Copyright in this document is reserved to the Crown in right of the State of Western Australia. Reproduction of this document (or part thereof, in any format) except with the prior written consent of the Commissioner of the Corruption and Crime Commission Act is prohibited.

CORRUPTION AND CRIME COMMISSION

OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA

COMMISSIONER JOHN MCKECHNIE AO KC

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

AT PERTH ON WEDNESDAY, 10 APRIL 2024, AT 9.43 AM

COUNSEL ASSISTING:

MS KIRSTEN NELSON

COUNSEL ASSISTING THE WITNESS:

MR CHRISTIAN PORTER

WITNESS:

CHRISTOPHER JAMES FIELD PSM

THE COMMISSIONER: Please be seated. Mr Field, because it's been a while, I'll just have you re-sworn.

2 3 4

## CHRISTOPHER JAMES FIELD RESWORN AT 09.43 AM:

**THE COMMISSIONER:** Mr Porter, you may commence whenever you are ready.

 PORTER, MR: Thank you, Commissioner, and Mr Field, it was a little while ago now, but we concluded the last day of public hearings with you providing some evidence regarding the papers that were tabled regarding your travel in Parliament on 13 February 2024. And that was with respect to the three months ended 7 June 2023, which was then in respect to Austria, 5 to 17 May 2023, and Slovenia and the UK, 2 to 16 June 2023. So, you remember that's where we left off on the last occasion?---I do.

 And then the next document that comes to be tabled in Parliament is your annual report for 2022/23. And do you recall that was tabled in Parliament on 21 September 2023? ---Yes, I do.

Now, I think you've given some evidence about this process before, but that, as you've just confirmed, is the annual report for the 2022/23 year, so the year ending on 30 June 2023?---That is correct.

And it comes to be tabled on 21 September 2023?---Correct.

And when does that document first go into the Parliamentary system, if I can describe it that way?---Ah, well, it goes into the system at the moment - as I understand at the moment, it is tabled by the Speaker of the Parliament.

 But your office, presumably, is the author of that document. You put it together, it's provided to someone at some point in time. Do you know who that is, and at what point in time?---Correct, we provide copies, ah, there are a number of regulated copies that have to be provided to the Parliament. Ah, I think in my recollection, they go down the day before, counsel, to the Parliament.

So, unlike the quarterly reports for travel, there's not a process of significant lag where they are presented for the quarterly reports to the Department of Premier and Cabinet, sometimes months before they're eventually tabled?
---Correct, there is not a lag.

And I think your evidence previously was that there's an 2 email list of people who then receive copies direct from 3 your office?---Correct. 5 And how many people on that email list?---Ah, we have a stakeholder list of around - I think it's around 30 to 40, 6 7 Commissioner. So, I send those after, ah, it has been 8 tabled in Parliament, and they are to Director-Generals and 9 Ministers and various others who I think might be 10 interested in receiving the annual report. 11 12 And I think your evidence was, with respect to the previous annual report also, that there is some hardcopy postal, or 13 14 hardcopy provision of your annual reports as well?---Historically, in fact, the majority were done by 15 Correct. 16 hardcopy. We have moved, as cost-saving device, to sending 17 those as much as possible electronically. 18 And so for this 2022/23 annual report, it was the case, as 19 20 with your previous annual report, the copies were emailed 21 and the copies were posted?---Yes, the predominant would 22 have been by email this particular year, as it will be 23 going forward. 24 25 And Commissioner, I think that the first bundle of 26 documents that was provided through Ms Espino was numbered 27 0664<sup>^</sup>, so we're going to go to that bundle. 28 29 Is this the annual report? THE COMMISSIONER: 30 31 PORTER, MR: This is the annual report, yes. And in the bundle that we've provided, it's an excerpt of pages from 32 33 the annual report. And of that large document, 0664<sup>^</sup>, this is page 80 of that document. 34 35 36 THE COMMISSIONER: Would you like page 80 displayed? 37 38 PORTER, MR: Yes, if we may. 39 40 0664^ 41 42 PORTER, MR: And I presume that that's familiar to your 43 eye?---It is. 44 45 Now, the previous occasion you were being asked questions 46 by me, Mr Field, we covered off on the fact that the 47 previous annual report, so that is 2012/22, had about 11

49 50

48

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. Epiq (Public Hearing)

of the IOI, that's correct?---That is correct.

pages which were devoted to covering your role as president

```
And if I put to you for the sake of saving time that the
    '22/23 annual report had about 47 pages covering your role
 2
    and travels as president of the IOI?---Yes, correct.
 3
 5
    And again, I'm going to go through this fairly briefly, but
    given the public nature of the hearings, I'll just - I'm
 6
 7
    just going to work through fairly quickly. So, if we can
 8
    go to page 81, the next page. So, this is page 81 of your
 9
    annual report for '22/23, and that is a picture of you
10
    somewhere in the Ukraine, is that correct?---Yes, in Kyiv,
11
    in Ukraine, correct.
12
13
    Okay, 82, we can move over, 83, if we may? Again, you in
14
    the Ukraine?---Correct.
15
16
    We see the word 'Ukraine' at the top there in the header,
17
    so that's clear?---Yes, correct.
18
19
    And at page 84?---Yes, still in Ukraine.
20
21
    And the word 'Ukraine' appears clearly at the top there?
    ---Correct.
22
23
24
    Some pictures on page 85, and again the word 'Ukraine'
25
    appears below those pictures, I think? --- Ah, correct.
26
27
    So, if we go to page 86, at the top there it's a visit to
28
    Irpin, Ukraine?---Correct.
29
30
    87, the bottom of that page, Madam Associate, there's the
31
    word 'Ukraine', it's a little bit difficult to see under
32
    that picture, but - so, there you're meeting with His
33
    Excellency Mr Bruce Edwards, Australian Ambassador to
34
    Ukraine?---Correct.
35
36
    And I presume that's in Ukraine?---Ah, that was in Warsaw.
    So, on the right, you are quite correct, counsel. The
37
    Australian Ambassador to Ukraine, and on the left, the
38
39
    Australian Ambassador to Poland.
40
    Right, so now we've moved on to pictures of the trip to
41
42
    Poland, is that right, on the left?---Correct.
43
44
    Okay, 87, if we can go to 88. Then again, visiting Poland,
45
    meeting the Ambassador of Ukraine? --- Correct.
46
47
    And the second heading in blue there, 'Commissioner for
48
    Human Rights at Poland, official visit to Poland'?
```

4950

---Correct.

```
89, attending border crossing points at the Hungarian,
    Romanian and Hungarian-Ukrainian borders during visit to
 2
    Hungary?---Correct.
 3
 5
    Page 90, visiting a field office of the Commissioner of
 6
    Fundamental Rights of Hungary?---Correct, and on the border
 7
    crossing point, again correct.
 8
 9
    Page 91, again the visit to Hungary denoted clearly at the
10
    top of the page? --- Correct.
11
12
    92, again it denotes the visit to Hungary at the top of the
13
    page, correct?---It does.
14
15
    93, pictures pertaining to a visit to Austria?---Correct.
16
17
    94, pictures again - now, this is the Ukrainian Ambassador
18
    to Australia, is that correct?---That is correct.
19
20
    So, where are these pictures taken?---These are outside of
21
    my office.
22
23
    In Perth?---Sorry, in Perth, correct.
24
25
        The first heading up there in its final sentence talks
26
    about the provincial Ombudsman Sindh - into malnutrition
27
    and stunting in Thar Pakar, is that Pakistan, is it?---It
28
    is, correct, in - correct, Karachi, ah, Sindh Province,
29
    Pakistan.
30
31
    And those pictures are of you in Pakistan, is that
32
    correct?---They are.
33
34
    The final sentence there talks about Federal Ombudsman of
35
    Pakistan?---Yes, there were several Ombudsman, including
36
    the Federal Ombudsman of Pakistan, who had attended as
37
    well.
38
39
    And page 96, again you're with Ombudsman Sindh?---Correct.
40
41
    And the description under that photograph is 'Provincial
42
    Ombudsman, Sindh'?---Correct.
43
44
    97, the first picture there depicts Provincial Ombudsman
45
    Sindh?---Correct.
```

46 47

48

49

Page 98, there's another picture - there's the laying of a wreath, the word 'Pakistan' appears in the top sentence? ---Yes, laying the wreath at the tomb of Muhammad Jinnah, the founder of Pakistan, correct.

50 the founder of Pakistan, co 51

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. Epiq (Public Hearing)

```
And then on page 99, is this Pakistan or Morocco?
 2
    ---Correct, this is part of the ceremony, ah, of laying
 3
    that wreath at the tomb in Pakistan.
 5
    This is in Pakistan? --- Karachi, Pakistan.
 6
 7
    Page 100, at the top there, it's the bishop of Karachi?
 8
    ---Correct.
 9
10
    Page 101, the two headings in blue both mention the Federal
    Ombudsman of Pakistan and the National Museum of Pakistan?
11
12
    ---Correct, and also meeting the governor of Pakistan as
13
    well, sorry, the Sindh Province, my apologies.
14
15
    There are further pictures on page 102, but going further
16
    over to page 103, there's a heading at the top there, which
17
    I presume refers to Morocco, is that M-a-r-o-c?---That is
18
    correct, that is correct.
19
20
    Why is it spelt that way?---Ah, that's the spelling that is
21
    used by Morocco. Morocco is French spelling, I think, as
    it is.
22
23
24
    Okay, and the middle paragraph on that page talks about the
25
    Academy of the Kingdom of Morocco?---Exactly correct, so
26
    speaking at the 20th anniversary of the Institution of the
27
    Ombudsman and Mediator of Morocco.
28
29
    And then page 104, again there's some photographs under the
30
    first photograph that has 'Mediator of the Kingdom of
31
    Morocco'?---Correct.
32
33
    Page 105, under the heading 'IOI President undertakes
34
    cultural exchange in Rabat and Fez, it talks about the
35
    visit to the Kingdom of Morocco, correct?---Correct.
36
37
    Then page 106, the heading is 'Attending an official
38
    reception at the residence of the Australian Ambassador to
    Morocco'?---That is correct, at his residence, a dinner
39
40
    reception with a number of ambassadors.
41
42
    And it finishes, 'in honour of his visit to the Kingdom of
43
    Morocco'?---Correct.
44
45
    Page 107, the heading - the first heading again mentions
46
    the Kingdom of Morocco. The second heading is, 'IOI
47
    president chairs the 2023 world board meeting of the IOI in
48
    Vienna,' is that correct?---Correct.
49
    And then page 108, there's pictures, you're chairing the UN
50
51
    working group of the world board of the IOI?---Correct.
    10/04/24
                                                                6
                            FIELD, C.J.
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

