Copyright in this document is reserved to the Crown in right of the State of Western Australia. Reproduction of this document (or part thereof, in any format) except with the prior written consent of the Commissioner of the Corruption and Crime Commission Act is prohibited.

CORRUPTION AND CRIME COMMISSION

OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA

ACTING COMMISSIONER SCOTT ELLIS

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

AT PERTH ON THURSDAY, 19 NOVEMBER, AT 2.09 PM

COUNSEL:

MS JESSICA TOWER

WITNESS: TYRONE ERIC POPE

WITNESS COUNSEL:

MR SIMON WATTERS

```
1
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Please be seated.
2
3
    POPE, TYRONE ERIC CALLED AT 02.09 PM:
 4
5
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER:
                               Yes?
 6
7
    THE ASSOCIATE:
                     The Commission is conducting a number of
8
    examinations for the purposes of an investigation under the
9
    Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003. The scope and
    purpose of the Commission investigation is to enable the
10
11
    Commission to examine how culture contributes to serious
12
    misconduct in Hakea and other prisons in Western Australia.
13
    Before your examination begins, it is necessary for you to
14
    take an affirmation. If you could please stand and read the
15
    card out loud - read the affirmation on the card out loud.
16
17
    POPE, TYRONE ERIC AFFIRMED AT 02.10 PM:
18
19
    THE ASSOCIATE:
                     Thank you. You may be seated.
20
21
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Now, Mr Pope, I see that you have
22
    received and signed the notice to witnesses. That's correct,
23
    isn't it?---Yes.
24
25
    Thank you. I've appointed Ms Tower as counsel assisting the
    Commissioner. She will ask questions on my behalf.
26
27
28
    Mr Watters, do you seek leave?
29
30
    WATTERS, MR: If it please you, sir, I appear. Yes, I
31
    appear for Mr Pope, thank you.
32
33
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
34
35
    WATTERS, MR: Permission to take notes, your Honour?
36
37
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER:
                              Yes.
38
39
    WATTERS, MR:
                   Thank you.
40
41
    THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Yes, Ms Tower?
42
43
    TOWER, MS: Please state your full name?---Tyrone Eric Pope.
44
45
    And what's your job title, Mr Pope?---Prison officer.
46
47
    How long have been a prison officer?---I think approximately
48
    three years.
49
```

19/11/20 POPE, T.E. (Public Examination)

```
And in that time, which prisons have you worked at?---Eastern
    Goldfields Regional Prison in Kalgoorlie and Hakea Remand
2
3
    Centre.
 4
5
    How long were you at Hakea?---I believe about a
 6
    year-and-a-half, a bit more, maybe.
7
8
    And are you at Hakea now?---Currently, I work at Hakea.
9
10
    And within Hakea, are you assigned to work at particular
11
    units?---Yeah.
12
13
    And which units have you worked in? --- Well, I believe all of
14
    them at some stage, some more than others.
15
16
    Are there some that you have mainly worked in?---Yeah.
                                                               Of
17
    course, yeah.
18
19
    Which ones?---Well, seven is my current unit that I'm working
20
              Two was where I was prior to getting seven, and
    they're probably my main ones but I've worked bits and pieces
21
22
    throughout all of them.
23
24
                      been asked for an exhibit to
    Mr Pope,
               I've
                                                              be
25
    displayed?---Yeah.
26
27
    It will show on the screen in front of you?---Yes.
2.8
29
    Madam Associate, can I have exhibit 36^?
30
```

31 36^

32

47

33 So Mr Pope, we can see that this is a Code of Conduct and 34 it's from the Department of Justice.
35

36 Madam Associate, can we go to page 9. 37

Mr Pope, we can see the top of this page, 3.8 relates to reporting suspected breaches of the code. I'm just going to read out those first few paragraphs. 3.8, Reporting suspected breaches of the Code:

This means that all public sector employees need to understand and contribute to the integrity of the department by ensuring that breaches of this Code of Conduct are reported and managed.

48 It then continues in a dot point - Reporting suspected 49 breaches of the Code or other conduct: 50

19/11/20 Epiq We report any actual or potentially fraudulent, corrupt or illegal activities and any suspected breaches of the Code, of which we become aware and discuss with our manager or relevant officer of the department.

Do you understand that it's part of your job as a prison officer to report misconduct?---Yes.

8

And aside from this obligation within the Code of Conduct, do you see it as your ethical responsibility to report misconduct?---Yes.

1112

10

13 If there are investigations into misconduct, do you consider 14 that it's important for prison officers to cooperate with 15 those investigations?---Yeah.

16

17 If a prison officer is found to have committed misconduct, 18 do you think that they should face consequences for 19 that?---If they're found guilty of misconduct, yeah.

20

21 Mr Pope, the Commission has been investigating use of force 22 incidents. As a prison officer, you understand that there 23 are policies around when force can be used?---Mm.

2425

Madam Associate, I'm done with that exhibit. May I have exhibit 47^?

2627

28 47^

29 30

31

So Mr Pope, we can see that this document is entitled Policy Directive 5 - Use of Force. This is the use of force policy that applies to prison officers?---Yeah.

32 33

34 I'll keep going until you respond verbally, because of the 35 transcript. And we see that it's headed Policy Directive 5. 36 Is it the case that use of force incidents in WA prisons are 37 often referred to as PD5s?---Yeah. That's correct.

38 39

If we could go to page 2, towards the bottom. Here we can see heading 3, Principles. 3.1 reads:

40 41

In all instances, force shall only be used when all other avenues have been exhausted or are considered impractical. Force must only be used as a last resort.

45

46 If we could scroll down - thank you. It continues at the 47 top of the next page:

48

Force should never be used as a form of punishment and the amount of force used should be the minimum required to control the situation or behaviour and maintain security and

19/11/20

POPE, T.E. (Public Examination)

good order, and shall cease when the level of perceived threat can be managed without applying force.

These principles for when force can be used, do you consider them to be appropriate?---Yes.

And is the requirement that prison officers only use force in these circumstances a fair ask on prison officers?---Yeah, it's a fair ask.

11 When force is used in prison environment, there's also the 12 requirement to write a report about that. Is that correct?-13 --Yeah.

What kind of information needs to into those reports?---The outlining of what happened within the incident, word-for-word to the best of your knowledge and recollection.

19 And who needs to write one?---Everyone who was involved in 20 the incident.

So not just the officer who used the force?---No, I'm assuming - I'm not assuming, to paint a picture, whoever was in that vicinity watching, that - even said something, or - they would be required, I'm assuming. That's the way I see it

And when you say you're assuming, is that - have you received training that that's what needs to happen?---That was - I'm not very good at public speaking. I'm throwing in shit that shouldn't be there, so that's - that's the way it should be, anyone who was involved, seeing what happened and being physically involved, if they were speaking to the prisoner at the time, then they are obligated to put in a report, yes.

And are those reports sometimes referred to as incident description reports?---I believe that's the terminology.

More generally, Mr Pope, if prison officers wanted to report misconduct, but didn't want to make a formal report, what options are there?---Sorry?

If a prison officer saw misconduct - - -?---Yes.

46 -- in the workplace, and wanted something to be done about 47 it, but didn't want to make a written formal report, what 48 options are there?---If an officer's seen misconduct?

If you observed misconduct - - -?---Yes, by who?

