonstrate what behaviour officer was acceptable or unacceptable. How does that ethical leadership that you're meant to display fit with this?---Again, this is going back to the person - I've known this person a long time, I've socialised, I've got drunk with this person. knows me better than this. I take each individual person as they are.

26 27 28

Mr Said, these are your words?---A hundred per cent.

29 30

31

32

And you're speaking to an officer here who was more junior than you are? Can you understand that that he may have taken from this conversation that you condone prison officers assaulting prisoners?---No, he wouldn't have done that.

33 34 35

36

Can you not understand that from this conversation, that's what he might have thought you really felt?---No, I don't believe that, hundred per cent,

37 38 39

How was he meant to know that you meant the opposite of what you said?---Because he knows me.

40 41

42 If we could scroll down - you said at line 7 here:

43

44 You don't - you don't fucking put people on desert island 45 because you don't want to get involved.

46

47 What do you mean by that?---I don't know. When I first saw 48 that, I don't know that, I can't recall what that means 49 actually.

50

Thank you, Madam Associate. I'm finished with that document. 51

20/11/20

prison officer who has been stood down?---Same person.

20/11/20 SAID, K.J. (Public Examination)

50

```
1 First, Mr Said, when we see you say, "No one's going to
```

- 2 turn," what you're referring to is no-one is going to turn
- around and tell the truth to the investigation. Isn't that right?---I don't know what I was saying when I said that.
- 5 All that I know, the first line, "We're all there together
- 6 for sure, and no one's going to turn, so no one's going" -
- 7 maybe no one is going to turn against them, I don't know. I
- 8 don't know or I remember saying that.

9

10 Is the reason why you said that, you were worried that 11 someone would speak up and say what actually happened?---Why would I be worried?

13

14 For this person you're talking to and supporting?---Oh. No, 15 no. I didn't even think about it, no.

16

17 That isn't what you mean?---Say that again.

18

19 The phrase, "They're going to turn, turn against us" is?--20 Yes. Us" Who's use? The five of them?

21

22 Yes?---Them five?

23

24 Yes?---Yes.

25

That kind of a phrase is often used say, you know, to break ranks - it has that kind of a connotation to it when some people speak. Is that how you mean it here?---No.

29

30 So - - -?---No.

31

What could you mean then, by "They're going to turn"? What would an officer do to turn?---No one would turn against him. One of the other - any of the other staff that's - well, I'm surmising now, but I would have said that the other officers involved in the unit, they wouldn't have turned against him.

38 39

And what would that have looked like?---To who?

40

What do you mean? What would they have done if they turned?—
--They would disown him, ignore him. They wouldn't socialise
with him, have nothing to do with him.

44

Mr Said, the way this reads - perhaps, Madam Associate, could we play it one more time.

47

48 0070-2^

49

50 START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT

Part conversation 14:27:26 to 14:27:39

SAID: Mate we're all here together okay, for sure.

: Yeah.

SAID: And no one's gonna turn

: Yeah if

SAID: mate because if anyone turned they'd may as well give the job away because their life will be fucked after that.

END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT

TOWER, MS: Mr Said, by that last phrase, are you saying that if anyone tells the truth about what really happened back in 2018, then everyone at the prison is going to make their life very difficult?---No.

What do you mean?---They could even turn against this person - again, I'm just saying that he's - the main thing, you look at number 2, that's - that number 2, we're all here together. That's what I'm doing all the time. I'm phoning them up and I'm trying to build them up, boost their morale, trying to make them feel - no one is going turn against them. Everything is going to be okay, and if any - if people disowned another officer before he's been found guilty, or she's been found guilty, then they wouldn't be - they wouldn't be what you classify as a friend, and that's what I'm talking about.

I suggest to you, Mr Said, that you're talking about the people stood down turning against this officer, and saying that he had assaulted a prisoner? Is that the case?---No.

Thank you, Madam Associate. I'm finished with that document.

Mr Said, at what point in the process did you become aware that the Commission was involved in this investigation?--- When they - when I phoned them up and they said, "We're not allowed to talk to you about anything anymore".

After that point, which officers did you stay in touch with?---All of them.

Did you ask any of them about the details of their summons?-48 --No.

Did you ask them when they were giving evidence?---No.

```
1
    Did you try to talk to them about their summons in code?---
    No.
2
3
    Did you - again, I'm talking after they had received the
 4
5
    summons?---Yes.
6
7
    Did you discuss the details of the incident back in 2018?--
8
    -I don't recall. I can't recall that.
9
    You understood, didn't you, that once they had received a
10
11
    summons, there had been a direction from the Commission that
12
    they weren't allowed to talk about it?---(Indistinct), yeah.
13
14
    Madam Associate, may I have exhibit 0209-1^?
15
16
    0209-1^
17
18
    START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
19
20
    Part conversation 12:31:20 to 12:32:22
21
22
                ■: We're not, we're no longer allowed to talk
23
    about that thing that we were able to talk about yesterday.
24
25
    SAID: Are you with Ronny?
26
27
       : Yeah, yeah.
28
29
    SAID: (laughs) Where abouts are ya?
30
31
                ■: We're just down at the foreshore in, down
32
    near my place.
33
34
    SAID: Oh, gotcha yeah.
35
36
         : Mm.
37
    SAID: Yeah, oh okay well we, what was I gonna say can't,
38
39
    can't we talk about it in code? (laughs).
40
41
         : Ah no.
42
43
    SAID: No, no, no anyway ah mate I phoned up ah and
44
    I phoned up ah
45
46
         : Yep
47
48
    SAID: and they're all in the same position, you know what I
49
    mean?
50
51
    : Yeah.
    20/11/20
                         SAID, K.J.
                                                            30
```

(Public Examination)

Epiq

```
1
 2
    SAID: For sure so ah everyone's got it, ah got it. Have you
 3
    received anything yet?
 4
 5
                : Yeah, that's, that's why I'm not allowed to
 6
    talk about it.
 7
 8
    SAID: Oh, when'd you get it this morning?
 9
            : Ah if, if that happened, yes.
10
11
12
    SAID: (laughs) I love it, I love it, it's like fucken James
    Bond innit? Fucken
13
14
15
          : Yeah.
16
17
    SAID: if you're listening me you fuckwits, get a real job,
18
    piece of scum
19
20
     : (laughs)
21
22
    SAID: just in case they were listenin' (laughs).
23
24
    END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
25
26
    THE WITNESS: Apologies, apologies.
27
28
    TOWER, MS: If we can scroll up please.
29
30
    From this call, you understood that this officer had now
31
    been served with his summons to attend the Commission?---
32
    Well, once he - once he started telling me that, yeah.
33
34
    And can we see - from your comments, from line 13, that you
35
    already
            knew that some officers had received their
    summonses?---Well, I would imagine, if one of them got it,
36
37
    they would have all got it.
38
39
    Well, if I can say, here at 13, you say:
40
41
    Ah mate, I phoned up ah,
                                    , and I phoned up
    and they're all in the same position, you know what I mean?
42
43
44
    And then you continue:
45
46
    For sure, so everyone's got it - ah, got it
47
48
    ?---Yeah, I must have been - yeah, I suppose. I don't know.
49
    I must have said it that way.
50
```