```
That's Vienna, is it?---That is in Vienna, correct. The,
 2
    ah, domicile of the IOI.
 3
    Page 109, another picture, and that text there mentions the
    ambassador to Austria?---Yes, correct, this was a side
 6
    event to the IOI board meeting. There, I'm speaking at an
 7
    event organised by the Ukraine Ambassador to Austria in
 8
    relation to the, ah, charity voices for children organised
 9
    by the Ukrainian Ambassador.
10
11
    Okay, 101, the middle heading in blue talks about 'in
12
    Vienna'?---Correct.
13
14
    Page 111, the top heading in blue states 'in Vienna'?
    ---Yes, that is at the Australian Embassy, correct.
15
16
17
    Page 112, the top heading again says 'in Vienna'?
18
    ---Correct, at the board meeting.
19
20
    Page 113, there's two photographs, under the first are the
21
    words 'Austrian National Council', the same words,
22
    'Austrian National Counsel' under the second, correct?
23
    ---Yes, that's His Excellency (indistinct) and myself
24
    hosting that event.
25
26
    Okay, 114, the heading, 'IOI president provides the
27
    commemoration speech for the presentation of the Golden
    Order of Merit to former-IOI Secretary-General, Minister
28
29
    Werner Amon?---Correct, and that was in Graz, Austria.
30
31
    115, the heading talks about, 'IOI president undertakes
    cultural exchange in Graz and Styria'?---Yes, following the
32
33
    Austrian, ah, board meeting in Austria, I was invited by
34
    the Minister for International Cultural Affairs, Werner
35
    Amon, to visit Graz.
36
37
    Then page 116, the heading is, 'IOI president meets the
38
    President of the Republic of Slovenia'?---Correct.
39
40
    'On the occasion of his visit to Slovenia'?---Yes, and
41
    there I'm meeting the president at her residence in, ah,
42
    Ljubljana.
43
44
    Okay, and then page 117, the heading ends, 'on the occasion
45
    of his visit to Slovenia'?---Yes, I accompanied the
46
    President to a - one of her official visits.
47
48
    Then on page 118, the heading includes the words 'live
    interview for the RTV Channel 1, Slovenia'?---Yes, I think
49
50
    that's the most-watched news, ah, service in Slovenia, and
51
    I was asked to, ah, attend it.
    10/04/24
                                                                7
                            FIELD, C.J.
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

```
And then the second heading there also contains the word
    Slovenia, 'Republic of Slovenia', correct?---Yes, that's
    meeting the President of the National Assembly.
 5
    And then page 119, the middle heading, 'IOI president meets
 6
    the Minister of Justice for the Republic of Slovenia'?
 7
    ---Correct.
 8
 9
    Page 120, the first paragraph heading again denotes the
10
    Republic of Slovenia? --- Correct, and there meeting the
    Minister for Relations for Slovenians Abroad.
11
12
13
    Page 121, there's another photograph, the final words under
14
    the final pictures, 'entrance of Postojna Cave'?---Yes, a
15
    cultural site, entrance to the Postojna Cave and, ah,
16
    (indistinct) two matters of great cultural significance for
17
    the Slovenian people.
18
19
    Okay, and then page 122, it's a meeting with the Agent
20
    General for Western Australia, United Kingdom?---Correct.
21
22
    Page 123, first paragraph under the heading - mentions
23
    being in Manchester?---Yes, the principle office of the
24
    Ombudsman of the UK.
25
26
    Okay, and then the middle heading speaks to the provision
27
    of an address at the Parliament of New Zealand?---Yes, on
    the 60th anniversary, myself, the latter Prime Minister and
28
29
    Chief Ombudsman of New Zealand.
30
31
    And then page 124, there are two headings in blue.
    first two headings both mention New Zealand?---Ah, correct.
32
    Our High Commissioner, and also further awardings of the
33
34
    Golden Order of Merit to Sir Brian Elwood and Dame Beverley
35
    Wakem, former presidents of the IOI.
36
37
    Okay, and then page 125 is a photograph with the members of
38
    the Australasian and Pacific Ombudsman region of the IOI,
    is that in New Zealand? --- Correct, an annual meeting, and
39
40
    on that occasion it was held in New Zealand to coincide
    with the 60th anniversary.
41
42
43
    And then the next heading there is 'IOI president's
    addresses.' Now, I don't want to go through each and every
44
45
    one of those, but is that a mixture of addresses that were
```

given in person and virtually, or was it all one or the

ah, by video conference, either live or prerecorded

other, or - - -?---Ah, my strong recollection - I'd have to

walk through them, are those were the ones that were given,

50 51 conference.

46

47 48

```
In addition to - - -?---In addition, of course in addition,
 1
 2
    yes.
 3
    Okay, and during that period that is covered by the annual
 5
    report '22/23, the trips, working backwards, if I can put
    it that way, Slovenia and the UK, 2 to 16 June, correct?
 6
 7
    ---Correct.
 8
 9
    Austria, 5 to 17 May?---Correct.
10
11
    Pakistan, 11 to 17 March?---Correct.
12
13
    Morocco, 26 to 4 May 2023?---Correct.
14
15
    Correct?---Correct.
16
17
    Ukraine and Poland, 3 to 14 December 2022?---Correct.
18
19
    New Zealand, 9 to 4 October 2022?---Yes.
20
21
    And Austria and Hungary, 6 to 20 September 2022?---Correct.
22
23
    And having gone through that and revisited that annual
24
    report, to the best of your estimate, are all of those
25
    trips touched upon or covered or demonstrated in that
26
    annual report?---Correct.
27
    Why were you including all that material in your annual
28
29
    report?---Oh, it was a singular reason. Ah, and that was
30
    to ensure, ah, transparency to the Parliament in relation
31
    to my travel. Um, I had done that on one level already by
32
    the provision of the quarterly travel reports that were
33
    tabled in Parliament. Um, but, um, ah, Commissioner,
34
    whether it was a matter you think is a positive or negative
35
    thing, I indicated to my staff at the time that if you
36
    approve your own travel, then you, um, then you do this.
37
    Ah, so it was about the idea of ensuring, um, I was mindful
38
    that - I had formed the view for a raft of reasons in good
39
    faith, that, um, I had approved my travel, and I felt that
40
    it was imperative and essential that if I did so, I was
41
    utterly, completely, and voluminously transparent.
42
43
    I think we've covered the point of transparency, so I won't
44
    labour the point?---Yes.
45
    THE COMMISSIONER:
46
                       We know that, but if I might just ask a
    question. With all this travel, how does that relate to
47
48
    the functions of principally of investigation under the
49
          After all, you are the Commissioner for
50
    Administrative Investigations. How does it relate to those
51
    functions, and in particular, how is it that monies
                                                                9
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

appropriated by Parliament for the purpose of those 2 functions is used for all these functions that we have just 3 seen?---Ah, well, I think there's - you'll stop me when I go too far, Commissioner. I think that answer has to be in 5 two parts. First, it is true that international engagement and engagement with colleagues in the International 6 7 Ombudsman Institute is about developing best practice in 8 the way that we undertake our work, our investigations, and 9 sharing with colleagues, I don't think there's any question 10 In fact, many of the things that have led to about that. us being amongst the best practice Ombudsman Institution in 11 12 the world is because of the things that I have learned 13 through these travels. That's number one. But number two, 14 it is certainly the case that, um, ah, as it is with the 15 other 23 board directors on the IOI who travel extensively 16 as well, that each of us see it being from time to time our 17 turn to undertake a role with the International Ombudsman 18 I didn't for you know, the vast majority of my Institute. 19 time as Ombudsman, and then that term ends, and I don't 20 after that, and my predecessor won't, and my predecessor 21 wont'. So, in this case, and in my case, in Australia's 22 case, it's once ever 50 years is an Ombudsman asked to 23 undertake this sort of role, and to step up and to take on 24 these responsibilities. And that is the case for all of my 25 predecessors. All of my predecessors have travelled 26 extensively, all of my successors will as well. So, I think 27 it's both, Commissioner.

28 29

30 31

32

33

3435

36

That doesn't actually - the first part might be a partial answer to my question. The second part, with respect, is not. How does it directly relate to the functions under the Act for which Parliament has appropriated money? In other words, I'll put it another way. If it was, as you say, part of the job, so to speak, of being President, why didn't you apply for a separate appropriation for that from Parliament?---Ah, well I did apply for a separate appropriation through the SBP for part of that.

373839

That's a year later?--- I accept that, Commissioner.

40 41

42 43

44

45

46

And that was for other things, which no doubt Mr Porter will get on. You didn't apply here?---Commissioner, um, and I won't repeat obviously the ones that you said could potentially go to the matters, as I say, um, those matters of - of practice. Um, and the development of our best practice by learning from international colleagues, national and international colleagues.

47 48

Well, that was your first point?---Correct. But
Commissioner, I think this gets to - and I absolutely
understand that you don't accept my position.

10/04/24

FIELD, C.J.

```
I want to make this absolutely clear, I neither accept or
    don't accept anything at the moment. This is an
 2
    investigation, and I'm taking up too much of Mr Porter's
 3
    time, for which I apologise, and I frequently do?---
 5
    Commissioner, if everyone held the view that you're putting
 6
    to me, if I can be this blunt about it, there would be no
 7
         That's the - the unintended consequence of what
 8
    you're saying to me, and I need to be frank about it, is
 9
    the International Ombudsman Institute would not exist. The
10
    global, ah, Human Rights Institution would not exist.
    Ombudsmen are asked not just under the Venice Principles
11
12
    but the UN Principles, but by the - by the mandate of the
13
    IOI to, um - ah, give themselves to that international
14
    body. Now, there are 23 other directors on this board who
15
    have no provisions - specific provisions in their Act.
16
    won't be able to find any of them. You won't be able to
17
    find them. Your staff won't be able to find them.
18
    find them. No ombudsman will have a provision that says,
19
    "You can be a member of the IOI. You can go and do these
20
    things". If you're searching for that, it's a search in
21
    vain. The reality is if your proposition is correct, the
22
    IOI finishes tomorrow. That is the reality of this
23
    situation. Now, that - I - I have to say, I think that
24
    would be a grave disservice to both ombudsmen and the
    citizens and parliaments they serve around the world.
25
26
    in 50 years this country has been expected to pay for a
27
    president, and in this case it happens to have been.
28
    have been any other ombudsman, just like Ireland was
29
    expected to pay, um, for their, ah, ombudsman to be, ah, my
30
    predecessor, just like Namibia before me, just like
31
    New Zealand before me, and there will be hundreds of
32
    presidents after me, Commissioner, and if every one of them
33
    is corrupt, the IOI finishes tomorrow.
34
35
    It's not a question of corruption, but we'll leave it at
36
    that. I think you've answered my question. At least,
37
    you've given the - - -?---I - - -
38
39
    - - - answer you wish - - -?--- - - certainly trying
40
    to - - -
41
42
    - - - to give?--- - - be respectful with it, but I think
43
    it's a very - - -
44
45
    Mr Porter?--- - - serious ramification.
46
47
    And I promise to try not to interrupt again.
48
49
                  Commissioner, it's led me to think of another
    PORTER, MR:
50
    few questions.
51
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                              11
```

(Public Hearing)

Epiq