Another prison officer?---Yeah. 2 3 What are your options?---What's the form of misconduct? Any 4 sort of misconduct? 5 6 Excessive use of force, contraband coming in?---Well, 7 generally, we would be expected to tell our next-in-charge, 8 which would be the senior officer, or even the principal 9 officer, or go directly to the superintendent yourself, I suppose, but I would probably make the senior officer aware. 10 11 It's not happened to me, that I've seen. 12 13 Are there any options for anonymous reporting? --- Not that 14 I'm aware of. I've never dealt with this, I've never seen 15 misconduct in that sense, so - - -16 17 So have you ever been involved in a use of force PD5 18 incident?---Yeah. 19 20 So you've had to fill in the use of force reports?---I have 21 done. 2.2 23 But you're talking about other misconduct you haven't 24 reported, because you haven't seen it?---I'm just saying, 25 yeah, well, cos you're - you're painting a picture to me on how to report misconduct and I'm saying, well, if I did see 26 27 it I would more than likely tell the senior officer. 28 29 In terms of yourself, Mr Pope, do you consider that 30 demonstrating ethical behaviour is an important part of your 31 role as a prison officer?---Good ethics? 32 33 Yes?---Sure. 34 35 And as a prison officer, do you only use force when it is 36 necessary?---Yes. When I believe it to be necessary, yes. 37 And do you make sure that all use of force incidents that 38 39 you are involved in are reported through this PD5 40 process?---Yeah. 41

42 And do you ensure that those reports are accurate?---I do.

If misconduct is being investigated, would you encourage any officers involved to tell the truth?---Well, yeah, I should.

When you say you should, do you?---I can't recall. I know 48 - I know that there has been investigations going on with an incident and I - when this person that was a particular friend of mine got escorted off site I gave him an initial call to see how he's going, for his mental welfare, and I

6

19/11/20 POPE, T.E. Epiq (Public Examination)

43

don't know what I've said in that but I probably said a lot of things that was not politically correct due to locker 3 room chat style conversations. Yeah. I don't know if at that time - I can't recall. I don't know if at that time 4 I've advised him just stick to your report or whatever, just due to helping his mental stress. I don't know word for 6 7 word what was said but I know I did say a lot of encouraging 8 things to - but was basically throw-away comments that I 9 didn't have any control over in regards to whatever the investigation was which I didn't know at the time. 10 11 think I knew at the time, I can't recall - apart from bits 12 and pieces. And I may have said - given bad advice possibly 13 on the - on the - and if I did I take that on the chin but 14 I may have said bad advice for the sake of just being a 15 mental support person for that person, knowing that I 16 actually don't have any control over the outcome. 17 don't even remember this specific conversation, I just know 18 that I called a - called a mate and - to see what was going 19 on.

20 21

2223

You've mentioned two things there. One was that this call was meant to support your friend's mental health?---Well, yeah, I'm - I'm assuming he would have been stressed out.

Because you're not - stress levels then?---Sorry?

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

You were calling to help his stress levels?---Well, it was to find out what was going on and obviously to help him - you know, obviously realising he would have been feeling down and I didn't know whether at that time if he was in the right or the wrong or whatever so generally I've - yeah, I can't remember the conversation to be honest. I just know, you know, what - I - I mainly called and if he was stressed out I would have been, you know, saying things to help him

35 36 37

38

39

40

You mentioned earlier though that you thought you gave him "bad advice". What's the bad advice?---I - I don't know if I say I thought I did or not. I can't remember if I did but I may have, I don't know, because I don't recall what was exactly said.

41 42 43

Because the question I had asked was that if misconduct is being investigated - - -?---Yeah.

44 45

46 --- would you encourage those involved to tell the truth.
47 Is that normally the way you conduct yourself?---If I knew
48 that an officer had blatantly assaulted a prisoner, then I
49 would not - I would encourage that person to tell the truth
50 if I knew for sure. I - I believe I would. If it was
51 happening in an incident that I was involved in, in front of

19/11/20 Epiq

deal with that stress.

me, 100 per cent. Whatever's going on with other people, if I'm calling them out of mental support, for helping them, I don't know what I could say, you know, under those circumstances.

I'll move on now to that incident. Giving context, the questions relate to an incident, alleged incident, in 2018. The allegations were that excessive force had been used against a prisoner and that a number of prison officers had written inaccurate incident description reports about what happened and it was the officers allegedly involved in that incident that were stood down in August of this year. So that's the incident that we're talking about?---I believe so. I mean, it's - that's - that's what everyone at work is saying has happened. I didn't even work at Hakea at the time when this apparently occurred or whatever but - -

But, Mr Pope, you spoke to prison officers involved about this incident?---When you say involved, do you mean the officers that were involved in the incident or - - -

Officers who were stood down?---I've - like I said I called - one of them was stood down and I've had a chat with him. I can't remember what he told me in regards to the incident, if it was the - if it was what I know now or if it was just bits and pieces of what I know now or whatever.

Because I've heard at work the - pretty much the whole story of what's happened through everybody knows what's going on.

Mr Pope, I'd suggest to you that when you were talking to this officer you knew that the reports didn't match what actually happened?---I - I didn't really know what was going on, I just - because when they first got sent off site, stood down, I didn't know what it was for. So I've - so I've called and to find out what it was about.

Mr Pope, isn't it the case that you gave advice about making sure you get your story straight, make sure you coach each other; make sure you meet up, make sure no one cracks?---I - I may have said those things. I may have said those things, yeah. I can't recall the phone call. It was what, three months ago or something - more. There's a good chance I possibly did.

Mr Pope - - -?---In - in order to mentally support those guys, to whatever, which is - if I have, that's bad advice, I take that on the chin.

Before we move on, how would giving that advice support someone?---Well, I - if I'm aware now how I'm thinking back then as far as my knowledge went on the incident I'm - I'm

19/11/20 Epiq

not sure if I knew they were guilty or not because it was still fresh. I'm assuming that they're - they're not guilty. I've never suspected misconduct in the people that I'd worked with, the ones that were stood down.

But, Mr Pope, if you never suspected any wrongdoing what need would there be to coach each other or make sure no one cracks or to get your story straight?---Well, at this point in time I don't even know if I said those things. I may of. I may of because, you know, when a friend gets stood down and you're friends with them you might tell them anything they want to hear to cheer them up. And I've not had any power over the incident that happened, the incident was long gone as - I mean, reports can't be changed once they're submitted. Basically what I'm saying, if I have given wrong advice it's bad to do. It wasn't - and it sounds bad even saying this but it wasn't my incident, wasn't what I was involved in. It's still incorrect to go and give that wrong advice to somebody, I - I see that but it's spur of the moment when I'm concerned about a friend that I didn't think was a bad person. I may have said things that I shouldn't have said.

Mr Pope, rather than talking hypotheticals I'm going to move on and play excerpts of lawfully intercepted telephone material and then I'll be asking you some questions about it?---Mm hmm.

The audio will play over the speakers and there will be a transcript that will come up on that screen. This first call is between a male prison officer allegedly involved in the incident, yourself and then a female who works at the prison but there's no allegation that she's involved. The call is from 14 August 2020, which is when the Commission understands the officers were stood down.

Madam Associate, can I have exhibit 0182-1^?

0182-1^

START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT

Part conversation 12:12:32 to 12:12:59

 POPE: And provided provided per cent because she'll be the one that cracks her story. She needs to be, you know what I mean?

: I spoke to as well. I don't think that'll be the case, man.