20/11/20 Epiq

```
Did you call up the two officers on the list?---I phoned
    them up - I phoned them an average of three times a week,
 2
 3
    the five of them.
 4
 5
    Did you continue to phone them up that frequently after their
 6
    summonses?---Yep.
 7
 8
    After they received their summonses, which of the stood-down
 9
    officers did you catch up with in person?---One - on a
10
    regular basis, or just a one-off?
11
12
    At all?---At all? One, two, three.
13
14
    Can you name them?---You want me to name them?---Yes.
15
16
    Officer , Senior Officer , and I saw once -
17
    twice, Officer - not . . . . .
18
19
    With any of them, when you met in person, did you discuss
    the incident from 2018?---No.
20
21
22
    With any - - -?--- can't recall. I can't recall ever
23
    talking to them about it.
24
25
    Do you recall actively trying to not talk about it because
    they had a summons?---I can't recall. I can't recall that,
26
27
    to be honest, it was like - - -
```

2.8 29 You've even mentioned the 99 by name, which is the

section - - -?---Yes, because I got it, yeah. 32 - - - within our Act that directs people to not discuss it, so you were quite aware of that requirement?---Yes. Yes, I

34 was.

30

31

33

35 36

37 38

39

40

41

42

47

50

And so when you met with these officers, is that something you kept at the front of your mind, that you had been stood down, and we're not allowed to talk about it because - - -?---Well, I don't know - I don't know when I met them, and then I got my summons. I got my summons in September, and I don't know the dates I met them, because this happened in August, I might have met then prior to me getting my summons, so - and I don't know.

43 44

45 Right now though, Mr Said, I'm concerned about their summonses?---Yeah. 46

48 After they were summonsed, did you discuss it with them?---49 No. No, I can't recall.

51 If we look at line 10 in this conversation, you say:

20/11/20 SAID, K.J. 32 (Public Examination) Epiq

23 If we could go down to line 24 on the next page.

25 So this is the line, Mr Said where you say - - -?--I know, yeah.
27

28 If you let me finish please?---Sorry.
29

24

32

42 43

44 45

46 47

48

49 50

51

30 If you're listening, you fuckwits, get a real job, piece of 31 scum.

33 Mr Said, you're speaking to a junior officer here?---Yeah. 34

35 And you accept that officers complying fully with misconduct 36 investigations is important?---Yes.
37

Do you accept that, by saying things like this, you're undermining those processes to junior staff?---If I were to - yeah, it's not - first of all, it's not the right thing to say.

Why is that Mr Said?---Because it's just not the right thing to say - this organisation is a necessity. But anyway - but if I was saying to another officer, I probably wouldn't use that terminology. Again, I'm going back to - this person is a friend of mine, or I've worked with, socialised with for many years.

And you - - -?---So he wouldn't be - sorry, go on.

20/11/20 SAID, K.J. 33
Epiq (Public Examination)

```
Pardon, please finish?---He wouldn't be thinking that I'm -
    what you - the way you've just come across like that I'm
 2
    undermining the Commission or anything like that, this is
 3
    me, this is - again, again you look underneath. It's laughs.
 4
 5
    I'm just trying to - the guy was in a depressed state. I'm
 6
    just trying to boost their morale - his morale.
 7
 8
    Isn't it also your role as a senior officer to encourage
 9
    those below you to comply fully with investigations, to take
    them seriously?---Yes.
10
11
12
    Can you not see that this officer might have got the message
13
    that you didn't think the investigation was important? --- No.
14
    No, he wouldn't have thought that at all. He knows that if
15
    youse guys are involved, then - even him being suspended, he
16
    would have known that it's serious, a serious matter.
17
    But Mr Said, you've said yourself, "Look at the tone. I was
18
19
    just trying to make a joke"?---Yes.
20
21
    How does that fit with your ethical leadership role as a
22
    senior officer?---Well, again, I'm going back to the - it's
23
    the individual. If I spoke to another individual, I wouldn't
24
    be - I wouldn't be saying - going along them lines, yeah.
25
    It's only because I was speaking that particular person.
26
27
    Madam Associate, if we could please have exhibit 0217-1^?
28
29
    0217-1^
30
31
    START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
32
33
    Part conversation 15:24:09 to 15:24:45
34
35
    SAID: Any news, anything happening?
36
37
     : Oh nah uhm someone's there now.
38
39
    SAID: One of the five?
40
41
                : Yeah.
42
43
    SAID: Okay.
44
45
          : Mm.
46
47
    SAID: Has anyone else been up? Is that the first one?
48
49
          : Oh I've no clue.
50
51
    SAID: Oh.
```

SAID, K.J.

(Public Examination)

34

20/11/20

Epiq

```
1
 2
          : Yeah.
 3
 4
    SAID: Yeah I know ah, I know they're not allowed to speak
 5
    about it.
 6
 7
          : Yeah.
 8
 9
    SAID: The M and M's, you know?
10
11
      : Yeah.
12
13
    SAID: But uhm, you're next Thursday isn't ya?
14
15
          : Yeah.
16
17
    SAID: What time?
18
19
          : Uhm nine.
20
21
    SAID: Nine o'clock, yeah.
22
23
    : Yeah.
24
25
    END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
26
27
    Mr Said, that's your voice?---Yes.
28
29
    And that's the same other prison officer whose voice we've
30
    been hearing?---Yes.
31
32
    Before when you said you weren't discussing the details of
33
    people's summonses before the Commission, that was a lie,
34
    wasn't it?---Well, it wasn't a lie, I - I probably can't
35
    recall.
             I'm not here to lie to youse guys. There's too
36
    much at stake.
37
38
    You probably couldn't recall, or you couldn't recall or
39
    couldn't recall?---I couldn't recall.
40
41
    Do you accept though that here you've clearly talked to this
    officer about when he's coming in?---Obviously, yeah.
42
43
    As far as I know. What date is this again, sorry.
44
45
    I we could scroll up please.
46
47
    It's 11 September 2020?---11 September?
48
49
    At line 2, you also ask:
50
    Any news, anything happening?
51
    20/11/20
                         SAID, K.J.
                                                            35
```

(Public Examination)

Epiq

```
1
2 And you continue:
3
4 One of the five?
5
```

6 And then you ask, further down: 7

Has anyone else been on? Is that the first one?

10 Mr Said, you understood that this officer wasn't allowed to 11 talk about it? Right?---Yes, I was.

13 As a senior officer, what are you doing asking him about 14 it?---I'm concerned about his welfare.

And in your mind does that override the direction from the Commission to not speak about these matters?——Obviously it doesn't. But that person was in a depressed state and I -I wasn't prepared - I was prepared to do anything to make sure that he was okay. I - I've seen that guy and he was in a - a really bad way and I was trying to be supportive of him and I'd be - I was preparing to say anything to try and keep his morale up.