```
So the - I think part of what the Commissioner's question
    is going to, Mr Field, is an issue of jurisdiction we
 2
 3
    covered - - -?---Correct.
 5
    - - - when I first questioned you. The second goes to your
    mindset, and I - I just want to deal with each of those
 7
    very quickly - - -?---Correct.
 8
    - - - individually, but you - you had previously spoken
 9
10
    about your view that the role of president of the IOI was
    complimentary to your role as state ombudsman. Correct?
11
12
    ---That is correct.
13
14
    But you also confirmed that in no way when you were
15
    undertaking duties as IOI president were you conducting any
16
    investigative or jurisdictional functions that exist under
17
    your Act? --- Correct. And I completely agree with that,
18
    Commissioner.
19
20
    So your position is, in effect, that it was either
21
    complimentary or not inconsistent with your functions as
22
    ombudsman of WA?---Ah, that is exactly correct, counsel.
23
24
    Now, I just want to explore the - the - the principle as to
25
    the use of your standard appropriations for that travel
26
    that you saw as complimentary or not inconsistent, but was
27
    nevertheless direct - not directly aligned to your
28
    investigative functions, which as we've discussed are your
29
    only functions - - -?---Correct.
30
31
    - - - under the Act. You mentioned previously that you had
    on occasions attended, I think there were meetings of the
32
33
    Australian New Zealand Energy and Water Ombudsman's
34
    meetings?---Correct.
35
36
    When you did that, were you undertaking any functions under
    your Act?---Well, it's not necessary to go to those
37
38
    meetings to undertake my functions in my view.
39
40
    You went to - and was that travel coming out of your
    general appropriations?---Yeah, well, it was coming out of
41
    the appropriations given to the industry ombudsman, so
42
43
    general appropriations, but ultimately paid for by the
44
    members of the scheme.
45
46
    Right. Okay. So that wasn't from your state
47
    ombudsman - - -?---No.
48
49
    - - - budget? No. You were present at conferences of the
50
    Institute of Public Affairs, that's the IPAA, they call
51
    it?---Correct.
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               12
                            (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

```
1
 2
    And was that being paid for out of your - - -?---Yes.
    - - - ombudsman's budget? And when you were there, were
    you discharging your functions under the Act?---No. Put in
 6
    that, ah - ah, way that has been described, no.
 7
 8
    You visited Indonesia as part of a Commonwealth program
    with the Commonwealth ombudsman and New South Wales
 9
10
    ombudsman to do training and capacity building. You recall
    that?---I do.
11
12
13
    Who paid for that?---Ah, sorry, just repeat that again?
14
    Sorry - - - -
15
16
    It was - - -?--- - - Commissioner.
17
18
    - - - you visited Indonesia as part of a Commonwealth
19
    program with the Commonwealth ombudsman and New South Wales
    ombudsman for training and capacity building for the
20
21
    Indonesian ombudsman. You did that in about 2013?
22
    ---Correct. The Commonwealth ombudsman.
23
24
    The - the Commonwealth ombudsman - - -?---Correct. Yeah,
25
    made contributions to that. Correct.
26
27
    And were you undertaking any functions pursuant to your Act
28
    over there - - -?---No.
29
30
    - - - in Indonesia in 2013?---No.
31
    Are you aware, and if you're not, you're not, but were you
32
33
    aware whether or not the Commonwealth ombudsman was acting
34
    pursuant to any functions of his Act or her Act - - -?---
35
    No, nor the New South Wales ombudsman who was there either.
36
37
    So you - you - you will accept, no doubt, though that if
38
    there is a principle about travelling using your domestic
39
    budget, if I can put it this way - - -?---Mm.
40
41
    - - - for - for national (indistinct) bodies or
42
    organisations, that the IOI travel represents a very
43
    expanded version of that principle. You would accept
44
    that?---I accept it not only is a version but an expanded
45
    version.
46
47
    Very expanded version?---Oh, in fact, very expanded - - -
48
49
    And - - -? --- - - version.
50
                                                              13
```

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. Epiq (Public Hearing)

```
And you would have to accept, I think, that it is
 2
    completely atypical in almost every regard?---Ah, not
 3
    atypical if you're the ombudsman who happens to be the
    president of the International Ombudsman Institute at that
 5
    time, but in relation to my history, atypical.
 6
 7
    But it seems that in your - I just want to pause very
 8
    briefly and talk about your mindset. Your mindset, it
 9
    seems, in your answers to the Commissioner was that as well
10
    as being complimentary or not inconsistent to your
    functions under the Act, you accept that you weren't
11
12
    discharging your functions as IOI president under your Act.
13
    Correct?---Correct.
14
15
    But that in some way you conceived of the role as IOI
16
    president, particularly in the way in which your domestic
17
    funds were being applied to it, as some sort of community
    service that was being provided through your budget to the
18
    international community. Is that how you would describe it
19
20
    or is that the answer that you would give?---Um - ah - ah,
21
    counsel, I think that's exactly the way I would describe
22
    it. Um, I was profoundly of the view that it was not the
23
    same as, say for example, the Commissioner giving a speech
24
    interstate or I giving a speech interstate, which would
25
    have a very, um, if you like, a tangential relevance for
    WA, ah, benefit for Western Australians. Um, this goes
26
27
    well beyond that, and I took the view that it was
28
    profoundly in the public interest and the Australian
29
    interest, um, that - that I could make that contribution,
30
    not as a matter of hubris. I was humble about it, but I
31
    did think I could make a contribution.
32
33
    That conception that you've just given, if I can use
    loosely the phrase of it being a community service, were -
34
35
    were you - did you relay that conception of your role as
36
    IOI president whilst concurrently state ombudsman to any of
37
    the senior public servants in your meetings - - -?---
38
    On - - -
39
40
    - - - (indistinct)?---On - on - to the best of my
    recollection, Commissioner, on every single occasion.
41
    was a critical part of what I was trying to convey, um,
42
43
    that I felt there was a potential benefit to Western
44
    Australia and Australia, but more particularly Western
45
    Australia through my holding of this role, and it was
46
    extensively - - -
47
48
    The question - - -? --- - - (indistinct).
49
50
    - - - Mr Field, was different as to whether or not there
51
    was a flow on benefit to Western Australia?---Yes.
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               14
                             (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

```
1
 2
    The - the question was did you express that - that it might
    be the right and proper thing to do and be funded by
 3
    Western Australians, in effect, even if the benefit was
    largely or exclusively to the international community, on
 6
    the basis that you had a conception that that was a form of
 7
    community service on the part of the West Australian
 8
    community?---Counsel, it was in two parts. It was exactly
 9
    that, but I did go one step further, um, and I did indicate
10
    that, um - and it was a - it's a - a - a variation of the
    free writer syndrome, um - ah, Commissioner, that, ah, if
11
12
    no ombudsman ever undertook this role, then the - then it
13
    would never be done, so I would say this was Western
14
    Australia's time. It'll be someone else's time the next
15
    time, but this is our turn, and we should do the best we
16
    can with it.
17
18
    That probably neatly brings us to the point which occurs at
    least two weeks after this annual report - this table, so
19
20
    annual report we just discussed, the '22, '23 annual
21
    reports table 21 September 2023. And then it's two weeks
22
    after that that the first newspaper article about the
23
    travel appears in the West Australian.
                                             That occurs on
24
    7 October 2023. You would no doubt remember that date?
25
    ---Ah, correct.
26
27
    Do you - are you able to say whether you had any advance
28
    notice, and if so, how much, to the publication of that
29
    article?---Ah, I certainly know the journalist rang me.
30
    Um, I cannot remember the exact date. I think it was a few
    days beforehand because there was some, ah, delay of a
31
32
    couple of days before the actual article in the newspaper.
33
34
    So I want to be quite careful about this timing.
                                                       This is
    7 October?---Yes.
35
36
37
    Did the journalist ring you directly or someone in your
    organization such as a media advisor? Did you have such a
38
    thing?---Ah, we did. Um, my, uh, recollection there was
39
40
    contact with our media advisor, but ultimately the
41
    journalist contacted me by email directly.
42
43
    By email?---Correct.
44
45
    Is that an email that you recall you've produced to
46
    the Commission?---Ah, I don't believe I necessarily have.
47
    I must say I assumed the Commission had that email.
48
49
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                        We have that, don't we?
50
51
    PORTER, MR:
                  I'm not - - -
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               15
                             (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

```
1
 2
    THE COMMISSIONER: I think I've seen it.
 3
 4
    PORTER, MR:
                  Yes. I'm not sure that I recall seeing it,
 5
    but in any event, the timing is what I'm getting to is that
 6
    this was in the days - two days or three days before the
 7
    article?---That's exactly correct.
 8
 9
           So at the time of what I think is fair to put a very
    Okay.
10
    expansive exposition of your travel in your annual report
    which is published widely - at that point in time, there
11
12
    was no hint to you of negative media around your travel?
13
    ---Oh.
14
15
    In - - -?---Until that, none.
16
17
    Okay?---No.
18
19
    So when was the first indication to you that there would be
    negative media around your travel?---Ah, as I recollect it
20
21
    there was - to the best of my recollection there was a
22
    contact with my office. I'm not sure if that was with me
23
    directly in the first instance or with my staff.
24
    ultimately certainly - and this was all within a very short
    period of time - was with me as an email. Um, I was also -
25
26
    it was also brought to my attention that there was a second
27
    email, one that I didn't see directly, um, that had been
28
    sent to, ah, the Premier's department, and the Premier's
29
    chief of staff wrote to me and attached that email to it.
30
    Now, just also going to your mindset - I'm going to put
31
    this to you directly but it would appear it's a fair
32
33
    description to say that at least at the time at around the
34
    publication of your annual report in September 2023, you
35
    were extremely proud of your travel and role as IOI
36
    president?---Um, counsel, it's a word I use reluctantly,
37
    um, because - - -
38
39
    What word would you use - - -?---Well - - -
40
    - - - to describe your mindset about what you were doing by
41
42
    way of travel for the IOI presidency?---It - yeah. Look,
43
    this is - this is an indirect answer to say what I teach my
    university students is humility is the most important thing
44
45
    in public life. I think proud is hubris. I felt it was my
    duty and I felt I was executing it well. That's what I
46
47
    felt.
48
49
           So we'll move on now to the overseas travel that was
    committed to the IOI in the 23/24 period. Now, during the
50
51
    2023/24 period, if I can just summarise for you.
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               16
                             (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