19/11/20 Epiq

```
1
    POPE: Nah? Because
2
3
    : No.
4
5
    POPE: she's the one that, oh okay. Is she involved in that
 6
7
8
        : She was involved but I don't, she won't be the one to
9
    crack.
10
11
    BROWN: No. She's just
12
13
    : She's
14
15
    BROWN: gunna say
16
17
    : She's gunna say
18
19
    BROWN: I don't remember
20
21
    : I don't remember. I've already spoken to her.
22
23
    BROWN: refer to her report.
24
25
    POPE: Oh okay, alright yeah.
26
27
    : I'm, I'm doin' the same. Refer to my report.
2.8
29
    END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
30
31
    TOWER, MS: That's your voice we can hear there?---Yes.
32
33
    And you recognise the voices of the male and the female?---I
34
    don't know the female voice actually. I known that I
35
    was speaking to.
    And by the time this call happened, you were aware that the
36
    male had been stood down?---Yes.
37
38
39
    The first comment I want to ask you about is that one starting
40
    from line 2:
41
42
    , you need - you know what the weak link is, not in
43
    a bad way, but needs to be coached
    hundred per cent, because she'll be the one who cracks her
44
45
    story. She'll need to be - you know what I mean.
46
47
    Mr Pope, how is it appropriate for you to advise a
48
    prison officer under investigation that he should coach a
49
    fellow officer in case she cracks her story?---Yeah. I - I
50
    - it's not appropriate at all. I'm not going to try and
    argue that. I don't know even at this time - I mean, it's
51
```

19/11/20 Epiq

still wrong, but I don't know if a this time I'm aware of the actual circumstances, like I don't know if at this time - I'm not even one bit trying to be deceiving at this point, I don't know if I'm aware that - if there was misconduct in regards to the alleged assault. I don't know if that actually took place, and I'm assuming that if they're being accused of it, and they know they didn't do it, then, you know, get your story straight. I believe that that's the angle that I'm coming from, yeah. If - but I don't know if - if you can show me some evidence that it had been told to me, that and whoever else was involved knew that the person who was being accused of the assault, if they knew that he had actually done it, then I could say that was even more out of context for me to say.

Mr Pope, we'll be playing other calls - - -?--Yeah.

- - but at this point, even here you're saying, "She needs to be coached in case she cracks". If you're understanding was simply that they needed to tell the truth about what happened, how does that sentence make sense?---I'm not - I'm not saying it's not - that it's right, I'm saying it's wrong, I shouldn't have put it that way, it was - - -

Pardon me?---I'm not saying it's right, yeah. It's wrong, and like I say, in hindsight, it was basically because I was concerned about people that I've got stood down, and it was more of a support all.

But it's the case, isn't it, that by this - by the time of this call, you knew that the reports weren't the truth?---I don't know, to be sure, I don't know. Like, I'm just trying to be supportive.

How is this being supportive?---I mean, mentally supportive to - the stress that they're going through.

And are you saying that you're being mentally supportive by telling this officer someone else is going to crack, and you need to coach them?--- I don't - I don't know, it was - I don't know what I was saying there, or why I was saying it, whatever. It was - I'm admitting that I shouldn't have said it. I'm not - yeah.

Madam Associate, if I may now have exhibit 0182-3^? This is a later portion of the same call.

48 0182-3^

START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT

19/11/20 POPE, T.E. Epiq (Public Examination)

Part conversation 12:14:30 to 12:14:39 1 2 3 POPE: Gilly said just said fucken give you a call which I 4 said I was doin' anyway 5 6 : Yep. 7 8 POPE: and ah he said make sure that you need to catch up 9 with ah and and to get your stories right. 10 11 END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT 12 13 TOWER, MS: Again, that's your voice? --- Yeah. 14 15 and are two other officers, again involved in this incident from 2018?--- I don't know - I don't know if 16 Gilly was involved in that incident. 17 18 19 Pardon, not Gilly, and and The final line there 20 is: 21 22 He said to make sure you need to catch with and 23 to get your stories right 24 25 ?---Yeah. 26 27 And here you're talking to one of the officers who was allegedly involved about two other officers allegedly 28 29 involved. That's the case, isn't it?---Yeah. 30 31 So here, why are you advising one officer being investigated meets up with two other officers to get their stories 32 right?---Well, just to get their stories right, to make sure 33 that they're saying the right things of what happened, you 34 know - even going back as far as where I've said that 35 needs to be coached, or whatever, I don't know - that could 36 also have a lot to do with the fact that she isn't a very 37 38 good speaker, or whatever, because they were getting investigated, so to make sure that she doesn't crack, 39 40 whatever. I'm not trying to be misleading or anything, but I can't recall why I've said these things, other than the 41 42 fact that I know they're bad, I know they don't sound good, 43 but it's - - -44 45 Mr Pope, I'd suggest to you that the reason why you're saying this isn't from mental health support, it's to give advice 46 about how to not get caught when an officer has used too 47 48 much force and it's being covered up?--- I don't know - at the end of the day, I've not spoken with the one who actually 49 50 used the force. As far as I'm aware, that's all that was

19/11/20 Epiq

involved.

51

POPE, T.E. (Public Examination)

From what I've heard, the - the people - there

was only one person that threw a punch or assaulted the - the prisoner, so - - -

2 3 4

1

Mr Pope, putting aside what happened in terms of the alleged assault - - -?---Mm.

5 6 7

8

9

-- - you're aware that the reason why the officers were stood down is because there was an accusation of collusion between about the reports?---I believe at that time it was part of my knowledge possibly, yeah.

10 11 12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20 21

2223

24

25

26

2728

29

30

And isn't this, "Make sure you catch up and get your stories right," to make sure that the story that they had created they would all tell the same way?---Well, they can't change - as far as I'm aware, they chance the report. Once it's submitted, it's submitted, like - so it's more so - I don't feel like I've had any - I don't feel like I can, by saying what I'm saying, it's more so support that I'm still a friend there for them. I don't feel like I can have any say over, really, the outcome of what happens, because the report's submitted, I mean - I've never been in a situation where this has happened and I' ve had to think to myself - or someone else has told me they've had to think about themselves of a report that they've already submitted and now they can't go back on it. I've never heard of that, and I don't think that reports can go back on - I don't - I've never been aware of that. So when I've - when I've said these things to them, and, you know, not right, but I've said it in these tones or whatever, I've said it in a sense that, you know, what's done is - knowing in myself that what's done is done, and the report can't be changed.

313233

34

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: But can't - they can go back and say, "The report that I prepared was a lie". Can't they say that?---No, sir, they could go back and say - - -

35 36 37

They could go back and they - they could, you know, put their hand up and say, "The report that I filed some time ago was false"?---I'm sure - - -

39 40

38

41 It's not set in stone?---I'm sure they could.

No?---I don't know, I'm assuming that might even get them even in more dramas than, you know.

43 44 45

46 47

42

Are you suggesting that they shouldn't do that, because they'd get in trouble?---No, I'm not suggesting that, it's just - I'm assuming that if a report has been submitted, then it doesn't get unsubmitted once it's there

48 49 50

It can get corrected, can't it?---I'm not too sure.

```
1
    Ms Tower?
 2
 3
                 Mr Pope, do you accept that the right thing to
    TOWER, MS:
    do, if you know your report was a lie, was to notify someone
 4
 5
    about that?---Yeah, of course.
 6
 7
    In a hypothetical situation, not this situation,
    prison officer comes to you tomorrow and says, "There's a
 8
 9
    use of force report on my file. It's not what happened,"
    what kind of advice should you give them?---I should give
10
11
    them the advice to go and let the senior officer know that
12
    you've done a wrong report.
13
14
    And what would the reason for that be?---Because it's a false
15
    report.
16
17
    Madam Associate, if we could play exhibit 0192-3^?
18
19
    0192-3^
20
21
    START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
2.2
23
    Part conversation 18:37:03 to 18:37:26
24
25
        ■: But what, what they're, he's trying to allege that
26
    fuckin' uhm was the one that did it all to him.
27
28
    POPE: What, assaulted him?
29
30
    : Yeah, assaulted him.
31
32
    POPE: Yeah, uhm ah youse are all as long as you all stick to
33
    the same story
34
35
    : Yeah, that's
36
37
    POPE: that is not what happened, he did not assault him, it
38
    all happened when he hit the ground.
39
40
    : And that's what the union's tellin' us to do as long
41
    as you say
42
43
    POPE Is that what the story
44
45
    : as per my fuckin' report.
46
47
    POPE: is that what the story, paperwork said?
48
49
    END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
50
```

19/11/20 Epiq

TOWER, MS: Mr Pope, again that's your voice we can 1 hear?---Mm hmm. 2

3

4 From line 6 onwards, you say:

5

As long as you all stick to the same story -

6 7

- it continues:

8 9

10 That's what happened. He did not assault him. It all 11 happened when he hit the ground.

12

13 Isn't the crux of what you're saying here that, as long as the prison officers meet up and get their story straight and 14 15 stick it, then there will be no consequences?---I don't - I 16 don't - well, yeah, it's kind of saying that, but I still 17 don't know at this point if there has been an actual assault.