But, Mr Said, you're not saying here I hope you're doing okay you know, "You're going through a really rough time, I'm there for you." You're asking him about details of the Commission investigation. Can you see that they're two different things?---Yes. I can see that, yeah. But you're - you're only playing one section of this, you - you're not playing the whole recording, the whole - at the beginning I - I was probably inquiring after his welfare and everything like that and whether we was talking about the Rugby or anything like that and then we get on to this and this is the section you're playing.

Yes, Mr Said, and can you appreciate that's because this is the section the Commission has an issue with?---Concerns, yeah. But I'm saying I'm also inquiring about their welfare as well, I'm not just phoning up - or I don't know who phoned who but I'm not speaking to this person just to find out what's going on with this lot.

But, Mr Said, why are you asking him what's going on?---To find out how they are. To see how they're going.

And for that reason you think it's okay to ignore the direction from the Commission to not talk about it?---No, I don't. Not at all. Not at all.

So why have you done it?---I didn't know if I'd - well, I - I - I don't know when I got my - my summons so I don't know about this section 99, whatever it was called, then.

4

5 Mr Said, putting your summons aside, you'd been told by these 6 officers we can't discuss the 2018 incident, we can't discuss 7 attendance before the Commission. That's correct, isn't 8 it?---Yeah, I think so. Yeah.

9

You said you'd been calling them up multiple times a week?---Yes. Yes.

12

13 They - they weren't telling you - - -?---Just concerned 14 about their welfare, that's all.

15

16 Yes, Mr Said, and if you'd let me finish?---Sorry.

17

And when you called them did they not make it clear I cannot discuss the details, Commission says I can't talk about it?--Yes, they would have done.

21

22 They would have done or they did do that?---They did do - or 23 I don't know. I suppose they did do, yeah.

24

25 Mr Said, you said that you've been calling them multiple 26 times a week?---Yeah.

2728

For a period of time?---Yes.

29 30

31

32

33

34

Are you saying they didn't make it clear to you that they couldn't talk about it?---They must have done. They must have done, yeah. I can't - I just can't recall. You - you're asking me do I recall them saying to me a particular statement and I can't recall that but obviously they would have told me that.

35 36 37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44

The reason I ask, Mr Said, is it's not just a particular statement. You know, if someone's been stood down that's often a very significant event in their life and to be told you can't ask the stood-down officer anything about it one would think was a memorable thing, that when you meet up with them we can't discuss it and your lack of memory on that is - appears quite odd. Do you recall a clear rule from the stood-down officers, "Commission is involved, we can't talk about it"?---No.

45 46

47 Madam Associate, if we could please have exhibit 0215-1^?

48

49 0215-1^

50

51 START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT

20/11/20 Epiq

Part conversation from 08:40:59 to 08:41:10 2 3 SAID: I've sent an e, a message 'cos we've got a unit seven 4 site. 5 6 : Mm hm? 7 8 SAID: And I 've sent a message to the unit seven mainly 'cos 9 I, you've gotta be careful what you say on the phone and all 10 that type of thing. 11 12 : Yeah. 13 14 END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT 15 16 TOWER, MS: So, Mr Said, that's your voice?---It is. 17 18 And we didn't hear much of the other person talking but the 19 information the Commission has is that's a female who you 20 were speaking to in other calls?---Yes. 21 22 And this is from 24 August 2020?---Yes. 23 24 You say here: 25 26 I've sent an e - a message cos we've got a Unit 7 site and 27 I've sent a message to the Unit 7, mainly cos I - you've got 28 to be careful what you say on the phone and all that type of 29 thing 30 31 ?---Yeah. 32 33 Mr Said, why are you giving advice about how to avoid 34 surveillance to someone under investigation?---Is that the 35 person that was under investigation? 36 37 I can tell you this is one of the people who is - was under 38 investigation. You were speaking with them earlier. show you a call where you discuss it?---No. 39 No, because of 40 That was all. I just - because she changed her the name. 41 name. But I know the lady. 42 One second, please. If I can assist, this is the same person 43 44 whose calls we listened to earlier where you tell her: 45 46 You just do what the politicians tell you, say you don't 47 recall. I can't even remember the last time I went to the 48 toilet 49 50 ?-- , yeah. 51

20/11/20 Epiq

I'd say things like that 1 2 3 ?---4 5 So you understand that this is one of the ladies who had been stood down?---Yeah. Yeah, yeah. I didn't know - can I just explain? ■ and I didn't know if I was talking to - which 8 9 one I was talking to. 10 11 So coming back to my question? --- Yeah. 12 13 You understood she was being investigated?---I must have 14 done, but I - yeah, 24 August I probably did. Yeah. 15 16 So as a senior officer, what are you doing advising her about 17 how to avoid surveillance in the investigation? --- Where -18 where am I said - where am I telling her to avoid? 19 20 I sent a message on the - on the site. 21 2.2 You mean on an online site?---Yeah, Unit 7 site. 23 24 Because you've got to be careful what you say on the phone 25 and all that type of thing. 26 What you're referring to is the potential for telephone 27 28 intercepts. That's correct, isn't it?---Well, if I - if I 29 thought along that line I wouldn't be saying half the things 30 that youse - youse guys are bringing up if I - I believed 31 that the phone calls - I just - I don't know what was going 32 on. Forget that, sorry. 33 34 Mr Said, please explain that sentence. If that isn't what you mean, what did you mean by that?---Sent a message to 35 Unit 7 cos I've got to be? Just be careful what you say on 36 37 the phone. Don't incriminate yourself, say anything worse. 38 39 And, Mr Said, that's because you understood there was a 40 possibility her phone might be being tapped or - - -?---41 Obviously. 42 43 - - - lawfully intercepted?---Obviously. 44

49 50 To a fellow officer? How was that your advice?---Concerned 51 about the person, that was basically all. Just don't get

So if that's why you're saying it, how does that fit with

your role as a senior officer giving out advice about how to

avoid the investigation getting more evidence?---I'm

SAID, K.J. 20/11/20 (Public Examination)

speaking to another senior officer.

45

46

47

1 herself into more trouble than now, don't talk about the 2 what you're not supposed to talk about.

How does that fit with your ethical responsibilities? Because here it isn't I'm not going to talk about it. It's let's talk using a different forum, let's use the 7 site?---This person's not even on the Unit 7 site.

Mr Said, that wasn't the point of my question?---Yeah.

You're not saying here just don't talk about it, you've said talk about it on the Unit 7 site because you've got to be careful what you say on the phone. That's what you say on the phone. That's what you're saying here, right?---No, I ain't saying talk about it on the Unit 7 site. I'm just saying don't talk about it. Cos on the Unit 7 site I don't think we would - there was no conversation about it, just more supportive of the officers involved.