```
appears there were trips to Thailand, 7 to 13 July 2023?
 2
    ---Correct.
 3
 4
    Taiwan, 22 to 28 July 2023?---Correct.
 5
 6
    Italy, 18 to 24 September 2023?---Correct.
 7
 8
    And then was there also a trip to the Kingdom of Bahrain 16
    to 21 October 2023?---Correct, counsel.
 9
10
11
    And the trip that occurred after the publication of the
    article in the West was the trip to Bahrain, is
12
13
    that - - -?---That is correct, counsel.
14
15
    And at the time that you were travelling to Thailand,
16
    Taiwan and Italy, the last of those being 18 to
17
    24 September, was there any forewarning or understanding or
18
    knowledge that there would be negative media about your
19
    travel?---No, there was not.
20
21
    Commissioner, if I can return to the bundle that is 00 -
22
    sorry, 0664 and to what is page 127 of the (indistinct).
23
    Now - - -
24
25
    0664^
26
27
    THE COMMISSIONER: Can we - thank you.
28
29
                  Now, Mr Field, this previously discussed with
    PORTER, MR:
30
    respect to other quarterly returns on two separate
31
    (indistinct). This is the document that you and your
32
    office prepares to go into the Department of Premier and
33
    Cabinet, correct?---Ah, correct.
34
35
    And so this summarised each of those trips that we have
36
    mentioned save for Bahrain, I think?---That is correct.
37
38
    And the purpose of the travels described there in the
39
    narrative in that column and then the breakdown of the
40
    costs over in the second column from the left, correct?
41
    ---That is correct.
42
43
    And these - this document is for the period ending
    30 September '22 but if we just - 2023 - but if we go down
44
45
    to the signature panel, that's your signature, is that
46
    correct?---That is correct.
47
48
    And that - you've signed that on that date,
    22 November 2023?---Correct.
49
50
51
    So it would have been on or around that date that this
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               17
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

document goes into the Department of Premier and Cabinet? 2 ---Correct. 3 And again, I think your answer to a question about whether or not you knew exactly who was the recipient of this 6 document in the Department of Premier and Cabinet was that 7 you did not know, is that right?---Oh, there is a 8 particular staff member that we - is on the letter, 9 Commissioner, when we write. And - and it's not meant to 10 be disrespectful - I cannot remember that person's name of 11 the top of my head. 12 13 And because of the lag period that we discussed, this -14 these trips have not yet come out of the parliamentary 15 process and been tabled in that shorter form, that's 16 correct?---I actually looked at the parliamentary website, 17 Commissioner, and I couldn't see them on there yet, no. 18 19 Just with respect to the recipients of this in the 20 Department of Premier and Cabinet, do you know anything 21 about the size of that team or whether there is a dedicated 22 team? Do you know anything about that process inside 23 Premier and Cabinet?---No. It's not been - I've never had 24 a need to ask that question. We're - we're sent a 25 quarterly, ah, request. Ah, we send that to it. 26 clearly is a team form, um, of some form, but I've not had 27 a reason to - to make a request of the - the - the size of 28 the team or other matters. 29 30 Commissioner, there's some further documents that we 31 provided to the Commission this morning, and I think they 32 have been barcoded and numbered 0745. The first several of 33 those are simply aide-memoires which represent summaries to 34 make the information in the quarterly inputted travel 35 reports and then what's produced by parliament slightly 36 more digestible and also to provide a chronology, so - - -37 38 THE COMMISSIONER: That's very helpful. 39 40 PORTER, MR: Commissioner, I'll just go to the first of those which is at page 1 of 0745. 41 42 43 0745^ 44 45 So I think if we start on page 3 - sorry, Madam Associate. 46 It goes in reverse chronological order, but this is simply 47 a summary of tabled papers that are relevant to the Ombudsman's overseas travel. So the bolded date there, 48 31 December 2016, there will appear on the parliamentary 49 50 website a report of overseas air travel undertaken by 51 ministers, parliamentary secretaries and government 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 18

(Public Hearing)

Epiq

```
officers on official business for the three months ended
    31 December 2016. And the date of tabling for that - so
    the date that that document appears in parliament is
 3
    23 May 2017?---Yes.
 5
 6
    So that's - you'd agree with that description?---Correct.
 7
    And in that report for the three months ended
 8
 9
    31 December 2016 your travel summary appears?---Correct.
10
11
    And that was tabled on 23 May 2017?---Correct.
12
13
    And at that point in time in that three months ended
    31 December 2016, your role at the IOI was what?---Ah, I
14
15
    was at that stage the second vice-president of the IOI.
16
17
    And then moving up that list there's another three-month
    report for the period ended 30 June 2017 in which you
18
19
    appear, and that is published if you like - tabled in
20
    parliament and so becomes public on 13 February 2018?
21
             In fact, if I can - Commissioner, with your
22
    absolute indulgence, if I can apologise to you. It may
23
    actually be that I was the treasurer of the IOI at that
24
    stage. I'd have to double check. It was in the cusp
25
    period between the treasurer and the second vice-president.
26
27
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                        Well, you were an officeholder
28
    of - - -?--I was an officeholder. I apologise.
29
30
    PORTER, MR: Travelling as an officeholder?---Correct.
31
32
    Okay. And then just moving up then again, you appear in
33
    that report of overseas air travel for the period ended
34
    31 March 2018?---Correct.
35
36
    And that's tabled on 23 August 2018. We might need to
37
    scroll up, Madam Associate?---Correct.
38
39
    And then there's another report for the period ended
40
    30 June 2018, and that becomes tabled in parliament
    29 November 2018?---Correct.
41
42
43
    And another one, 30 September 2018. That becomes tabled in
    parliament 7 May 2019?---Correct.
44
45
```

48 49

46

47

tabled on 11 June 2019.

31 December 2018 there's another report for the three

months prior to that 31 December 2018 date. That becomes

```
Correct. And there's another report that you appear in for
    the period ended 31 March 2020, and that becomes tabled on
 2
    11 August 2020?---Correct.
 3
 5
    And then again scrolling up, Madam Associate.
 6
    another report for the three months ended
 7
    30 September 2022?---Yes.
 8
    And that becomes tabled on 15 June 2023?---Yes.
 9
10
    And another three-month period ending on 13 December 2022
11
    as a report in which you appear. That becomes tabled on
12
13
    31 August 2023?---Yes.
14
15
    And then you in the present time for the three-month period
16
    ended 31 March 2023, you appear in that report?---Yes.
17
    And that becomes tabled on 1 February 2024?---Yes.
18
19
20
    And then the last to be published or tabled in parliament
21
    is for the period ending 7 June 2023?---Yes.
22
23
    And that became tabled and therefore publicly available on
24
    13 February 2024?---Yes, counsel.
25
26
    And now if, Madam Associate, we can go to the document that
27
    appears at page 4?
28
29
    And, Commissioner, again, this is an aide-memoire over
    pages 4 to 7. It is meant simply to place in a comparative
30
31
    context the full text of the description that Mr Field and
    his office were providing into DPC in that column which is
32
33
    described "Quarterly overseas travel return (what was
    submitted to DPC)". And then in the far right column is
34
35
    the text as it directly appears in the tabled document in
36
    parliament which is depicted as a brackets there "(what was
37
    tabled in parliament)".
38
39
    So I just want to run through this quickly. The trip to
40
    Austria and Hungary in the 22/23 year - - -?---Yes.
41
42
    6 September '22. That is the text that you submitted that
43
    we've seen on those tables?---And wrote personally.
44
45
    And then on the right there is the text that appears in the
46
    tabled document in parliament on 15 June 2023?---Correct.
47
48
    And it's a much shortened version, evidently?---I mean this
    as no criticism from anyone from parliament - and I say
49
50
    that as an officer of the parliament - but unfortunately,
51
    yes.
```

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. Epiq (Public Hearing)

```
2
           The words, Mr Field, there where it's denoted
    156 words and 23 words let you know that obviously that has
 3
    been inserted into this aiding document - - -?---Yes.
 6
    - - - in its preparation by your counsel. Can I ask you
 7
    this question. Are you aware is it a parliamentary officer
 8
    who does the culling and reconfiguring of the text, or is
    that a person in DPC?---I have a - well - - -
 9
10
11
    Well, do you know or do you not?---I do not know. I do not
12
    know.
13
14
    Okay. If we can just go over the page. This is for the
15
    New Zealand trip 9 to 14 October 2022. Again, you certify
16
    that they're the words you recall you or your office
17
    drafting and submitting?---Yes, correct.
18
19
    And then on the right hand column there, the words that
20
    appear in the tabled document on 31 August 2023?---Correct.
21
22
    Over the page - sorry, yes. That's the Ukraine and Poland
23
    trip 3 to 14 December 2022. Now, that text actually
24
    continues over to the next page and is 238 words. Now, you
25
    recall the drafting and submission of that?---I do.
26
27
    Is that again by your hand or someone - - -?---Yes.
28
29
    - - - in your office?---Yes.
30
31
    And then on the right hand column you'll see there that
32
    that text of 238 words gets reduced to eight words?---Yes.
33
34
    And those eight words become tabled on 31 August 2023?
35
    ---Yes.
36
37
    And then just over the page - yes, page 8, thank you. This
    is the trip to Morocco, 26 February to 4 March. 135 words.
38
    You recall those words being drafted and submitted? --- I do.
39
40
    And that becomes reduced to 22 words which is then tabled
41
42
    on 1 February 2024?---Yes.
43
44
    And then over the page is the Pakistan trip which is 11 to
45
    17 March 2023. That description goes over the page to
46
    352 words?---Correct.
47
48
    And if scroll up again - thank you, Madam Associate.
    is reduced to 18 words in the parliamentary document which
49
50
    is tabled on 1 February 2024?---Yes.
51
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                              21
```

(Public Hearing) Epiq

```
And then again over the page, Madam Associate, is the
    Austria trip, 5 to 17 May 2023. That's 276 words if we
    scroll a little further. Again, you recall those words
 3
    being drafted and presented to DPC?---I do, counsel.
 5
 6
    And then on the right hand column, that's reduced to
 7
    16 words which are tabled on 13 February 2024, is that
 8
    correct?---Correct.
 9
10
    And then again the next page, the trip to Slovenia and the
    United Kingdom which is 2 to 16 June. 192 words.
11
12
    remember those words being drafted and submitted by you and
13
    your office?---I do.
14
15
    And that's reduced to seven words which is tabled on
16
    13 February 2024?---Correct.
17
18
    And then - so that was for 22/23 in green. If we can go
    over to the next heading in blue. And those - if I just
19
            Those trips that we just had with the green
20
21
    heading, they were all the trips that were encapsulated and
22
    reported upon also in your annual report for 22/23,
23
    correct?---That is correct.
24
25
    And then in the blue heading there, those are the trips in
            Thailand 7 to 13 July 2023. So more recently you
26
27
    would have submitted those words, is that correct?
28
    ---Correct.
29
30
    That's not yet been tabled, not pushed out of the
    system - - -?---Correct.
31
32
33
    - - - in parliament? And over the page, again a trip to
    Taiwan, 22 to 28 July 2023?---Correct.
34
35
36
    Those words again, are they your and your office words?
37
    ---Yes, correct.
38
39
    And that has not yet been produced through the system and
40
    tabled and made public through the parliamentary system?
    --- Again, to the best of my understanding, Commissioner, I
41
42
    checked on the weekend. I couldn't find them.
43
44
    And then the final page for this aide-memoire is a trip to
45
    Italy, 18 to 24 September - - -?---Correct.
46
47
    -- - 2023. 115 words. Are they your words?---Correct.
48
49
    And again, not yet tabled?---No.
50
51
    And there was this additional trip to Bahrain. That's not
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                              22
                             (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