18

19 What would the need then be to stick the same story?---I 20 don't know. I'm just trying to be supportive.

21 22

23

But Mr Pope, by this point you understood that there was alleged assault?---Alleged assault, possibly.

24 25

26

27

28

29

So why wouldn't your advice be - - -?---My advice was not ever generally to _____, who is allegedly the assaulter, it was more so to the people who were, you know, done the silly thing by not putting the right thing in the report. I would never say to anybody who actually punched a person who did it, that they did the right thing, anyway.

30 31 32

But it is okay to tell someone who saw that happened to stick to that story that it never happened?---I don't know if he - if he even told me that he seen it or not.

34 35 36

37 38

39

40

41

33

Why not just give the advice, tell the truth?---Well, in hindsight, I should have. I - like I say, the majority of all this was just trying to mentally support a mate, you know. It was all kind of said within the means of, you know, support them. I've not - I'm not a hundred per cent sure if this has actually happened, and there was an assault that took place..

42 43

44 Mr Pope, can I suggest to you that what you're doing here isn't mentally supporting a friend going through a hard time, 45 it's giving them tactics about how to get around an 46 47 investigation? --- Well, like I mentioned before, I didn't 48 have any - I didn't feel I had any power over the outcome of 49 what was going to happen. I was just maintaining a 50 friendship.

You said earlier, Mr Pope, that ethical behaviour was important. You accepted that?---I still do.

2 3 4

How does the advice that we're hearing in these calls line up with the ethics expected of you?---Like I said, I take that one on the chin. I should - not everybody is perfect and some people, some good people do wrong things sometimes, and they've not done the right thing in regards to what my advice should have been. I've already even said that from the start of this, so all I'm doing by trying to tell you what I'm saying is not denying this, but more so trying to think to myself, in what context was I saying it? And a lot of it is - a lot of it is to do with just trying to be a friend for him and be there for him. I've never had an incident where I've forged - was unhonest with my reports, or anyone else that I worked with, I've never seen anybody else that I worked with come to me and say, "We need to put the wrong thing in the report here".

By giving this advice though, Mr Pope, could you have been encouraging this officer, rather than telling the truth, encouraging him to stick with that old lie? Do you accept that you could have influenced him by saying this?---Well, if - I possibly could. I mean, if the assault did not take place, then sticking with the report is the right thing to do.

What about coaching _____ in case she cracks?---Well, like I said, I wasn't there at the time when I said this, I don't know the exact intention of it, but it could have well been the fact that she's not the best speaker or whatever, and just make sure she doesn't - I wasn't aware of this incident before I even got to Hakea, and I wasn't even - I never even knew it had happened - like nobody talked to me about this and said, "Yeah, a while ago somebody assaulted this person," or whatever. I never knew this happened until the day that they got stood down from work, and I made that initial phone call to see what was going on, and to be some sort of support and, obviously, bad support.

Madam Associate, I'm finished with that exhibit. If I could please have exhibit 0106^?

0106^

These are excerpts of a Facebook Messenger conversation from 14 and 15 2020, and they're between yourself and one of the other officers allegedly involved in the 2018 incident. I'll give you a minute, Mr Pope - do you recognise this conversation?---No. Is Mr Pope grey or blue?

1 Grey?---Can I read the whole lot? 2 3 Yes, of course?---So that looks like it was, yeah, texts 4 between - - -5 6 Yes?---The alleged - - -7 8 First of all, I'm going to read out the section at the 9 beginning on the left-hand side. So it starts with you 10 saying: 11 12 Hey mate, won't say too much on here about what's going on 13 till we catch up, but just to let you I'm here to support 14 you guys in any way I can. It's a fucking disgrace what 15 they are trying to do. 16 17 There's a reply from the other prison officer: 18 19 Thanks Big Dog. Just remember this when it comes to this 20 department - - -21 22 THE WITNESS: Can I just make one statement in there. 23 don't know if it's relevant or not. I've never caught up 24 with him - I know it says in there - I've never caught up with him since this. I don't know if that's relevant, 25 26 but - - -27 28 TOWER, MS: We'll clarify that. Since he was stood down, 29 you haven't seen him at all?---Since he was stood down, 30 , I have not seen him. 31 32 Have you spoken with him on the telephone?---I don't recall. 33 Maybe - maybe I called him to see what was going on when 34 this got - first happened, but I very rarely had any contact 35 with him since this had happened, other than these texts, 36 maybe other texts, I don't know, but - - -37 38 Have you spoken with him since the Commission became 39 involved, to your knowledge? --- I can't recall, sorry. 40 41 Coming back to these messages, they continued from you: 42 43 All good, man. It's an attack on all of us. We will be 44 punching bags for them soon. 45 46 I'm then going to go over to the right-hand side. 47 a portion of your first message at the top there that says: 48 49 I see it as an attack on all of us - on all of us, so officers 50 would be stupid to think otherwise. Could be then next they 51 dig records up on.

19/11/20 POPE, T.E. Epiq (Public Examination)

Mr Pope, why do you see standing down prison officers for a misconduct investigation to be an attack on all prison officer?---Yeah, like I said, I was not aware - and even now - that actually did assault anybody. I know it's under investigation. That's why I'm saying those things, because if - it did feel like a bit of an intrusion that - and if he was innocent, then I was thinking, you know, he was just getting picked on.

But Mr Pope, at the very least you understood that the reports weren't accurate?---Yeah, but I'm not - yeah, possibly, but I'm not trying to put two and two together here. I'm not their lawyer, I'm not giving legal advice. I'm just having a conversation as a normal Joe Blow sort of bloke. I'm not - and like I said, I haven't had much to do with this guy since.

 But Mr Pope, not possibly, from your conversations with the last officer, your concern for him was that his report wasn't accurate, and he might get in trouble?---You man _____?

Yes?---Well, whatever concern that may be, it was more friendship, support, rather than trying, really trying to get him to do anything wrong. It sounds worse than what I think I intended, to be fair, but - - -

But you understood his report wasn't accurate?---Well, I - that's what they got stood down for I'm pretty sure, yeah.

And when you spoke with him that was his concern, that he might get caught with an inaccurate report. That's the case, isn't it?---Is that what he said? I - I can't remember if that's what he said. These - this - - -

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Was that his concern?---Sorry?

Was that his concern?---Was it? I'm not too sure if - these - this conversation's so long ago I can't remember at what time someone said what.

TOWER, MS: I'll ask you one more question about this document. On the left-hand side the other officer says:

Just remember this when it comes to this department.