Mr Said, this portion of the conversation starts I've sent a message cos we've got a Unit 7 site, and I sent it there — I'm paraphrasing — cos you've to be careful what you say on the phone?——Yeah, but sent what message? I don't know what message I've sent. I've sent a message because we've got a Unit 7 site. Well, what was the context of the — the call prior to, before we got to this part? I don't know what was said beforehand. Are we going out for dinner one day? Oh, I've sent a message to the Unit 7 site. You know, I don't know.

If that was the case, Mr Said, why would you need to be careful what you say on the phone?---No, I - cos I don't know. I don't want her to say anything that she might regret afterwards.

 I suggest to you, Mr Said, that what you're doing is advising her to make sure she doesn't talk on the phone about the 2018 incident because it might be lawfully intercepted. Is that what you're doing?---No. I don't - - -

Do you expect the Commission to believe that?---Well, I don't know. I - I - I honestly - I can't recall this anyway but I don't believe I said it for that reason.

 Mr Said, do you accept from the calls that we've seen that your words might have made it less likely that the other officers fully and actively participated in the investigation?---Less likely?

50 Yes?---No. No. I - I was - I think I was one of the only 51 people that was phoning them up and I - I don't - I can't -

20/11/20

SAID, K.J. (Public Examination)

1 I don't know. I don't know. It might have been. I - I
2 know it wasn't the most cleverest thing to do but all I was
3 doing it was for their welfare and no other reason, nothing
4 else.

Looking back now, can you see that these other officers might have interpreted your words as saying that you condone the alleged behaviour?---The officers I spoke to, the - the officers that were - the names that have come up here they won't have interpreted that.

Pardon?

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that?---They - they won't have done that. Because they know me. They know myself.

TOWER, MS: And they would - - -?---But may I say - sorry. If it would have been another officer who I'm not too familiar with, male or female, they would have - they would have thought that I was going along the lines you're suggesting.

But do you accept, Mr Said, that in a lot of these calls we're hearing you dismissing or minimising or undermining the investigation?——Yeah. It does seem like that, yeah. But it — it wasn't along that lines as well. All I'm trying to do is again, and I keep repeating myself, is just trying to say to them it's all going to be all right, don't worry about it. It's all bull. It's all rubbish. It'll all come out good in the end and when you come back we'll do this and do that and things along that line. That's all I was doing, nothing else.

 So, Mr Said, if next week there's another allegation that a prison officer has assaulted a prisoner are you going to give advice like we've heard today again?---I definitely won't be phoning them up again, that's for sure. And along this line, for sure.

Mr Said, my concern was for the content of your advice?---Yes, that's right.

Not whether or not you'll be caught?---Yes.

Are you going to be telling other prison officers the kinds of messages we've been hearing this afternoon?---No, it'd be - no. I - they - well, there'd be - it'd be a supportive conversation but obviously I won't be saying it the way I'm coming across here.

And can you explain why? Do you understand what was wrong with some of the things that we've been hearing today?---Yeah, you've pointed it out enough times. Yeah.

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: So can you articulate it?---I beg your pardon, sir?

Can you articulate that for us?---Yeah, the way I'm talking about code and laughing and saying things along this line, yeah, it does - it don't look good for me I agree. For sure, sir.

So it's just a question of it not looking good for you?--Well, it's not - it's just that I - I should - I'm - it's
coming across like I'm giving them advice not to be - do the
right thing with youse people.

And doesn't it also come across as giving the impression that it's okay to touch-up prisoners?---Not at all. Well, not - not in my eyes it doesn't, sir. Not in my eyes.

Okay.

TOWER, MS: Thank you. I'm done with that exhibit.

Mr Said, at Hakea is there a culture where prison officers use more force than would be evident from their use of force reports?---Not that I can recall.

Is there a culture of prison officers covering for each other by either not writing reports at all or by submitting false reports?---No, not that I know of.

Putting use of force aside, what is your understanding of the culture around reporting other kinds of misconduct?---As in what type?

For example, bullying. Bringing in contraband, other things that - - -?---Well, contraband - - -

--- may come up?---- -- obviously is a - is a no-no and you go straight there. But bullying you advise the people to speak to grievance officers, to - we've got a policy regarding bullying and they're very strict with it within the Department.

Do you think it's handled well?---Yes.

What's your overall view, are the systems in place in Hakea to report misconduct adequate?---Well, it - it seems to be. I've - it's - it's never affected me because I've never had

20/11/20

to report a misconduct to anyone. There's - there's different levels. If a person does a misconduct we've got what we call an RMF, which is a monitoring form and we - we give them a negative RMF. There's negative and positive. So as a senior officer I could give an officer a negative whether he's coming in late, if he's - if I got - if I had an officer that was speaking aggressive to a prisoner I would take him in the office and I would counsel that officer regarding that and I might even put an RMF on him or something along - him or her along that line. But as it goes up the next stage, then it goes up to the principal officers and the admin and people like that.

What about change over time, Mr Said? You've been a prison officer for what, was it 30 years?---Twenty-five.

Twenty-five? Over that period of time has the reporting culture or reporting misconduct gotten better or worse?---You're more - you're more accountable nowadays than you was when I first started on the jobs, you know, with the computer. In them days the reports are wrote, it was written reports. And it's more - and there's more CCTV about and things like there's more organisations that - i.e., the Ombudsman and people like that that they're - yeah, but it's a lot better nowadays for sure. And so it should be.

Can you comment on any difference in the reporting culture between Hakea and other places you've worked at?---Well, I've only worked as I said at Roebourne and Roebourne's a - it's a different type of a prison. Hakea's a maximum security prison and the other one was a medium, yeah. Just, yeah, there is a - a - an order that anyone involved in an incident must put a report in.

 Yes, but my question though, Mr Said, are officers more likely to report misconduct at Roebourne or at Hakea? Can you comment on that?---I can't comment at it because it's never - I've never seen anyone report an incident at Roebourne and I can't recall anyone doing it at Hakea.

 From speaking with prison officers and being part of that environment are there any barriers to reporting that you're aware of, any reasons why someone might not come forward?---What, to report another officer?

Yes?---Maybe the - the Australian way, they don't want to dob a mate in or something like that. I don't know, miss.
It's never - it's never come to me.

Mr Said, are you familiar with any of the previous inquiries that have happened into use of force incidents in WA prisons?---No.

Are you aware of any previous attempts by the Department or Corrective Services to try to improve a culture of integrity?---No. Just more training, more local orders and different things along that line. Yeah.

But you haven't - what kind of training are we talking about?---We get one - on a Wednesday we have staff training and each week it might be a different section. It might be first aid, it might be TOMS writing - writing reports and things along that line. It might be self-defence, everything like that. So you have to qualify - there's a time frame that everyone has to go through certain training sections.