```
2
    Ah, they will be the October, November, December return.
 3
 4
    The answer was not yet done? --- Correct.
 5
 6
    Just if we can go to the first of those green pages, which
 7
    is page 4 on this document? And in the far right column,
 8
    which is the words as they appear in the parliamentary
    document, we have there:
 9
10
          Source of funds, consolidated funds and overseas
11
12
          funds -
13
14
    - so that indicates to a reader of the tabled parliamentary
15
    document that the funds were both from your domestic
16
    budget - - -?---Yes.
17
18
    - - - and some other overseas source?---That is correct.
19
20
    And that will be based on information that you have put
21
    into DPC?---Ah, correct. Ah, there will be, ah - - -
22
23
    I don't think it's captured in the narrative, but in the -
24
    the longer documents that you've seen previously, the
25
    tables, there's a description of the funding sources?
26
    ---Correct. That's exactly - well, that is my
27
    recollection, yeah.
28
29
    So the best - to the best of your understanding, the reason
30
    why parliament knows to indicate that it's both
31
    consolidated funds and overseas funds is because of the
32
    information that you put into DPC?---Counsel, that is
33
    correct.
34
35
    And if we can just scroll down to the next heading?
36
37
    So there in the New Zealand trip, 9, 14 October 2022, the
    source of the funds is:
38
39
40
          Consolidated funds.
41
42
    ?---Yes.
43
    If we scroll down further?
44
45
46
    The Ukraine and Poland Trip 3 to 14 December 2022, the
47
    source of the funds is:
48
49
          Consolidated funds.
50
51
    ?---Yes.
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               23
                             (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

part of this aide-memoire. Why is that? Do you know?---

```
1
 2
    Now, if we scroll down further for the Morocco trip,
 3
    26 February to 4 March 2023, the source of the funds is:
 5
          Consolidated funds and overseas funds.
 6
 7
    ?---Yes.
 8
 9
    And down to the next page.
10
    Pakistan, 11, 17 March 2023. The source of the funds is:
11
12
13
          Consolidated.
14
15
    ?---Yes.
16
17
    And if we scroll down further?
18
19
    Austria, 5 to 17 May 2023. Source of the funds is:
20
21
          Consolidated funds.
22
23
    ?---Correct.
24
25
    And if we scroll down further?
26
27
    Slovenia and the UK, 2 to 16 June, source of the funds is:
28
29
          Consolidated funds.
30
31
    ?---That is correct.
32
33
    And for the 20 - yes, if we go further to the (indistinct)
    for the '23, '24 trips, Thailand, 7 to 13 July 2023. Now,
34
35
    we don't have the parliamentary table document there and
36
    it's not in the narrative, but do you recall without us
    needing to go back to the longer sheet - do you recall
37
38
    whether that trip was all out of your budget or whether any
    overseas funds were allocated for that trip?---Ah,
39
40
    consolidated funds, um - ah, for that trip. Um, the - the
41
    - the only matter - - -
42
43
    Perhaps if I stop you there?---Yes.
44
45
    To save time, if I put it this way, whoever is considering
46
    these documents in DPC, whether they are responsible for
47
    shortening them and providing a shortened version to
48
    parliament, but whoever is receiving them would be left in
    no doubt, based on information for all of these trips that
49
50
    you and your office have provided, as to whether the source
51
    of your funds was your own budget or a mixture of your own
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

budget and overseas sources? --- There could be absolutely no 2 doubt. 3 And that - that information becomes, ultimately, reflected 5 in the public facing document that's published in 6 parliament?---I have read them, and it does. 7 8 Now, I just want to put to you a couple of general 9 questions about these narratives that are going into the 10 Department of Premier and Cabinet. Now, I've used a word previously that is that they present a record of travel 11 12 which is atypical. Would you agree with that?---Yes, I'm -13 I'm, ah - yes. Absolutely, Commissioner. I'm happy to 14 accept that terminology. 15 16 Well, it is highly atypical and expansive level of travel 17 that DPC was being informed, I'm putting that to you? --- Oh, 18 I think no question. 19 20 Now, these - these documents as they are produced in 21 parliament, you'll see in the right-hand column, that is a 22 direct copy of the - the title of the - of the tabled 23 document in parliament, which is: 24 25 Report of overseas air travel undertaken by 26 ministers, parliamentary secretaries and government 27 officers on official business. 28 29 Now, I - I want to put it to you that that is the top of the level of travel in government, if I can put it that 30 31 way? That's the most - a summary - a parliamentary tabled summary of the most senior people in government's travel?--32 33 -That is correct. 34 35 And that is - I want to put it to you in your experience 36 over 17 years in parliament that that quarterly reporting 37 and tabling in parliament of overseas air travel undertaken by ministers, parliamentary secretaries and government 38 39 officers on official business is a heavily scrutinised 40 document?---Ah, I - I - it absolutely is. 41 42 Commissioner, I'm just going to take Mr Field to the final 43 of these ABNY documents, which appears at page 17 of the 44 bundle 0745. 45 0745^ 46

47 48

49

50

PORTER, MR: And this, Commissioner, is simply a summary of the travel descriptions that are produced in the parliamentary table documents, rather than going through

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. (Public Hearing)

```
that more laborious process of comparing them to the
 2
    inputted information.
 3
 4
    But this covers a period, Mr Field, starting with a trip to
 5
    Thailand in 11 to 19 November 2016?---Yes.
 6
 7
    And then in the middle there is a description is it would
 8
    have appeared in that table of the parliamentary report of
    what the travel was?---Yes.
 9
10
11
    And the date of tabling for that information was 23 May
    2017?---Yes, counsel.
12
13
14
    Correct. And then Austria, 24 to 29 April 2017, the
15
    description that appears in Parliament - - -?---Correct.
16
17
    - - - which was tabled on 13 February 2018?--- (No audible
18
    reply)
19
20
    Correct?---It is correct, counsel.
21
    And Thailand 3 to 15 January 2018. The description that
22
23
    appears in Parliament is there in the middle column, and
24
    the date of tabling is 23 August 2018?---Is correct.
25
26
    And Canada and the USA, 27 April, 7 May 2018.
27
    middle there, the description that would have appeared -
28
    does appear in the parliamentary table document, and tabled
29
    on 19 November 2018?---Yes. That is correct.
30
31
    Then Taiwan, 12, 17 August 2018. The middle description
32
    what appears in the parliamentary document, which was
33
    tabled on 7 May 2019?---Yes. That is correct.
34
35
    Next page, Madam Associate.
36
37
    Belgium and Ireland, 29 September to 6 October 2018.
38
    description in the middle there that appears in the
39
    parliamentary document?---Yes. Correct.
40
41
    And the date of tabling was 7 May 2019. Correct?
42
    ---Correct.
43
44
    New Zealand, 26 November to 1 December 2018.
                                                   The middle
45
    description of that trip is the description of the
46
    parliamentary document, which was tabled on 11 June 2019.
    Correct?---Correct.
47
48
49
    Thailand, 9, 16 February 2020. The middle description is
    as it appears in the parliamentary document tabled on
50
51
    11 August 2020. Correct?---Correct.
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               26
```

(Public Hearing)

Epiq

```
1
 2
    USA 4 to 16 May 2022. The middle description is as it
 3
    appears in the parliamentary document tabled on 23 February
 4
    2023?---Correct.
 5
 6
    And Austria and France, 2 to 17 June 2022. The middle
 7
    description as it appears in the parliamentary document
 8
    tabled on 23 February 2023?---Yes.
 9
10
    And that's the last of them, so that period that has just
    been covered there - now, I think other than - you - you
11
    correct me, but if we can go to the first of those orange
12
13
    pages, that is all travel prior to you becoming president
14
    but associated with the IOI?---That is correct.
15
16
    And in each of those - - -
17
18
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                       Sorry, that is page 17?
19
20
                 That is - - -
    PORTER, MR:
21
22
    JOHNSTON, MS:
                    Yes.
23
24
    PORTER, MR:
                  Yes. Yes, Commissioner.
25
26
    And each of those final entries that appear in the tabled
27
    document would have been the product of a longer entry that
28
    you have inputted through the quarterly report process,
29
    which was - - -?---Yes. Correct, counsel.
30
31
    And in that period, 11 November down to 17 August - so
    11 November 2016 to 17 August 2018, did you have a single
32
33
    or changed roles in the IOI? What - what does this period
34
    cover?---Ah, I was the second vice president between, ah,
35
    2016 and 2020, so it would cover that period, and
36
    potentially a very small period in which I was the
37
    treasurer of the IOI as well, but an office bearer during
38
    that time.
39
40
    Okay, and just there, the first trip, Thailand,
    consolidated funds. Second trip, Austria, consolidated
41
            Third trip, Thailand, consolidated funds, fourth
42
43
    trip, Canada, consolidated funds?---Correct.
44
45
    And then Taiwan is 'still funded' and overseas funds?
46
    ---Correct.
47
48
    Does that mean that there were no consolidated funds, i.e.
    no WA Ombudsman budgetary funds for that trip?---Ah, I
49
50
    couldn't be absolutely specific, ah, but I would assume
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               27
```

(Public Hearing) Epiq