What did you understand that to mean?---At that time? I'm not a hundred per cent sure but what comes to mind is that - because like I'm saying at this point I'm not assuming he's done - that he is guilty. So I'm assuming that - I'm - I'm not - I'm not a hundred per cent that I was assuming

this but I could be assuming that you could do nothing wrong but still get in the shit, like words to that effect sort 2 3 of. 4 5 Is that a common perception of - that prison officers have 6 about the Department?---That you could be doing - I can't 7 speak for everyone else on that comment so much but everybody knows, feels, that they are liable, that we've got a lot of 8 9 responsibility. Everybody feels that and everybody, I think, feels that, you know, things could go wrong very quickly and 10 have you accountable for a lot of stuff. 11 12 13 Thank you, Madam Associate. I'm finished with that. 14 I'm going to play another portion of a phone call between 15 yourself and the officer that we've been hearing you on the 16 phone with, again it's from 14 August 2020. 17 18 Madam Associate, can we have exhibit 0250-1?? 19 20 0250-1^ 21 2.2 START TELEPHONE INTERCEPT 23 24 Part conversation from 18:40:09 to 18:40:53 25 26 POPE: It's hard, it's hard to say 'cos when you're, when you're in, it's easy to say that now but when you're in that 27 28 moment and they're just 29 30 : It is eh? 31 32 POPE: pissing you off and, and you know you work with people 33 that'll have your back and, and it'll never, and, and the 34 truth will never get out it's hard not to just slam the cunt 35 (indistinct) fuck him. 36 37 : I'm just so lucky the people I was with are all ones 38 who are gonna have my back so 39 40 POPE: Yeah, exactly in seven. 41 42 and . 43 44 POPE: Imagine if that had've happened in 45 46 : 47

50 51

48

49

seven man because

19/11/20 POPE, T.E. Epiq (Public Examination)

POPE: Yeah. Imagine if that had've happened in five or

something. That's why, that's why I need to get to fuckin'

```
1
    : Yeah man. Everyone's
2
3
    POPE: since I've left, since I've left man, I've taken a lot
    more shit than I normally would.
4
5
6
    : Yeah.
7
8
    POPE: You know what I mean, 'cos you don't know who, like
9
    you in unit four and like, don't get me wrong. I've done a
    few PD5's
10
11
12
    : (indistinct)
13
14
    POPE: but nothing to the, to the uh
15
16
    : Not to the extent you'll do it in seven 'cos you know
17
18
    POPE: Nah.
19
20
    : everyone has your back.
21
22
    POPE: 100 percent, yeah.
23
24
    END TELEPHONE INTERCEPT
25
26
    TOWER, MS: Mr Pope, that's your voice we can
27
    hear?---Yeah.
2.8
29
    And the other voice is the same male prison officer we've
30
    been hearing on the calls? --- Mm hmm.
31
32
    If we could scroll up?
33
34
    First I'll ask, Mr Pope, at this point in time you understood
35
    that there had been an assault against a prisoner. Isn't
    that correct?---Well, alleged I suppose.
36
37
38
    Well, at this point in time you had been told that the
    prisoner had actually been assaulted.
                                             Isn't that the
39
40
    case?---Well, alleged assaulted. I didn't know. I'm not
41
    sure if it happened or not.
42
43
    If you thought the allegation was untrue, why are you having
    this conversation?---I don't know what you're getting at,
44
45
    sorry.
46
47
    Okay. So if we could go to line 6 you say:
48
49
    It's hard not to just slam the cunt.
50
```

19/11/20 POPE, T.E. Epiq (Public Examination)

They're you referring to prisoners, aren't you?---Well, yeah, I'm assuming. But I don't - yeah, but I don't know what the context of that was or anything. I'm - I don't go around slamming prisoners. That - that - that, to me, is just a - a figure of speech. It's not in a - - -

6

7 Mr Pope, in this conversation you go on to mention a bunch 8 of numbers; things you'd do in 7, things you'd do in 5. 9 Those are units in Hakea, correct?---Yes.

10

11 So do you accept the context of this phone call is Hakea 12 Prison?---Yes.

13

- 14 What you do at work?---Mm hmm.
- 15 And so at the top there when you say:

16

It's easy to say that now, but when you're in that moment and they're just pissing you off and - and you know you work with people that'll have your back and it'll never - and and the truth will never get out, it's hard not to just slam the cunt.

22

23 That's about using force on prisoners at Hakea Prison, 24 correct?---Yeah, but it's - it's not saying that I'd do those things. It's just saying that it's hard not to. 25 you're dealing with a hostile prisoner, you know, we're all 26 27 human beings, if you - if, you know, they're swearing at 28 you, abusing you and, you know, I've never - I've never 29 slammed a prisoner to the floor with excessive force. 30 never done that.

31

32 That's my next question, Mr Pope. Have you ever used 33 excessive force on a prisoner at work?---No, not excessive force.

35

36 In your role at Hakea how many use of force incidents have 37 you been involved in?---I don't know. I couldn't give you 38 a number at the top of my head.

39

40 Guess for me. One? A hundred?---Definitely not a hundred 41 and definitely not one. I don't know. I - I couldn't be 42 accurate.

43

Less than 20?---Less than 20 I'd say. Yeah, less than - yeah, 45 I don't know.

46

47 Less than 10?---Maybe around that mark.

48

About 10 in three years?---Well, in three - I don't know how many I had in Kalgoorlie. I don't think there was that much,

19/11/20 Epiq

because Hakea's a lot more of a hostile environment to work 2 Yeah, I don't know exactly.

3 4

And you have filed use of force reports for each of those occasions?---I believe so.

5 6 7

You believe so or you did?---Well, yeah, ones that I was involved in would have been reported.

8 9

10 And, Mr Pope, when you wrote those reports were they 11 accurate?---Yeah, they should have been. They would have 12 been. I don't recall doing a inaccurate report.

13

14 Because, Mr Pope, one interpretation of this conversation, 15 especially this portion at the top, is that if a prisoner in 16 your words "pisses you off" you can, your words, "just slam 17 the cunt". Do you have a comment about 18 interpretation?---Where - where does it say that, sorry?

19 20

So from the top portion of this page - thank you? --- Sorry, your question again?

21 22 23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

One interpretation of what you're saying there is that if prisoners piss you off it's okay with you if they get assaulted?---No, I'm - I'm just saying that it's hard not to sometimes. I'm not saying every single time and I'm not saying this is me every single day with every single prisoner. Some prisoners may wind you up, deliberately try and wind you up more than others and it - it is a job sometimes where it is a bit harder to restrain yourself. That's all I'm saying. And I'm not saying - - -

31 32 33

What about the part where you said you know the people you work with - sorry:

34 35 36

You know you work with people that'll have your back and and it'll never - and the truth will never get out.

37 38 39

Why do you say that?---Well, I don't know that. I don't know why I've actually said that.

40 41

42 Mr Pope, is that how it works at Hakea Prison? If prison 43 officers assault a prisoner, the truth doesn't get out?---No, I've never - I've never seen an incident where 44 45 that's occurred. So for me that's just locker room talk, It's just - I don't know the 46 throw-away comments. 47 surroundings of that and when it was said and why it was 48 said.

49

50 Mr Pope, I'd suggest to you that you said that, that the truth will never get out because the people you work with 51

19/11/20

```
have your back?---I basically - I - I was basically saying that people there are - you know, they do have your back in regards to if you're going to - if you're in danger or you're going to be assaulted or whatever.
```

4 5 6

7

2

3

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: No. It's about them not telling the truth, isn't it?---Well, it's an assumption that they would have your back - in that sense.

8 9

10 Yes, and they'll have your back by not telling the 11 truth?---Well, that's a - - -

12

13 That's how they'll protect you, isn't it?---That - that's - that's more so my assumption at the time.

15

16 Well, it's not your assumption. That's what you're 17 saying?---Well, I - I don't - it's what I'm saying, yeah.

18

19 Yes?---But I don't know if they would or wouldn't in that 20 sense. I'm just - that's just talk.

21

No, but that confidence that you're working with people will have - - -?---There is - - -

24

25 --- your back and not tell the truth is something 26 that ---?--But it is ---

27

28 Sorry, I haven't finished?---Sorry.

29

30 Is something that encourages you or makes it easier for you 31 to assault the prisoner?---Well, I didn't assault any 32 prisoner.