18 And what kind of training do you get around reporting 19 misconduct or ethical behaviour?---Not much, not much. I 20 can't recall much.

Mr Said, I have some questions now about some of the key players within the prisons?---Yes.

What's the general view at Hakea of the executive team? Are they liked? Are they trusted?——You're talking about the executive team at Hakea or at head office?

Head office?——Head office? Well, we don't really see them, so we just get — occasionally, the Commissioner comes round, and the Deputy Commissioner, and they come round the blocks and see staff and everything. They're quite — yeah, they're easy going guys, they're — the mix well with the staff, introduce their self and things like that. They go — we have, what you call — like we had a motorbike run, a load of us for a fundraising, and the Commissioner turned up there and a Deputy Commissioner, and the minister turned up and wished everyone the best, and things along that line, yeah.

Do you observe any influence that they have upon the culture of Hakea?---No.

What about the Professional Standards Division, Mr Said? What's your view of them?---I've never had any dealings with them, so I can't - they're a necessity, I would imagine. I've never had any dealings with them.

From your experience, being a prison officer, is your understanding that they're effective?---Well, they have - yeah, I think they are. They've - there has been some bad apples over the years, and they've managed to weed them out.

20/11/20

SAID, K.J. (Public Examination)

41 42

(THE WITNESS WITHDREW)

43 44

(Short adjournment)

20/11/20 Epiq

```
SAID, KEVIN JOSEPH RECALLED ON FORMER AFFIRMATION AT
1
    04.02 PM:
2
3
 4
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Please be seated.
5
 6
    TOWER, MS: Mr Said, at Hakea, how long have you worked in
7
    unit 7?---Probably about 12 years.
8
9
    And do clarify, when you're on shift in unit 7, you're the
10
    one in charge of that unit?---Yes.
11
12
    Commissioner, I have no further questions for this witness.
13
14
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER:
                                  Ms Chong, do you have any
15
    questions?
16
17
                  Commissioner, I seek leave to ask a few
    CHONG, MS:
18
    questions, please?
19
20
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER:
                              Certainly.
21
22
    CHONG, MS: Thank you.
23
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: If you'd like to stand up when
24
25
    you ask the questions, please?
26
27
    THE WITNESS:
                   Sorry.
28
29
    CHONG, MS:
                No, me.
30
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: No, not you, Mr Said?---Sorry,
31
32
    sorry, sorry.
33
    CHONG, MS: There's no lectern is there? If it's too
34
35
    difficult it should be all right. Thank you.
36
    Mr Said, as a senior officer, can you please tell the
37
38
    Commissioner what role you play in terms of management,
    policies and protocols that are observed at Hakea?---In my
39
40
    - in the unit I work in?
41
    Well, overall in the prison?---Well - - -
42
43
    You have been asked about the prison culture? --- Well, I just
44
45
    - I just enforce the - the rules and regulations.
46
47
    Right?---That's basic role.
48
49
    Do you have any role to play in terms - are you part of the
50
    executive?---Not at all.
51
```

20/11/20 SAID, K.J. 46 Epiq (Public Examination) Do you set policies and standards within the prison system?--Not at all.

2 3 4

1

And the professional standards that are adopted at Hakea Prison, do you have any part to play or any contribution that you made towards setting those professional standards?——None whatsoever.

7 8 9

6

What about with respect to the union, apart from being a member of the Prisons Union, do you have any role to play within the way that the union manages its members?---No.

11 12

10

13 Or advises its members?---Not at all.

1415

You talked very much about the - the role you play as a peer support officer?---Staff support.

16 17 18

Staff support?---Yeah.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

Can you please explain to the Commission what is involved in that role as a staff support officer?---What happens is the staff support started after the Fremantle riot in 1988 -And it was realised that staff, when they've been involved in an incident or they've got major issues, they So an organisation was started called the need support. staff support. I'm the 2IC of it. I've been doing it for approximately 20 years. And what we do, we get in touch with staff members, whether they've got personal problems or if there's - they've been involved in an incident in work, they can talk - we can give them advice to the best of our ability. We can refer them onto People Sense, which is an organisation that the Department arranges for them to have. And yeah, we just - we've had officers suicide over the years. And basically, we just - yeah, we just keep in touch with them and let them know. Many years ago, an officer was injured on a motorcycle and he lived on an acreage or a couple of acres up in Roleystone way. And he was off work for a long time. And a crowd of us went up there and we done all his - all the maintenance and everything that was required round the house. And we're just concerned about their - their welfare basically, more than anything else.

41 42 43

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Sorry, Ms Chong, you referred - you said that if an officer's involved in an incident at work?---Yes, sir.

45 46 47

48

49

50

51

44

Does that include the commencement of disciplinary proceedings against that individual?---No, sir, an incident is a - if a - an incident is everything with to with on the TOMS system where we do reports, it's classified as an incident.

11

16 17

18

25

2.8

31 32

33

34 35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42 43

44 45

46

47

48

49 50

51

13 CHONG, MS: Thank you. And as a staff support officer, are 14 you renumerated over and above your pay as a senior officer?-15 --It's just all voluntary.

It's all voluntary?---Yes.

19 And do you offer your support outside working hours?---Yes. 20

21 On weekends?---Anytime yeah. I could get a phone call 10 o'clock at night saying that there's issues with a staff 22 23 I've been called into the prison at 2 o'clock in 24 the morning when there was a DIC, death in custody.

26 Right?---And I've gone there to support who was in - who 27 found the deceased.

29 Right?---And spoke to them and calmed them down and things 30 like that.

So you do it out of the goodness of your heart?---Support for my colleagues, yes.

Yes. Can you explain to us or tell us, shed some light on the environment within Hakea Prison? What do officers have to deal with in terms of prisoners' behaviour and conduct. What have you witnessed? --- What I've witnessed over the years?

Yes?---Well, prisoners, they're unpredictable. We've had prisoners obviously, as I've just explain, commit suicide, we've had prisoners slash up, we've had prisoners stab each We've had prisoners cover their self in faeces and put it all over their selves so staff can't get hold of them We've had prisoners urinate on basically at that time. staff, throw food at them, along - all things along that lines. Everything that's misconduct.

And how has that kind of conduct by prisoners affected the mental health of prison officers to you knowledge?---Well,

48

20/11/20 SAID, K.J. (Public Examination) Epiq

if someone is - had the faeces or urine or been a threatened. Another thing I forgot to mention, they don't
only threaten you, some prisoners. Obviously I'm talking
the minority. They threaten your family. They're going to
come round your house. They're going to rape your wife.
They're going to kill your children. They're going to set
fire to your house. "We know where you live" and things
like that. So yeah, of course, it can - so staff need to be
aware it's only talk. But it - obviously it does affect
some people.

11

And when staff is - when the prison officers are threatened in that manner, do they come to you for support?---Well, once we hear about it, yeah, we - we go to them. Yeah.