```
that is the case. My very strong recollection of that
 1
 2
    trip, Commissioner, is that it was funded by Control Yuan.
 3
    And you've said previously in evidence that your practice
 5
    was, even if there were no consolidated funds, you were
 6
    going through this quarterly inputting process to DPC?
 7
    ---Oh, yes, that's correct.
 8
 9
    And Madam Associate, if we go to the next page, Belgium,
10
    Ireland, source of funds, consolidated funds, New Zealand,
    source of funds, consolidated funds. Thailand, source of
11
12
    funds, consolidated funds. USA, source of funds,
13
    consolidated funds. Austria and France, source of funds,
14
    consolidated funds. So, all of those trips were paid for
15
    out of the WA Ombudsman's domestic state budget?---Ah,
16
    correct.
17
18
    The next page, Belgium, Ireland - sorry, page 18, I think
19
    it is. Belgium-Ireland, source of funds, consolidated
20
    funds?---Yes.
21
    New Zealand, source of funds, consolidated funds?---Yes.
22
23
    Thailand, source of funds, consolidated funds?---Yes.
24
25
    USA, source of funds, consolidated funds?---Yes.
26
27
    Austria and France, source of funds, consolidated funds?
28
    ---Yes.
29
30
    As a general proposition, was it the case that it was more
31
    likely that you were receiving outside funding once you
32
    became President, rather than when you held positions under
    the presidency, treasurer and - - -?---Yes, I think that
33
34
    would be a correct statement, counsel.
35
36
    Now, if we can go to the first of these pages again, Madam
37
    Associate. Just by trip, I'm just looking there for where
38
    IOI is mentioned. So, Thailand, IOI is mentioned,
39
    correct?---Correct.
40
41
    Austria, IOI executive committee, correct?---Yes.
42
43
    Thailand?---Ah, not mentioned. That was a visit to the
44
    Office of Ombudsman, Thailand.
45
46
    Was that the visit that was the precursor to the signing of
47
    your inter-office MoU, is that what that was?---Indeed,
48
    that was part of that - part of that process.
49
50
    Canada and USA, International Ombudsman Institute,
51
    correct?---Correct.
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               28
                             (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

```
1
 2
    Taiwan?---And Taiwan, again specifically to visit Control
 3
    Yuan.
 4
 5
    Okay, over the page. Belgium-Ireland?---Yes.
 6
 7
    IOI in the International Ombudsman Institute, in the
 8
    descriptor?---Ah, for New Zealand?
 9
10
    No, for Belgium-Ireland?---Ah, for Belgium, that was - yes,
    for the IOI, and for, ah, the anniversary of the Office of
11
12
    the Ombudsman of Belgium.
13
14
    Now, the New Zealand trip, 26 November to 1 December 2018,
15
    source of funds, consolidated funds. That was not an IOI
16
    trip, was it?---No, that was, and I apologise, counsel,
17
    because it may seem on the face of it, it isn't.
18
    is - I think as we've mentioned, divided into six
19
    geographic regions.
20
21
    We understand the regions, so the way in which this has
22
    come out of the Parliamentary system, it depicts the
23
    regional office?---Yes, effectively it should say,
24
    'Australasian and Pacific Ombudsman region of the IOI'.
25
26
    Thailand, the source of funds is consolidated funds?
27
    ---Correct.
28
29
    And was that an IOI trip?---Ah, no.
30
31
    What was that trip?---Oh, it - an invitation from the
    Office of the Ombudsman, Thailand.
32
33
34
    Right, but you weren't travelling in an IOI role on that
35
    trip?---Ah, I was travelling as both, I was travelling both
36
    in my IOI capacity and my capacity of the Office of the
37
    Ombudsman of Western Australia, an utterly complementary
38
    way in my view.
39
40
    And USA, 4 to 16 May, consolidated funds?---Yes, and those
41
42
43
    Chair the annual meeting for the board of the IOI, so it's
44
    mentioned there, correct?---Most certainly for the IOI.
45
46
    Now, Austria and France, 2 to 17 June, source of funds,
    consolidated, speaker at events for the Australian
47
48
    Ombudsman's Board. What is that trip?---Ah, that is a trip
    where I was invited by the Austrian Ombudsman Board to
49
50
    speak at the 45th anniversary of the Austrian Ombudsman,
    ah, to attend a number of side events, ah, by the Office of
51
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               29
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

```
the Austrian Ombudsman Board. It included meeting the
    Prime Minister, meeting Wolfgang Sebotka - His Excellency
    Wolfgang Sebotka and others, ah, appearing before the
    Parliamentary committee for the Ombudsman, a number of
    other side events, ah, so it was an invitation specifically
 6
    for - - -
 7
 8
    Okay, just - was it an IOI trip or not?---Well, it was a
 9
    trip on the basis that I was both, ah, the Western
10
    Australian - - -
11
12
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                       Well, the question is capable of
13
    answering yes or no, and the answer is yes?---I'm going to
14
    say yes, Commissioner.
15
16
    PORTER, MR:
                 And you've not got the benefit here of the
17
    longer information that's been inputted. Do you recall,
18
    say for instance, with that Austria and France trip, where
19
    IOI is not specifically mentioned, whether it was mentioned
20
    in the inputted information to DPC?---In my view, it would
21
    have been, because it was clearly part of what I was doing
22
    there.
23
24
    Now, a trip to Uzbekistan has been mentioned, did that
25
    occur?---No, I did not go. It's been reported widely that
26
    I went, I did not.
27
28
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                       Were you going to go?---Ah, I had been
29
    invited. I was originally intending on going, and for two
    reasons, one I won't disclose in a public hearing, and the
30
31
    second reason is because of out of respect for this - - -
32
33
    I'm not asking you for the reasons?---Oh, the reasons.
34
35
    I'm merely asking, there was a time when you were intending
36
    to go, and then there was a time when you changed your
37
    mind?---That is exactly correct. It has been widely
38
    reported I did go, but I didn't.
39
40
    Did you attend by Zoom or Teams?---I did indeed. I, ah,
41
    gave two speeches on that day.
42
43
                  So, I just want to put some hopefully
    PORTER, MR:
44
    straightforward propositions to you. If we can go to the
45
    first of these orange pages, from as early as 23 May 2017,
    you were reporting into DPC, obviously before that date, it
46
```

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. Epig (Public Hearing)

30

International Ombudsman Institute, usually in consolidated

becomes tabled in Parliament on that date, 23 May 2017,

that you are travelling for duties associated with the

funds?---That is correct.

47

48

49 50

```
And that becomes a consistent process right up until the
    last trip that you have input information to DPC?---That is
 2
 3
    correct.
 5
    Which would have been the trip pre-Bahrain, so Italy, 18 to
    24 December 2023?---That is correct.
 6
 7
    Okay, so between 11 November 2016 and 24 September 2023, a
 8
 9
    period of around about seven years, you have been reporting
10
    into DPC your travel, using consolidated funds, for IOI
    purposes?---Yes.
11
12
13
    For seven years?---Yes, and it could even be longer, I'd
14
    have to check the exact date, counsel.
15
16
    And for a slightly less than seven-year period, there's
17
    been a public tabling in Parliament through the report of
18
    overseas air travel undertaken by Ministers, Parliamentary
    Secretaries and government officers on official businesses,
19
20
    tabled in the Legislative Assembly?---Yes.
21
    Of your travelling in a variety of positions for the
22
    purposes of the IOI positions, using consolidated funds?
23
    ---That is correct.
24
25
    And so, the last of those published documents came out in -
    was tabled on 13 February 2024?---Ah, that is my
26
27
    understanding.
28
29
    As with respect to the Austria trip, 5 to 17 May 2023, and
30
    the Slovenian - - -?---That is my understanding.
31
32
    Okay. And in that period of time, has any one of the
33
    senior civil servants that you were meeting with ever
34
    raised any issue or difficulty, or note of caution about
35
    travelling using consolidated funds for the purposes that
36
    have been indicated through the Parliamentary process?
37
    ---Commissioner, I have re-sworn today, and on that solemn
38
    oath, I tell you, never.
39
40
    And did you ever receive any raising of an issue or a
    difficulty or a note of caution from anyone in DPC, leaving
41
42
    aside the director DPC, that I'll come to in a moment?
43
    ---Yes.
44
45
    But anyone inside the Department of Premier and Cabinet in
46
    respect of any of the information about your travel that
47
    you were submitting to them that indicated IOI travel on
48
    consolidated funds? --- And again, Commissioner, never.
49
    And I think we've covered previously it was never the
50
51
    subject of parliamentary questioning until a certain point
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

which we'll come to in a moment?---I am not aware of any question that has ever been asked, and those questions would have been drawn to my attention.

THE COMMISSIONER: When you reach a convenient point, Mr Porter.

**PORTER, MR:** I think that might be a convenient segway, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: We'll adjourn for 20 minutes. I'll share my concern with you soon. You may consider it over the break. Whether you deal with it now, later or at all is a matter for you. My concern is this. All of this evidence which obviously is very powerful evidence is after the travel.

Now, your client has taken the view - which may be right, might be wrong, I haven't decided yet - that he I think uniquely among senior officers and ministers does not receive the Premier's approval for travel and therefore does not comply with the Premier's circular to put in a business case.

In other words, what is being reported and what you've taken us through - which I accept completely - is after the event, and there is to my mind a difficulty in that there is no submission before the event for approval. I'll just leave that with you.

**PORTER, MR:** If I might make one brief comment?

THE COMMISSIONER: You could immediately if you wish.

**PORTER, MR:** Yes. I think that we'll come to Mr Field's practice of self-approval and its correctness or otherwise over the full 17 years, and I think that there are two potential stages which go to the correctness of that position.

Otherwise, to the extent that ultimate findings might be made about the matters that were made in counsel assisting's opening about whether or not the travel represents in its broadest sense the (inaudible) on this conduct, part of that is in an ultimate submission that we would make about the mindset of Mr Field.

And that mindset can be submitted upon by obviously
Mr Field's direct evidence but what appears to be the
mindset of everyone around who knew about the travel,

10/04/24 Epiq FIELD, C.J.
(Public Hearing)

whether it was before or after. So, we would say the 1 2 relevance of it is that secondary question about - - -3 4 I appreciate entirely - my tentative THE COMMISSIONER: 5 view as to the law on corruption is that there has to be an 6 improper purpose, and it may not be improper if a person 7 truly believes that it is proper. That's one of my 8 quandaries. And the other is section 4C of the Act and 9 section 83(1)(b) of the Code which sort of mirrors which 10 does not require corruption. 11 12 PORTER, MR: And the position by way of the relevance of 13 this would ultimately be in our eventual submission that 14 if, Commissioner, you are correct - and it appears you are 15 about the nature of corruption having for want of a better 16 description that quasi-mental element - that there is some 17 buttressing to a view that that mentality wasn't possessed 18 by Mr Field if it doesn't seem to have been possessed by 19 any other person. So, if it's a question of judgment, it 20 seems - or misjudgement - it seems to be a misjudgement 21 very widely shared. 22 23 THE COMMISSIONER: We could hardly accuse him of covering 24 it up. 25 26 PORTER, MR: No, indeed. The most well publicised travel 27 in state history. 28 29 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We'll adjourn for 30 20 minutes. 31 32 (Short adjournment) 33 34 THE COMMISSIONER: Please be seated. 35 36 PORTER, MR: Madam Associate, I'm going to take Mr Field 37 to the transcript from 15 February, which I think is coded 0741, and particularly - - -38 39 40 THE COMMISSIONER: Could you mind just keeping your voice 41 up a bit, please? 42 43 PORTER, MR: Sorry, Commissioner, yes. 44 45 Transcript from 15 February 2024, Madam Associate, which is 46 0741, and page 17. 47 48 0741^ 49 50 PORTER, MR: Mr Field, this is your evidence from that 51 date, 15 February, with respect to a call that came in from 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 33 (Public Hearing) Epiq

Mr Pastorelli subsequent to West Australian newspaper article, which was 7 October 2023, and your evidence was:

Mr Pastorelli had called me and told me that the Premier considered my position as president to the International Ombudsman's Institute untenable, and that he would want to be briefed on any matters that had to do with the IOI which were considered outstanding.