33

34 No, no?---And - - -

35

36 But you're saying that those factors are something that make 37 it easier for you to do that?---They - well, it could - if 38 - I'm assuming that that is if the people - - -

39

40 Yes?--- - - - that I'm saying in that unit would have your 41 back in that sense.

42

43 Yes?---I'm not sure if they would or wouldn't, though. I'm just - that's an assumption of myself.

45

But if you were confident that they would you'd be more - you'd feel easier, you'd feel more comfortable about doing it knowing there'd be no repercussions. Isn't that what you're saying?---I don't know what - I don't know why I've said that in that time period.

Yes, Ms Tower?

4 5

TOWER, MS: Mr Pope, do prison officers put pressure on each other to not submit use of force reports?---Not that I'm aware of. Most reports I've been in get submitted. Like, I can't recall of one that didn't.

So you have - you've never been present for a use of force report - a use of force incident that wasn't reported?---Not that I'm aware of.

And have you ever been involved in a use of force incident where a prison officer pressured someone to minimise the force in their report?---Not that I'm aware of. Because I've - I've never used excessive force on a prisoner or that I've seen of other people do.

THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: The question wasn't limited to you using use of force, the question was about had you been in any sort of situation or circumstance where there had been use of force and you were encouraged to minimise the use of force or to file a report which minimised the use of force. Have you ever been in that situation?---To - to make it sound like you haven't used as much force as required?

Yes?---Well, I don't recall that, no. No, you generally put in the report what happened.

TOWER, MS: Mr Pope, if an unlawful assault against a prisoner did occur how easy would it be to make sure that the truth doesn't get out for the officers involved, hypothetically?---Well, I don't know cos it's not like I'd go around smacking crims in the face and then say let's not say that.

So are you saying this is not a culture you're aware of?---No. I think - I think that in regard - there's officers that do the wrong thing from time to time. I personally don't see a toxic - how you describe it, toxic culture that relates to misconduct in Hakea, as if every officer is like that. I - Hakea is full of good family people who come to work in really harsh conditions and they're just trying to get by. They're trying to deal with it the best they can.

Mr Pope, the Commission has heard evidence that those prison officers who speak up about misconduct are called dogs, are called rats. Are those phrases you've heard?---Yeah, I've never heard that but I've never been a - I've never heard anyone call anyone a dog or a rat for not saying the right thing.

```
So you've never heard of a prison officer being retaliated
    against in some way for speaking up?---Against a misconduct?
2
3
4
    Yes?---Not that I recall, no.
5
 6
    In this conversation we can see from line 14 onwards you
7
    comment:
8
9
    Imagine if that had happened, had have happened in 5 or
10
    something -
11
12
    - and then you continue and I'll read down, there's some
13
    interjections by the other man but I'll read your portion to
14
    start with, so:
15
    Imagine if that had happened in 5 or something, that's why
16
    I need to get fucking - to get to fucking 7, man, because
17
    since I've left - since I've left, man, I've taken a lot
    more shit than I normally would. You know what I mean? Cos
18
19
    you don't know who - like in - like you in Unit 4 and like
    don't get me wrong, I've done a few PD5s -
20
21
22
    - please scroll down -
23
24
    - but nothing to the - to the - ah - - -
25
26
    The male officer interjects:
27
28
    Not to the extent you'll do it in 7 cos you know -
29
30
    - you say "Nah", he says -
31
32
    - everyone has your back.
33
34
    You say:
35
    A hundred per cent. Yeah
36
37
38
    ?---Yeah.
39
40
    What is the extent to which you'd use force in 7 that you
    wouldn't in other units?---Well, generally other - other
41
    units where I felt where officers less inclined to support
42
43
    you if there was an aggressive - aggressive prisoner coming
             That's what I'm getting at there is that in other
44
    units you don't feel as safe if there's not another officer
45
    who is willing to put themselves on the line to deal with
46
47
    another prisoner coming at you violently and aggressively.
48
    That's what I'm getting at. That's the core of what I'm
```

19/11/20 Epiq

trying to say there.

49

Because another interpretation, Mr Pope, is that the officers in Unit 7 support you and keep you safe, not physically against the prisoners but about repercussions for using excessive force?---It doesn't happen. I've not - I 4 don't witness, on a daily - or at all, officers bashing prisoners. I mean, this - this - this, what has come to 6 7 like sounds really bad or whatever but this isn't accountable 8 for how every officer works. And this is me that's just 9 made a bit of a mistake in regards to this comment here, that's - that's not me saying that, you know, in Unit 7 we 10 smash the hell out of them or boot them on the floor or do 11 12 any misconduct like that. It's just saying that in other 13 units, certain other units, they basically have to tolerate 14 more, you know, misconducts from the prisoners to officers 15 and they just wear it, even though they should be being more 16 regimented on it and doing disciplinary procedures with them; 17 i.e., go and put them in their cell, send them down to Unit 1 - whatever. All I'm trying to say is in - where I was saying 18 19 about this comment in 7 it - people generally have their their - a concern for each other's welfare I've noticed more. 20

212223

24

25

If we can scroll back up, Madam Associate?

262728

Not a problem. So from 2 you say:

293031

32

33

34

When you're in that moment and they're just pissing you off and - and you know you work with people that'll have your back and it'll never - and - and the truth will never get out, it's hard to not just slam the cunt - indistinct - fuck him.

Mr Pope, how did you know that it would be acceptable - no,

I'm referring to this paragraph at the beginning. How did you know that it would be acceptable for you to talk like

this to this officer?---What part? What line or whatever?

35 36 37

38

39 40

41

42

That one?---Well, I don't know. It was just a camaraderie, knowing that, you know, you work with good people that'll have your back. That's all I'm really trying to get out of that. But that's all I - and that's all - like I don't remember saying this or the - obviously I've said it but what I'm saying is I don't remember it so I don't know at the time why I was saying it.

43 44

45 This tone you're displaying in what you're 46 saying ---?--Mm hmm?

47 48

--- are you expressing a common attitude that you would hear among prison officers at Hakea?---No.

So is this something then that is more unique to the relationship you have with this officer?---Well, it's just a - one comment that I've - you know? It's very immature speaking, definitely, and it's quite a - there's exaggeration in that comment I believe because that's just - it's just not what we do. Like, I don't go around looking for prisoners to slam on a daily - - -

Mr Pope, do you accept your comments here they're not just immature, they're unethical and inappropriate as a prison officer?---Well, it's a private conversation between myself and a - a friend, that it's - like when we're dealing in the - in the units, in the wings, we're very professional and we keep that standard, whether you believe it or not. And when we're on our own is - we are - it's like a relief valve to be able to speak normally and - and talk normally and down to earth and a lot of the time it's just locker room chat that's just exaggerated and gone out of proportion and this, to me it just appears like it's just you know boy chat, just immature boy chat. It's not - - -

Mr Pope, the Commission has heard evidence of the phrase "concrete justice" being used in connection with assaulting a prisoner. Is that a common phrase that's used among prison officers?---Never heard of it.

Coming back to this conversation, this locker room chat as you put it, is that something that is acceptable broadly among prison officers?---This type of conversation?

Yes?---I - I would say that - I don't know. Well, some officers; some don't. There's 300-odd staff - officers at Hakea so everyone's kind of different and you kind of - yeah. But I mean I - I personally think if officers heard that then they may - they - some may, some may not assume - I would say more than likely most people would not assume that misconduct is associated with that, because it doesn't - doesn't say there was. They could see it as a person - that person's personality, the way they speak.

So you don't think everyday prison officers would find this conversation shocking in any way?---Because I - more than likely not but I don't - I don't note count. Like I said 300 staff, I don't know 300 people's minds and how they work and how they think. I think that they would see this as more joke humour than anything which is pretty much the context I feel I've said it in, like exaggerated humour.