15

16 Right?---For sure. They need support, some officers, for sure.

18

19 And how many staff support officers are there per unit?--20 They're - they're not in a unit. They're actually all over
21 the gaol.

22

23 Right?---We've got a medical staff support. We've got one 24 that works in administration.

25

Yes?---One of our staff supporters is a principal officer and there's no rank in staff support. There's no, like, senior officer or just a - a normal officer. We just - it's - they're all over the gaol. Sometimes there might be eight on duty, another day there might be two on duty.

31

32 Right?---It all depends. Because we're shift workers.

33

Right. And have you personally witnessed any incidents with respect to prisoner conduct such as suicide?---Yeah, I've been involved in five death in custody's.

37

38 Death in custody's?---Yes, and I - the last one was a 39 hanging, which - - -

40

41 Hanging?---Person hanged himself in front of me.

42

And were other officers there to support you?---Yeah. We have a - a - up in head office, there is a department that looks after staff in that way. And they came along for sure.

46

47 All right. In relation to the five officers who are under 48 investigation by this Commission, how did you become aware 49 that they were the subject of the investigation or the 50 subject of prison discipline?---Well, as I - I explained to

20/11/20 Epiq

the lady before, I was in the gymnasium at 8 o'clock in the morning when the - one of the officers came out. 2

3

Right?---And he explained to me that he's been walked out. 4 Then someone else said "Someone else has been walked out". 6 And then I started phoning around and trying to find out, 7 because the officers all involved all work within my unit, 8 except for the - the female officer. She now works at 9 another institution.

10

11 And which unit was it, unit 7?---Unit 7.

12

13 Right. And did you witness the officers being walked out?-14 --No, no, I didn't. I was in the gym. And they get walked 15 to the front gate.

16

17 Right?---And then one of the officers, he - they got in touch 18 with me so many weeks later and his ID was in his locker.

19

Yes?---And they asked - cos he's not allowed to go back in, 20 21 so they asked - they had to give their IDs back in.

22 23

So you became aware that five officers in your unit had been suspended and walked out and prohibited from entering the prison grounds?---Yes. Yeah.

25 26 27

28

24

Once you became aware of the five officers within in your unit being suspended, did you reach out to them or did they reach out to you for support?---I - I got in touch with them.

29 30

You got in touch with them?---Yeah.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37 38

39 40

As your - in your role as staff support officer?---First of all, because - as - as a staff supporter, because what happens is, the - the person that runs the staff support, he - he tends to, like, allocate you a - if an officer's involved in an incident, and he'll phone you up and say "Would you be able to keep in touch with Officer Smith, Officer Jones, Officer Fred", whatever his name is. And - but because they were all my officers, the five officers, I - I asked if I could look after them. And I did.

41 42

43 Right?---So I was basically the only staff support that was 44 thrown in the muck.

45

46 All right. You have been questioned a number of times about 47 your conduct and how it differed with your ethical 48 responsibilities as a senior officer. In the number of calls 49 that we've heard played to you, were you speaking to each of 50 the person on the other end in your role as a senior officer or in your role as a staff support officer?---It was - it 51

```
was in my role - it definitely wasn't in my role as a senior officer. It was in my role as a friend and a staff supporter.
```

3 Friend first, and then offering support, anything they - I and to assist them.

4 C

5 6

So those calls that we've heard played to you, were they calls that were made either by - between you and the prison officer on the other line in your own time or in - during work time?---On my time. On my time.

9 10 11

7

8

So they were out of office calls?---Yes.

12

13 Unofficial calls?---Yeah.

14

There's one call we heard that 0215-1 on 24 August 2020, where you said, and I paraphrase, "Be careful what you say on the phone and all that type of thing". Remember that call?---Yes, I do.

19

20 As a prison officer, you know that all calls emanating from the prison are recorded?---Yes.

22

23 Don't you?---Yes.

24

25 Right. And - and also as a prison officer, you know that it is not advisable to speak on the phone about anything?--27 About anything whatsoever.

28 29

Yes. So in that context, you were examined by Ms Tower about your role as a senior officer and how can you advise a junior officer to avoid surveillance, and I'm paraphrasing?---Yeah.

31 32

30

33 I'm not quoting - - -?--Yeah.

34 35

36

37 38

39

40

- - - Ms Tower. Because she put it to you that there was a possibility that that prison officer's phone might be tapped, were you advising that particular prison officer to avoid surveillance or is it just sensible advice not to speak on the phone?---Well, I definitely (indistinct) him because I - I wasn't that their phones would be tapped or asking them to avoid surveillance. It was general basically.

41 42

In relation to call 0209-1, when there was the use of the word "Code", speak in code?---Code, yeah, yes.

45

46 All right. Remember that call?---Yes, I remember, yeah.

47

And it was put to you that from what was said by the prison officer, you became that he had received his summons?---Yes.

Correct. And it was put to you that you were trying to get information from that prison officer, knowing that he was prohibited from talking about the summons he had received and discussing anything that relates to that summons. There was some words that were used that you said you termed as black humour, remember that line of questioning and your 6 7 answer?---(Indistinct) I think so, yeah.

8

9 And it was put to you (indistinct) appropriate for you as a senior officer to be talking to a junior officer in your 10 11 unit in - in that manner, in what capacity were you speaking to that particular officer in that particular call? --- As a 12 13 friend.

14

15 Right. Was the call made during work hours between you and 16 that junior officer?---No, it would have been out of work 17 hours.

18

19 Right. You mentioned that you had worked with that particular officer for at least a decade?---Yeah, I believe 20 21 so. Around about 10 years, yeah.

22

23 That you socialised with him - - -?---Yeah.

24

25 - - - for many years?---Yep.

26

27 Can you tell us about the mental health of that particular 28 person?---Well - - -

29

30 At the time when you were speaking with him, when you used 31 the black humour?---It was - he was in a - a bad - he was in 32 a dark hole. He was in a place of depression.

33

34 Yes?---This - yeah, it - I've never seen or spoke to him, 35 heard him speak like he was. He was as flat as anything. He's a - - -36

37

38 To your knowledge, had he made any attempts on his life?---I - I - I hate to say it, I had to ask him if he had any 39 40 silly thoughts, like that.

41

42 And did he?---He said no.

43

And it was again asked of you whether that was ethical as a 44 senior officer for you to be speaking to a junior officer in 45 that manner. Do you always apply ethical standards to your 46 47 private conversation?---No, not at all.

48

49 Do you use black humour and - and speak to friends and 50 associates in a joking manner? --- Many times, yes.

Again, in relation to call 0020-2(?) on 20 August 2020, when 2 you said in that call:

3

4 And no one's going to turn.

5 6

Remember that call?---Yes. I can't remember who I was talking to, was - - -

7 8

9 Because if anyone turned, doesn't matter who you're talking 10 to?---Yeah, yeah, yeah.

11

But it just one of the officers?---Yeah. Okay. Sorry. 12

13

14 Because if anyone turned, "They may as well give the job 15 away because their life would be fucked after that". 16 told Ms Tower that it was to boost that officer's morale?--17 -Yep.