And in questioning from counsel assisting, you were - had your attention drawn to an email that I think you sent to Mr Pastorelli. My question is with respect to that word "untenable". Did Mr Pastorelli provide you with an explanation or reason as to why at that point in time the view had formed that your position as IOI president had become untenable?---Ah, he did. Ah, and indeed, counsel, he was very specific about it, and I certainly do recollect the conversation. Ah, he indicated to me that, ah, since the, ah, matter had been the subject of a, ah, front page newspaper article, ah, on - in the West, ah - ah, newspaper, ah, that, ah, there would be - likely be, ah, further newspaper articles that would follow from that. Ah, in fact, he made a specific reference to Mr, ah, Harvey - Ben Harvey, the journalist, um, that he wasn't the sort of journalist that would let something go, ah, and I think, "Dog with a bone" might have been mentioned, but it was certainly he wouldn't let something go, ah, and that we could expect further, ah - ah - ah, stories regarding this, ah, and on that basis, me continuing on as the IOI president was untenable.

And that conversation with Mr Pastorelli - so the article came out on 7 October 2023. Do you recall the exact date of that conversation?---I don't. It was very - it was very, um, proximate timing.

The people that you'd been having regular meetings with, which meetings we're going to come to in a moment, but Ms Emily Roper, Ms Sharyn O'Neill, Michael Barnes and Rebecca Brown, at about this time - so about the time that the article was published, did any of them contact you about your position as IOI president?---Ah, the only other contact I had with, ah, was with Sharyn O'Neill, um, who contacted me, ah, once again, approximately at the - the day of or the day after the, ah, the newspaper article front page story.

And by phone or email, how did that contact occur?---Ah, she phoned me, ah, and she phoned me to indicate that, ah, the - the words were that the Premier and - or the Premier 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 3
Epiq (Public Hearing)

```
was, I think the words exactly were - were 'very unhappy'.
    Um, and, ah, that - and obviously that there'd be an
 2
 3
    expectation that I couldn't continue on that role, because
 4
    there would be ongoing media coverage.
 5
 6
    And did Ms O'Neill say why there was unhappiness?---Oh,
 7
    there was unhappiness because it was on the front page of
 8
    the newspaper. Ms O'Neill also did - I do absolutely
 9
    recollect her, ah, speaking to me about the amount of
10
    travel, um, that had occurred over the period of time, ah,
    and she particularly referred to the quantum that was
11
12
    raised, of $270,000. Of course, that number was very
13
    substantially incorrect, it was $170,000, ah, not $270,000.
14
    But, ah, I think I'd also mentioned to Ms O'Neill during
15
    that conversation that in fact all of that travel had been
16
    briefed, and I had provided that travel.
17
18
    So, in that conversation with Ms O'Neill, when you say she
19
    raised the quantum of travel?---Yes.
20
21
    Did she raise that positively or negatively? What did she
22
    say about the quantum of travel, if you recall?---Oh, it
23
    was - it wasn't exactly - I do recollect the conversation,
24
    it wasn't exactly negative or positive. What she
25
    effectively said to me was, that seems like a lot of
26
    travel, ah, and I said, 'Well, it's travel I'd obviously
27
    discussed,' and then she said - and then there was a
    discussion about the fact that presidents travel as part of
28
29
    the IOI, ah, and that it was over, in my case, a confined
    period, so instead of a four-year term, it had been
30
31
    undertaken over two years because of COVID-19, and that was
32
    the extent of our conversation. She was working from a
33
    number that was incorrect, she was repeating to me the 270
34
    number, and of course, the number was actually 170.
35
36
    Madam Associate, I just now want to take Mr Field to a
37
    document which is in our first bundle, 0664 at page 128.
38
39
    0664^
40
41
    PORTER, MR:
                 Now, this is - - -
42
43
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                        Before you proceed further - - -
44
45
    PORTER, MR:
                  The question is simply about timing,
46
    Commissioner.
47
48
    THE COMMISSIONER: Very well, I will allow that question.
```

10/04/24 Epiq

49

FIELD, C.J.
(Public Hearing)

PORTER, MR: This is obviously a record from Hansard of a 1 2 question they asked to the Speaker, you would agree with 3 that?---Yes. 4 5 And you would have been aware of that question being 6 asked?---I was. 7 8 All I'm seeking to ask is, is this the first occasion that 9 you recall any form of query or question being asked in 10 Parliament with respect to these travel issues?---Ah, yes, and given the Commissioner's concern, I will say nothing 11 12 other than yes. 13 14 And that date is 12 March 2024?---Yes. 15 16 And then to avoid further risk, Commissioner, we'll take 17 that down. Now, Mr Field, I want to take you now to a 18 series of meetings it appears that you engaged in during 19 your time as president. So, when you were holding the 20 Office of the Presidency of the IOI. Before I do that, I 21 might - I've asked the question about whether or not anyone 22 else contacted you after the article in The West Australian 23 on 7 October. You've nominated a telephone call from 24 Sharyn O'Neill?---Yes. 25 26 Was there anyone inside your office who spoke to you after 27 that article on 7 October about the travel?---Ah, well, I 28 certainly don't have any recollection. Of course, at that 29 point, um, ah, it wouldn't have - I would have been subject - I well know I would have been subject to matters where I 30 would have (indistinct) from wanting to speak about 31 32 anything at all. 33 34 Right. Now, during the period of your holding of the 35 position of the president of the IOI, you had these 36 regular, which appears to be quarterly, meetings with a 37 range of senior public servants, correct?---Correct. 38 39 And I'm going to now take you to a period between about 40 13 July 2021 and 13 September 2023?---Correct. 41 42 And you were president during the entirety of that time? 43 ---Yes. 44

45 And you had a series of meetings with Mr Pastorelli? ---Correct. 46

47 Ms Roper?---Correct.

48

49 That's Emily Roper, who is the Director-General of the 50 Department of Premier and Cabinet? --- Correct.

51

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. (Public Hearing) Epiq

You've mentioned Sharyn O'Neill, who was the Public Sector 2 Commissioner during that period? --- Correct. 3 Michael Barnes, who was the under treasurer during that 4 5 period?---Correct. 6 7 And these were - did you meet Ms Rebecca Brown on a 8 quarterly basis during that period?---No, not during a 9 quarterly basis. During the period that she was, ah, 10 Director-General of the Department of Premier and Cabinet, that was during the COVID period, or an aspect of - some 11 time of the COVID period, um, but I didn't otherwise have a 12 13 quarterly meeting with Rebecca Brown, no. 14 15 Madam Associate, if I can draw Mr Field's attention in the 16 first bundle, 0664<sup>^</sup>, to the pages starting at page 129. 17 18 0664^ 19 20 Now, we're going to go through and make this PORTER, MR: 21 as quick as possible, to give some summary propositions to 22 you. For the purpose of these quarterly meetings, you've 23 discussed that you would prepare a short dot form or 24 numbered agendas?---Yes. 25 26 And they were your aide, to remind you to talk about 27 certain things in each of these meetings?---That's exactly 28 what they were. 29 30 And for the purposes of this inquiry, you've searched your records to locate those?---I've done my absolute best to 31 personally search those records and - and ensure that I've 32 33 been able to provide all. 34 35 And during this period from about 13 July 2021 to 13 36 September 2023, there have been 28 relevant - so 17 37 relevant agendas of the type that we're seeing on the 38 screen located by you, does that sound right?---Yes, I 39 accept that. 40 41 THE COMMISSIONER: Could I just ask, when you say agenda, 42 is there something sort of sent in advance of - - -?---No, 43 Commissioner, let me be very clear. Aide-memoire for 44 myself, not provided. 45 46 An aide-memoire, thank you. 47 PORTER, MR: I may have miscounted, it may be 16, but 48 we'll work with that. Now, the first of these is on That indicates a meeting at 1 pm on Tuesday, 49 50 13 July 2021 with Mr Daniel Pastorelli, who was then the Premier's chief of staff?---Yes. 51 37

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. Epiq (Public Hearing)

```
1
 2
    Did that meeting occur? --- To the best of my recollection,
    each you will show me did occur, according to my records.
 3
 5
    And the items for discussion there, which are these - this
 6
    is a document that you would have physically taken with you
 7
    to the meeting?---Yes, I would take, as a single A4 sheet
 8
    of paper to the meeting.
 9
10
    And these meetings were at Mr Pastorelli's office?---Always
11
    at his office, correct.
12
13
    And where was that? --- Dumas House.
14
15
    And there are the agenda items there, and the fifth of
16
    those is IOI president?---Correct.
17
    (May '21, Argentina; Mexico; UK; Israel, Africa). Do you
18
19
    now know what that was meant to prompt you to speak to
20
    Mr Pastorelli about?---Yes, I do. Um, May 21 was a
21
    reference to the time that I would be commencing, ah, as
22
    the president of the IOI, so this is obviously shortly
23
    after that time. Ah, references to Argentina, Mexico, UK,
24
    Israel and Africa are all in relation to, uh, matters where
25
    I have had, um, speaking, ah, arrangements - speaking, ah -
    attended conferences and other matters of course at that
26
27
    time, Commissioner, almost exclusively by, ah, uh, video
28
    conference due to COVID-19.
29
30
    Do you recall speaking to that agenda item 5 in this
31
    meeting on 13 July - - -?--Yes. Yes. Ah, to - to
    specifically, um - well, those agenda items there were - as
32
33
    I say were an aide-memoire to specifically remind me of the
34
    matters which I wanted to bring to his attention.
35
36
    And if we can move to the next of these documents which is
37
    on page 130. And this indicates a meeting on 11 am
38
    Tuesday, 10 August 2021 with Ms Emily Roper who was then
    the Director General of the Department of Premier - - -?
39
40
    ---She was.
41
42
    - - - and Cabinet?---She was.
43
44
    And there's a very similar agenda item at (5) there:
45
46
          IOI presidency 25/5 Argentina, Mexico, OECD UN,
47
          Israel, UK.
48
49
    Do you recall whether this meeting occurred?---Ah, yes, I
    do. Ah, ah, uh, and, uh, all of those items, uh, were to
50
51
    discuss the OECD in particular because at that stage I
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               38
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