Mr Pope, in terms of the - the conversations that we've heard today do you appreciate that your conduct was inappropriate?---In - in regards to the report writing - - -

19/11/20 Epiq

Yes?--- - - - and all that? It - it was inappropriate and - and to my defence, which is very small cos I'm admitting that it's inappropriate, a lot of it was due to knowing that I didn't have any outcome over the - feeling I didn't have any - I don't have any control over the law and what it does, and that predominantly it was more me trying to be a friend to somebody who'd been stood down that - I believe at that time I didn't even know 100 per cent sure if anybody had been assaulted. The most I'd know at that point, in what we've just discussed and figured out, was that there was a collusion, if anything. But I can't remember that far back, to be honest.

 But part of your advice here was to encourage a prison officer to lie about the fact that there had been a - there had been collusion?---Well, what was it I was saying? What was I saying, stick to the - I can't even remember the advice I gave but in regards to what we've seen today what was I - what was I saying, "Stick to your report"?

Were you encouraging other prison officers to maintain the lie?---I didn't know there was a lie in the first place regarding an - an assault because I didn't know, a hundred per cent sure, if someone had been assaulted. I knew there was an accusation of it.

But surely, Mr Pope, you understood that what the reports said was there's no assault?---Yeah.

And you knew the reports - - -?--Because - because people wouldn't have their job if they reported it as an assault.

But you knew the reports had said there's no assault, yes?---Presumably, yeah. Of course, yeah.

And you knew the reports weren't true?---Well, no, I'm not going to say that. I don't know if it was true, cos I don't know if - if he did assault anybody. It was - and he's not guilty yet, is he? I don't know what's going on there.

From your understanding from what you'd been told by these officers, you knew they'd colluded to write something on their reports that wasn't just simply the truth about what happened? You knew that much?---Well, there was a reason they were stood down. Yeah, I - I'm pretty sure it was due to report colluding, yes. But whether it was due to an assault 100 per cent that happened I don't know, and I wasn't even trying to put the two together because like I said, I was just being - giving more friend advice or friend chat

that I knew that I didn't have any control over the outcome once I - I knew that.

2 3 4

But, Mr Pope, if you knew there was collusion at the very least you must have suspected that what really happened was excessive force was used?---I didn't assume that it was.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

5

I'm not asking you assumed. Did you suspect it?---I don't know, because I was - I was just trying to talk to a friend. Like, I was just trying to be there for a friend. - to be fair, I didn't even really - as much as it sounds like I'm getting involved I didn't really care. I was just more so chatting and being involved in their life more than anything, I think.

14 15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23 Because, Mr Pope, you sound quite involved from conversations?---I do, yeah, for sure.

It sounds like you're giving advice to this officer, "Keep up the lie, get your story straight; meet up, go coach and you'll be okay"?---It sounds like that but I don't know what was going on - through my head at that time in regards to the knowledge I had and what was actually happening.

24 25

26

27

But do you accept that from your words what the other officer could have taken from that was advice about what to do in the investigation?---That I'm giving advice on what to do in the investigation?

28 29 30

Yes?---Well, yeah, clearly I'm saying things like that.

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

that you're undermining this misconduct And in doing investigation, aren't you? --- To an - to an extent. But like I say, I'm not a lawyer or anyone that gives legal advice or anything like that. I've - I've stepped out of my line as far as honesty may be concerned but I'm not even too concerned about what actually happened, more so having a chat and then - of welfare.

38 39 40

41

42 43

44 45

46 47

Do you accept, though, that your actions here could be reinforcing a culture that where there are misconduct allegations they're to be dismissed or minimised rather than taken seriously?---I'm not going to sit here and say there's a culture at Hakea in - in toxicity; because I don't see that, I see individuals that may make the wrong choices here and there. But like I said, Hakea's full of good officers who deal with things in the harshest environment and they do well to keep up what they do.

48 49 50

51

What about your actions, Mr Pope? What kind of a culture were your actions contributing to here?---Well, my actions may well have been contributing to the fact of, you know, not sticking to the truth quite possibly. Like I say in my defence to that, which is it's guilty of - of - of - of doing that. But it was just in - to support a friend as I am not a lawyer, I'm not going to give legal advice. I'm - I don't feel like I'm an important person in regards to that and - and could have an outcome over that.

8

You spoke about what you think of the culture at Hakea?---Well, what did I say about what I think about the culture at Hakea?

11 12

10

13 That there are good men and women who work there and that 14 things - - -?---For sure.

15

Do you think there is a culture where if prison officers see misconduct they go report it?---If they - if they see it? Well, I'm assuming that if people see misconduct they report it, yeah.

20

21 So if they see another prisoner using excessive force? 22 Prison officer, pardon me?---Yeah, I'm assuming they would.

23

24 What about bringing contraband into the prisons?---Of course, yeah.

2627

Bullying?---Officer-to-officer bullying?

28

29 Mm?---Yeah, that - I'm - I think stuff like that gets pulled 30 up all the time. I've never bullied anybody or no one's 31 ever bullied me.

32

33 But from what you - you hear among your fellow prison officers, reporting that kind of thing is accepted?---Well, yeah, of course.

36 37

It's encouraged?---Yeah, all sorts of things are encouraged.

38

39 And you do not see prison officers being punished or 40 retaliated against for making complaints?---I don't see that, no.

42

Mr Pope, aside from formal reporting processes is there a culture of prison officers informally challenging inappropriate behaviours or calling it out?---Sorry, you'll have to repeat that please? I was kind of in the middle of a drink.

48

Informally, is there a culture of calling out bad behaviour as you see it?---Is there a culture of calling out bad

19/11/20 Epiq behaviour? Well, see, I'm - sorry, what does informally
mean?

4 Not a problem. So outside of the - so we've discussed the 5 PD5s, the formal written reporting 6 processes - - -?---Mm hmm.

- - - or a written complaint somewhere?---Mm hmm.

Is there a culture of among your fellow employees saying, "Do better next time, shouldn't have said that; stand up your game"?---Yeah. Yep, yep. There's always room for improvement for everything, for sure.

And is that the culture you see at Hakea, that officers try to improve?---And encourage each other? Yeah. I mean, nothing's ever bloody - it's not like Disneyland where everything's perfect and, you know, not every officer is perfect. There'd be officers around with bad attitudes for sure, but I would say not enough that it becomes culture. I'd say the culture is more - more good, to be honest.

2.8

Do you have any insights you could share with the Commission about why prison officers might not report misconduct?---I don't know. I don't know. I mean, the only thing you can go off is what you're dealing with right now, which is me, and I've done a stupid thing by trying to be supportive to a friend in an incident that I wasn't directly involved in, and didn't have responsibility over, although it's come out that, you know, I may have had some sort of influence on it, which I didn't feel at the time.

Mr Pope, the Commission has also heard reference to a secret handshake club. What do you know about that club?---Absolutely nothing.

Moving back to the 2018 incident, at what point in this process did you become aware that the Commission was involved?---I can't remember.

Do you know who told you?---About this incident?

43 About the Commission's involvement in this incident. Do you 44 know whether - well, since the Commission became 45 involved - - -?---Mm hmm.

47 -- have you discussed this incident with any of the 48 officers who were stood down?---I don't know when the 49 Commission became involved. I know I made that phone call with initially, and then - yeah, I've - people have

been discussing at work since then what had actually happened and stuff, but - - - $\!\!\!\!$

Well, perhaps just putting aside when the Commission became involved, who have you seen or spoken to since the officers were stood down?---Even in regards to the officers that were stood down?

Yes, thank you?---The only officer I've seen in

11 And when did you last see Mr ?---I don't know, a few 12 days ago, something like that. We - - -

And you haven't seen or spoken to any of the other officers since they were stood down?---Not that I recall.

17 And what about things like social media? We saw some 18 messages earlier between you and a different 19 officer?---Mm hmm.

Have you spoken to the other stood-down officers on social media?---I don't think so - I don't - no - I don't think so, unless you're going to show me something, but I can't remember.