18

19 How were you trying to boost the morale?---You know, I mean, they've got the support of all the staff that's in there, 20 21 which they have done as well. You know, no one's going to turn against them, because - because they - they haven't 22 23 been - they've only been accused of something so I believe. 24 I don't - I don't know. They've only been accused. 25 - at the moment, you're innocent until you're proven guilty, so they should get all the support from all their colleagues. 26 27 And that's all I was trying to do.

28

29 Right. In call 0213-4, when you say that a staff on the 30 call:

31 32

Even if it is a fucking touch up, who gives a shit?

33 34 35

36

When you said those words "Even if it is a fucking touch

Remember that call?---Yes, I definitely remember that call.

- up", was that a real situation you were talking about, or 37 38 was it a hypothetical situation you were referring to?---
- 39 Hypothetical. Hypothetical for sure.

40

41 At the time of making that call, did you know that the prison 42 officers were being investigated for assault?---What - what 43 date again was it again, I can't recall.

44

45 15 August 2020? --- No, that was - that was the following day. They got walked out on the 14th I think, so no, I don't know. 46 47 I don't think I did.

48

49 Right. How important is it for you personally as a staff 50 support officer to encourage people to come to you for support, to talk them up, to give them the support that they 51

20/11/20

necessarily need?---It's very important to me. I've seen - again I repeat, I've seen too many officers commit suicide over the years. So I - whatever they need, whatever they need me to say to boost their morale, to make them feel better at the time, I will do it.

Right. So when you used those words, "Even if it is a fucking touch up, who gives a shit", in what manner were you trying to convey in what way - - -?--It was - again, it's just, it was a - a off the cuff remark. It was - you know, it - it wasn't like, you know, you was planning on - to assault someone. We don't go to work to get involved in incidents. We go to work to do our job and get home safely. That's all we're interested in and - and look after physically type of thing. We're not there to save the world. We've all got mortgages and families and things like that. We're - we're no - we're not bullies. The way it's coming across from what I've been seeing on the (indistinct) it's like we're a band of villains and things like that, you know. It's nothing like that at all.

And - and in relation to this particular call, you were questioned about your role as a senior officer and whether it was your responsibility to demonstrate ethical standards. Okay. In what capacity were you having this conversation with this officer?---As a friend.

And in relation to call 0213-3, sorry, 0077-2, when you spoke to the (indistinct) it was a female prison officer, were you spoke along the lines "I cannot recall the last time I went to the toilet. That's what I would say, things like that", was that a figure of speech?---Of course it was, yeah. It's just - it's just - again, it's black humour. It's - - -

Were you counselling the officer to deliberately be forgetful?---Not at all. Not at all.

In relation to this incident that five officers are under investigation for, were you personally involved in either - in the incident?---No, I wasn't. I wasn't on duty that day and I - I never returned to - into the unit 7 for approximately a week afterwards.

Right. So you weren't aware about the incident?---I didn't even know about it, cos within a week, there's numerous incidents involving different things. So no, I didn't know anything about it at all.

And was it your role and responsibility to investigate the incident?---No, not at all. The person - the senior officer on the day is the person that does the minutes, and then it

goes up to the - i.e. the principal officers or the administration. 2 3 So on this occasion, you didn't even prepare the minutes?--4 5 -Nothing to do whatever with me. 6 7 You had no personal involvement? --- Nothing whatsoever. 8 9 Again, you have been taken to a number of calls and asked questions about words used by you, such as "Stick to the 10 11 same story", remember that line of questioning?---Yes 12 (indistinct) yes. 13 14 And "If everyone just sticks to the same story", were you 15 counselling the officers to collude and stick to the same story?---No, not at all. It's just stick to their reports, 16 17 if their reports are the truth. 18 19 And have you heard of the word "Concrete justice" - - -?---20 Never heard - - -21 22 - - - prior to that call?---Yeah, yeah, I've never heard 23 that before. 24 25 Right. We've heard about 10 calls, I think, this afternoon. 26 Were the calls that were played to you, from your recollection, the entirety of that - each call, or was it 27 28 just half of the calls?---Just a - just a small section, 29 yeah. 30 31 All right?---Some of the calls went on for 10, 15 minutes. 32 33 And what did you talk to the prison officer - - -?---First 34 question is, "How are you going? Anything you need? 35 Anything I can do to help you? Have you caught up with any 36 of the other guys from unit 7, guys or girls from unit 7". 37 Just basically small talk. "Did you watch the rugby last 38 night", you know things along that line. 39 40 So things of emotional support?---100 per cent. 41 42 Caring?---Yeah, yeah. 43 44 Chit-chat?---Yeah. 45 46 Would you say that what's been played to you in each of the 47 calls was taken out of context?---(Indistinct).

There was one call when you were questioned about what you said, being there's been collusion more than anything else.

20/11/20 Epiq

Okay. Remember that call? It was a call on 14 August 2020?-2 --That's the actual day, yeah? 3 And you were speaking to that officer and said "This 4 5 will be more collusion, more than anything else"?---Yeah. 6 7 Was that a conclusion that you had reached because it was an 8 investigation that was going back about an incident two years 9 before?---Two years ago. As I've said, I thought if it would have been - it could have only had - it could have only had 10 something to do with their reports. It couldn't have 11 12 anything to do with an actual assault because of the time 13 frame. 14 15 When - when an assault takes place within the prison 16 environment - - -?---Yes. 17 18 - - can you tell us the step by step protocol that has to 19 be adhered to? What happens?---If it's - are you saying a 20 prisoner on prisoner or a prisoner on officer or officer on 21 prisoner? 22 23 Prison officer on a prisoner?---Well, the - the prisoner 24 would put a complaint in. 25 26 Yes?---The officer would be interviewed. 27 28 Who by?---Probably the - again, it would eventually end up 29 into the internals. But if the prisoner puts a complaint 30 it, the security would come along and speak to the prisoner 31 to get a full report off the prisoner. 32 33 When you say "Security" - - -?---Hakea security. 34 35 And that's a different unit to the officers - - -?---Well, 36 it's a different - - -37 38 - - - in the unit?---Yeah, it's a different section. They're 39 - they only concern their self with security matters. 40 41 Are they part of Professional Standards unit?---No. 42 43 Right. Anyway, security comes and interviews - - -?---They come along and interview the prisoner. 44 45 46 Yes?---They ask the prisoner if he wants to put a complaint 47 in to WAPOL.

Yes?---And the - so they get in touch with WAPOL and then

the police take it over from there. And they come along and

20/11/20 SAID, K.J. (Public Examination)

48 49

then the officer gets interviewed, I presume. And everything
I don't know. It's never happened with me.

3

But the prison officer would have to file a report immediately, is that right?---Well, of course, yeah. Cos then if it's a - an assault, it would come under a PD5 or a - - -

7 8

5

6

9 What's a PD5?---Just a - a physical - - -

10

11 A form?---It's a - on the TOMS. It's a - it's part of - 12 it's a PD5 that we have to fill in, you know?

13

14 Right?---About the actual assault, any injuries and photos 15 and - - -

16

17 So who takes the photographs of the prisoner?---Wherever the 18 - the prison is taken to the medical centre.

19

20 Yes?---And he gets checked by the medic.

21

Yes?---And I would - if it was in my unit, I would get in touch with security on the radio and tell them if they could attend the medical centre with a camera.

2526

27

28

29

30

31

Right?---And they would take the photos. If the prisoner was non-compliant and playing up, he would be taken to unit 1, which is our strict - stricter area. And one of the officers then - and a medic would be sent down there to check on his welfare. And one of the officers down there would use - cos they have a camera down there. And they would take photos of the prisoner.

32 33

And when would the senior officer become involved in minuting the report and the incident?---As soon as the prison is set on his - set in his area in unit 1.

37

38

39 40

41

42

Yes?---Once he's got all the details, he took the photograph, the name of the officer who took the photograph, the name of the medic, the time of the medic, the injury - any injuries to staff, anything. Once he gets all the details and the reports come in from the officer, then the senior officer would do the minutes.

43 44

And generally, how long would that type of investigation take?---Well, the - the - the senior officer wouldn't investigate the alleged assault against the prisoner by the officer. That would go on to security. And then that would go on to WAPOL if they require. Or if he just wanted to put a complaint in, it would go to internals. He wouldn't

1 investigate that. He would just be doing the minutes of the actual incident. 2

3 4

6

7

8

9

10

And who actually investigates the assault, if it doesn't involve (indistinct) police?---Well, I would security would interview the prisoner and it - if they think that it's - I would imagine it - whatever they do, cos I've never worked in security, that they would get in touch with internals and internals would come down and interview the prisoner and find out if there's any witnesses, check all the reports and let them - - -

11 12

13 And how long would that process take without involving the 14 police, if the police were not involved?---I would imagine 15 it would be done within a couple of weeks.

16

17 Right. And if the police were involved?---Then it's when 18 the police turn up and the police would usually within two 19 a week or so period, the police would turn up to interview 20 the prisoner.

21

22 All right. And the - to your knowledge, are you aware of 23 any police investigation dating back two years from an 24 alleged incident? --- Never. Never.

25 26

With one of the prison officers under investigation, to your knowledge, had that officer or did that officer suffer from post-traumatic stress disorder?---Yes.

28 29 30

27

And as a result of what?---He's - service within the military in Afghanistan.

31 32 33

34

Right. With another officer, to your knowledge, do you know if something happened to that officer's property in terms of - - ? - - (Indistinct).

35 36 37

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Sorry, I don't quite see how that's relevant.

39 40

38

CHONG, MS: It's relating to the mental health and the support that Mr Said as a staff support officer offers as part of his role.

42 43 44

45

41

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Okay?---Yeah, in February of this year, the officer's house was burnt to the ground he lost all his contents and everything in Belmont.

46 47 48

49

CHONG, MS: Right. And did you offer support to that officer and his family?---Yes, I did. I did as well as all his other colleagues in unit 7.

Right. In relation to another officer, did you visit that officer and found the officer at home? --- Yeah, the - yeah. 2

3

And what can you tell us?---The other officer was spending 4 5 most of the day in bed and not getting up.

6

7 Right.

8 9

Thank you, Commissioner, that's the - my examination.

10 11

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

12

13 Commissioner, I have a few short questions. TOWER, MS:

14

15 THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Certainly. You won't go around 16 - stand back?

17

18 TOWER, MS: Yes, if you wouldn't mind, that would be helpful.

19

Mr Said, if senior officers are with junior officers under 20 21 their command?---Yes.

2.2 23

Outside of work hours and they're telling them "It's all right if you assault prisoners", is that acceptable?---No.

24 25 26

27

28

29

And that's because it's important that senior officers demonstrate ethical behaviour and values to their juniors, whether they're at work or not, when they're discussing work issues?---That's if they're discussing work issues, yes.

30 31

These calls we've heard, they're - you're discussing work issues with these people?---Yes.

32 33

34

And Mr Said, you work shifts. Do you work regular shifts?---Yeah, of course, yeah. 12-hour shifts.

35 36 37

Are they always the same shifts each week?---Not at all. All over the place.

38 39

40 So a colleague getting a call from you at any hour, you may 41 or may not be at work?---Yes, that's right.

42

43 So here, you've called work colleagues, one of which was a junior officer of yours at a time when you could well have 44 been at work and you're their senior officer. How would 45 they have known that you weren't calling them in your 46 47 capacity as a senior officer at Hakea Prison?---Well, they 48 probably wouldn't know.

49

50 Thank you, Commissioner. No further questions.

1	THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Can the witness be released?
2 3 4	TOWER, MS: Yes.
5	THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Okay.
7 8	So Mr Said?Yes, sir.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A direction was made earlier that a person who has given evidence not discuss the matter with persons who are still possibly to give evidence. There's a list of witnesses still to come on the website and a number of witnesses have given evidence, but they've not been released from further attendance. So you're not to talk to them either. The additional witnesses, or the - the persons that you can't speak to are Andrew Smith, Kenneth Brown, Paul Ledingham and Stuart Young. You can't talk to them about these proceedings and you can't talk to persons who are identified on the website as still to come?Yes, sir.
21 22 23 24	So that's the direction. Otherwise, you're released form your summons and from further attendance at the Commission. Thank you for your evidence.
25 26	We'll adjourn.
27	(THE WITNESS WITHDREW)

AT 4.41 PM THE MATTER WAS ADJOURNED ACCORDINGLY

20/11/20 Epiq

Certificate Made Under Section 50A of the Evidence Act 1906

The transcript of Kevin Joseph Said heard on Friday, 20 November 2020

was made in good faith and, subject to any qualification referred to below, is correct, accurate and complete transcription of the contents of the recording;

was produced from recordings that were suitable for making an accurate and complete transcript except where otherwise stated in the body of the transcript. Any "indistinct" or "inaudible" or other notations indicating difficulty with the transcription contained within the transcript refers to those parts of the proceedings that could not be accurately transcribed due to speech clarity, recording quality or other factors impacting word intelligibility.

Certified on this 20th day of November 2020 by: Glenda Judge, Sheila Robbshaw, Joshua Stevenson

Full Name: Glenda Judge

Sheila Robbshaw Joshua Stevenson

Occupation: Transcriber and officer of the Commission under the Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003 ss 182, 3 who has taken an oath before the Commissioner.

Signature: (Glenda Judge) (Sheila Robbshaw) (Joshua Stevenson)

Epiq Australia Level 1, Kings New Office Tower 533 Hay Street Perth WA 6000