Mr Pope, I want to ask you some questions about - I think I'm done with that exhibit, Madam Associate - some questions about the key players within Corrective Services. So first I'll ask you about the executive team. What's a common attitude among prison officers on the ground towards the executive of the department?---The management?

Yes?---What's the common attitude towards them?

 Is it positive, are they distrusted?---It's positive, and negative at times, depending on what we're going through, you know. And to be honest, I'm not very political at work. In all honesty, I'm just an everyday dude trying to go to work to support my family, come home - I don't really give a shit about the fucking politics at work, to be honest.

WATTERS, MR: Sorry, is "the department" the Prisons Department? When you say, "the department"?

TOWER, MS: Pardon me, the Department of Justice, underneath that is Corrective Services.

WATTERS, MR: Thank you.

49 TOWER, MS: Thank you, I'll clarify.

And do you have any insight to share about either of those?---No.

2 3 4

I will ask about the Professional Standards division, so Professional Standards or PSD, they are the body within the department who internally investigates misconduct. Do you know what's the common attitude among prison officers towards them?---No, I don't know what the common attitude towards - no.

The reason I ask is that the Commission has heard evidence that the attitude towards PSD is that they're all out to get us, and that they - pardon me?---Well, if you're going off the message that you showed me with that I forgot about, and where he made the comment of - what was it - just remember the department after this - is that what you're getting at please?

Yes, that the Commission has been hearing that they are distrusted and that there's an attitude that they're all out to get us, rather than as being an integrated, useful part of a department. Do you have any comment on that?---No, I don't. It's another political comment that I'm not really involved with, or care about.

What about the WA Prison union, Prison Officers' Union? What's the common attitude among prison officers towards them?---Well, we feel that the union is something - a body that supports us if, you know, something unfair happens to us at work, that's basically when we feel they're supposed to step in, if we've been mistreated by the department in any sort of way, that the union will support us in that.

And can you make any comment about Hakea Prison? What impact does the union have, if any, on the culture at Hakea?---I honestly don't know.

Mr Pope, are you familiar with any of the previous inquiries that have happened into use of force incidents at WA prisons?---Previous inquiries?

Yes?---Of other incidents? No, I don't think so.

What about any previous attempts by the Department of Justice to try to improve integrity, or improve culture? Are you aware of those?---Improve integrity - integrity?

Yes. The Commission has heard that it's a priority for the department and they've been trying to make changes. Are you familiar with that?---No. I come to work, let them out, lock them up and go home. That's - - -

19/11/20

1		
2	Commissioner, now might k	pe an appropriate time for a
3	10-minute break.	
4		
5	(THE WITN	ESS WITHDREW)
6		
7	THE ACTING COMMISSIONER:	We'll adjourn for 10 minutes.
8		
9	(Short	adjournment)

19/11/20 POPE, T.E. (Public Examination)

```
1
    WATTERS, MR:
                    Mr Pope, you were asked some questions
    obviously about Mr ?---Mm.
2
3
4
    Can I just ask you a couple of questions about
5
    Did you know him before you went to Hakea? --- Did I know him?
6
7
        you know him before you went to Hakea
8
    prison officer?---Never met him. No, I didn't know him.
9
10
    Right. You first got to know him at Hakea?---Yeah.
11
12
    And you became friends with him through being a prison
13
    officer at Hakea?---Yeah.
14
15
    All right. And you yourself have a young family?---Yeah.
16
17
    And does Mr have a young family?---I don't know. I
    think he's got a girlfriend.
18
19
20
    Would you and he socialise outside of prison?---At times,
21
    yeah.
22
23
    You said to my learned friend that your call to Mr was
24
    to give him - these are my words - some mental help, some
25
    mental support?---Mm hmm.
26
27
    Prior to calling him on 14 August, which is the call we've
28
    heard, so prior to calling him on 14 August, from your
29
    knowledge of him, and your dealings with him - - -?---Mm.
30
31
    - - - did you have any knowledge of him that caused you to
    have concern for his mental health generally?---No, not
32
33
    generally, but I know that being stood down from work would
34
    definitely stress somebody out with their, you know, their
35
    lifestyle that they need to uphold, bills and mortgage, kids,
36
    whatever.
37
38
    That's something you're assuming in the sense - that hasn't
    happened to you though, has it? You've never been stood
39
40
    down, have?---No.
41
42
           Just one other matter I want to ask you about, you
43
    were asked about your knowledge of this investigation, or
    the Commission, the Corruption and Crime Commission - I'll
44
    call it the CCC - becoming involved. I believe you were
45
    served with a summons by the CCC at your home address. Is
46
47
    that correct? --- That's correct, yeah.
48
49
    Prior to you being served with that summons, did you know
```

19/11/20 POPE, T.E. 36
Epiq (Public Examination)

that the CCC were involved at all in the matter involving

Mr ?---Sorry, say again.

50

1 That's all right. Before you got the summons from the 2 CCC - - -?---Yeah. 3 4 Before you were served with that at your home 5 address - - -?---Yeah. 6 7 - - - did you know that the CCC were involved or looking at 8 anything to do with Mr and Hakea?---I didn't know for 9 sure. Basically, that had been said amongst work colleagues 10 that that's what was going on. 11 12 You had heard it being said at work?---Mm. 13 14 All right. Do you remember whether those things that you 15 had heard at work were before or after you called him on 16 14 August?---I can't recall. 17 18 All right. The 14 August when you called him, I think that was the day he was stood down?---Mm hmm. 19 20 21 Is that right?---Well, if you've got that in front of you, 22 yeah - I can't remember. 23 24 No, was that your understanding of - when you called him on 25 14 August, was it your understanding he had effectively just been - - -?---Stood down. 26 27 28 - - - if not that day, but very soon before, stood down from 29 work?---Yeah, he was just stood down from work. Yeah. 30 31 All right. Did you hear that from someone at work?---Yeah. 32 I can't put a face to who it was, but I heard it at work 33 when it happened. Yeah. 34 35 Commissioner, they are the only two matters I would seek to 36 clarify. Thank you. 37 THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: 38 Thank you, Mr Watters. 39 40 Ms Tower, can the witness be released? 41 42 TOWER, MS: Yes. 43 44 THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 45 Mr Pope, you are released from further attendance at the 46 47 Commission. A direction has been made that you are not to 48 discuss the matter, or the evidence, with any of the other

19/11/20 POPE, T.E. (Public Examination)

witnesses to be called until after they have given their

evidence. I understand there's a list of witnesses on the

1	website. You can discuss the matter with persons who aren't
2	witnesses?I can?
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	(THE WITNESS WITHDREW)
10	
11	THE ACTING COMMISSIONER: We'll adjourn.
12	
13	WATTERS, MR: Thank you, sir.
14	
15	AT 3.47 PM THE MATTER WAS ADJOURNED ACCORDINGLY

Certificate Made Under Section 50A of the Evidence Act 1906

The transcript of Tyrone Eric Pope heard on Thursday, 19 November 2020

was made in good faith and, subject to any qualification referred to below, is correct, accurate and complete transcription of the contents of the recording;

was produced from recordings that were suitable for making an accurate and complete transcript except where otherwise stated in the body of the transcript. Any "indistinct" or "inaudible" or other notations indicating difficulty with the transcription contained within the transcript refers to those parts of the proceedings that could not be accurately transcribed due to speech clarity, recording quality or other factors impacting word intelligibility.

Certified on this 19th day of November 2020 by: Glenda Judge, Sheila Robbshaw and Joshua Stevenson

Full Name: Glenda Judge

Sheila Robbshaw Joshua Stevenson

Occupation: Transcriber and officer of the Commission under the Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003 ss 182, 3 who has taken an oath before the Commissioner.

Signature: (Glenda Judge) (Sheila Robbshaw) (Joshua Stevenson)

Epiq Australia Level 1, Kings New Office Tower 533 Hay Street Perth WA 6000