```
don't believe I had actually given Emily, ah, a
    understanding of that particular project. I think that was
    when I was making sure she was briefed.
 3
 5
    A range of variance of that project. Do you recall at this
    point in time - 10 August 2021 - what you discussed with
 6
 7
    her about the OECD?---Meeting with, ah, the Secretary
 8
    General of the OECD Mathias Cormann, uh, and my plans for
    that to be a project, ah, that would be undertaken by my
 9
10
    office or by - sorry - the office of the Ombudsman of
    Western Australia, um, for the benefit of
11
12
    Western Australians. Uh, when I spoke about that, I always
13
    spoke about the benefit of the Asia-Pacific region and of
14
    course also my aspiration there would be some funding also
15
    from the IOI to expand the project.
16
    And those other items, "Presidency 25/5" - do you know what
17
18
    that was meant to prompt you to talk about?---It would have
19
    been in relation to the commencement of the - of the
20
    presidency of the IOI.
21
22
    And those places - Argentina, Mexico, UN, Israel. Again,
23
    were they meant to prompt you to informing Ms Roper about
24
    speeches that you were giving to - - -?---That's exactly
    correct. Speeches that I had been given. Ah, Israel - an
25
    anniversary of, ah, uh, ah - of that office, speaking with
26
27
    the president of the - - -
28
29
    Is there anything else notable - - -?--- - - Knesset, for
30
    example.
31
32
    Is there anything else notable about that meeting that
33
    springs to mind?---Ah, no. Um, I don't think anything
34
    particularly other than that.
35
36
    If we can go to the next document, Madam Associate, at 131.
37
    This indicates that a meeting occurred 10 am Tuesday,
    16 November 2021 with Ms Sharyn O'Neill, then and now the
38
    public sector commissioner?---Yes.
39
40
41
    Do you recall whether that meeting occurred? --- Yes. To the
42
    best of my recollection, it did.
43
```

44

45 46 And I think you previously give evidence that when you met with Ms O'Neill, you would go into her office to meet? ---Ah, at Hale House at her office.

47

48 Hale House?---Correct.

```
And again there, agenda item 3. I take it is meant to
 2
    prompt you to talk about the matters listed there?---That's
 3
    correct.
 4
 5
    Do you remember talking about the matters listed there with
 6
    Ms O'Neill on 16 November 2021?---I do. And, Commissioner,
 7
    that is an example of where I've -for example, uh, in other
 8
    of the agendas that are contemporaneous, I've mentioned UK.
    I've been more specific there with, ah, Ms Sharyn O'Neill
 9
10
    because that UK meeting was actually in Manchester and it
    was to do with peer reviews and capability reviews, and
11
12
    that was something that was of real interest to, um - to
13
    the public sector commissioner. So, what I wanted to
14
    actually say is what's occurred in the UK was around
15
    capability reviews, and I wanted to make sure she was -
16
    that was brought to her attention.
17
18
    What is a capability review, Mr Field?---This was around,
    ah, review of officers, peer review and how they might be
19
20
    measured benchmark against both each other - but you would
21
    also have peer reviewers, reviewers from another
22
    organisation that would come in and test your capability.
23
    And of course, subsequently the Commissioner has introduced
24
    capability reviews into the state.
25
26
    And the places nominated there under agenda item 3,
27
    Russia Federation, Ukraine, South Africa, Mexico, Israel -
28
    are they about virtual presentations that - - -?
29
    ---Virtual - - -
30
31
    - - - you're giving?--- - - presentations still at that
32
    stage, correct.
33
34
    Do you remember speaking about those virtual presentations
35
    in this meeting?---I do.
                               I do.
36
37
    And what is AOMC, CAROA?---AOMC, CAROA are - are regional
    bodies of - of Ombudsman. So, the AOMC, for example, the
38
    Mediterranean Ombudsman. And CAROA, Caribbean Ombudsman
39
40
    Association. The - the places where I'd given speeches.
41
42
    Again, virtual speeches? --- Virtual speeches, yes.
43
44
    If we go onto the next - I think your previous evidence was
45
    that these quarterly meetings as between different senior
    ranking public servants generally went for about
46
    30 minutes, is that - - -?---Uh, they would vary in time,
47
48
    counsel. Uh, they could be as short as 20 to 30. They
49
    could be longer than an hour.
50
51
    But that flexibility as the timing applies to these
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               40
                             (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

meetings now that we're discussing, we've discussed three 1 2 so far?---Yes. And the next one, Madam Associate, page 132, is Mr Pastorelli again and the Premier's chief of staff. 6 indicates that the meeting occurred on 7 December 2021? 7 ---Yes. 8 9 At 2 pm?---Correct. 10 Did that meeting occur?---It did as - to the best of my 11 12 recollection. 13 14 And at (3) there is an item meant to prompt you to speak 15 about the following things? 16 17 IOI President, Manchester Capability reviews, Russia Federation, Mexico, Israel, AOMC, OECD and UN. 18 19 20 Now, you've covered off on all of those which are very 21 similar to the meeting that we just discussed with Ms 22 O'Neill. OECD, that was meant to speak to prompt you to 23 speak to Mr Pastorelli about the OECD. Do you recall 24 whether you did speak to Mr Pastorelli about the OECD in 25 this meeting?---Yes. I - I - I, uh, do. And that was, uh, 26 to expand upon the discussions I'd had about the OECD 27 project not just with the secretary general of the OECD 28 Mathias Cormann but, um, becoming more specific about how I 29 felt that was part of the overall benefit, um, that my role as president could bring to Western Australia, um, by my 30 31 office doing that project and, uh, it being connected with our near Asian friends and trading partners - corporate 32 33 trading partners. 34 35 THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, I'm just confused about dates. 36 Had you at this stage met Mr Cormann?---Uh, well, perhaps -37 now, I'm going to have to be very careful about that 38 timing, um - - -39 40 Well, this is the seventh Pearl Harbour Day 2021. My understanding is the meeting with Mr Cormann didn't take 41 42 place until some months later?---Well, I don't want to be 43 confused about that timing, Commissioner. I certainly don't want to mislead you inadvertently. Um, yes. 44 45 fact, I think that might be correct. Of course, I wouldn't 46 have been travelling at that point in any event I think is 47 the answer. 48 49 PORTER, MR: Do you remember at this meeting with 50 Mr Pastorelli whether there was any discussion about the 51 parties that would be the parties of the OECD agreement or 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J.

(Public Hearing)

Epiq

```
how it was to be funded? Was it too early? What was - do
    you recall anything else about the discussion about the
    OECD?---Uh, well, perhaps to - well, in two parts to
    respond. My sincere apologies, Commissioner. Uh, I've
    been looking at this date and not really realising it was
 6
    '21 because you were quite correct it was '22. Um - um -
 7
    ah - - -
 8
 9
    I - if - if the meeting with Mr Cormann occurred in 2022 in
10
    the OECD, just this is the second time that you've had OECD
    as an agenda item on meetings. One with Ms Roper and now
11
    with Mr Pastorelli. If it is prior to the Cormann meeting
12
13
    which appears, why are you talking about it? What is in
14
    your - - -?---Oh, it's - it's - there's - - -
15
16
    - - - conversation? --- There's a very clear reason for that,
17
    and I - I sincerely apologise, ah - ah - - -
18
19
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                        Well, not a question of apology. It's
20
    a question of how reliable is your recollection of these
21
    meetings. That - that's the issue?---Indeed, and I won't
22
    comment upon that unless this hearing is potentially closed
23
    as to why that might be something you wish to keep in mind,
24
    Commissioner. If you wish to close the meeting, I'm happy
25
    to disclose that to you.
26
27
    No, I don't want to close the meeting. I'm just saying
28
    that you need to answer counsel's question - - -?---Yes.
29
30
    - - - about why this says OECD at this and the other
31
    meeting?---Yes. Well, I - that - I can certainly answer
32
    that, um - ah, Commissioner, and what I can say is that, ah
33
    - ah, I had flagged and wished to flag and did flag, um,
34
    with key people in government, um, my desire to do the OECD
35
    project, which is consistent with every piece of evidence
36
    I've given, Commissioner, um, back from when this project
    was first conceived, ah - first known to our office.
37
38
    think that was in 2018, um, and, ah, when I'd also asked to
    see a copy of the final OECD report, which also was, my
39
40
    recollection, prior to this time. Um, so it was a report
    that I'd intended to undertake, I wanted to undertake. It
41
42
    is true, Commissioner, the exact scoping of that project at
43
    that stage had not been finalised. Um, certainly, um, my
44
    understanding is that - - -
45
46
    Well, it hadn't been commenced?---No, that's - well, that -
47
    correct.
48
49
    Not finalised. It hadn't been commenced?---No, no.
50
    Finalised in what I conceived it to be, as opposed to
51
    actually - - -
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                              42
                            (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

```
1
 2
    In your - - -?--- - - (indistinct) project.
 3
 4
    - - - head?---Correct. That's exactly correct.
 5
 6
    Right?---So I had a - I had a conception of the project,
 7
    um, and that conception was the same as the project that
 8
    had been undertaken by the European ombudsman, which I was
    obviously well aware of before these discussions were
 9
10
    occurring. Um, but, ah - ah, certainly, um, it's not just
    not a surprise that they were discussed here. They were
11
12
    discussed here for an obvious reason, cos I was flagging
13
    those matters as matters that would come out of the
14
    presidency. Much of this time and these meetings were
15
    about what the presidency could achieve for Western
16
    Australia, um, and our near cultural trading nations, so,
17
    um, this was before the travel had substantively started,
18
    um, and it was, um, very much about this is what the
    benefit might be, um, and the OECD was certainly one of -
19
20
    this was before I knew about Styria, and that's why
21
    Styria's not mentioned in these meetings, because that came
22
    more latterly.
23
24
    PORTER, MR: We'll - we'll - - -?--Yeah.
25
26
    We'll come to that?---Yes.
27
28
    We'll keep on track with the meeting - - -?---Sorry.
29
30
    - - - agendas.
31
32
    So the next meeting, Madam Associate, appears at 133, next
33
    page over.
34
35
    That indicates that there's a meeting potentially with
36
    Michael Barnes, the under treasurer on 9 December 2021.
37
    you recall - - -?---Correct.
38
39
    - - - whether that meeting occurred?---Yes. Best of my
40
    recollection it did, yes.
41
42
    And the agenda item 6 there reads:
43
44
          President: 27\5\21; UN.
45
46
    That was meant to prompt you to talk about what? --- Ah, the
47
    commencement date of the presidency, um - ah, and in
48
    relation to the UN, ah, it was meant to prompt me to talk
49
    about, um, the, ah, proposed relationship, ah, that the,
50
    ah, IOI would have with the UN and that I, as president,
51
    ah, could promote, um - ah, and that that would have
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               43
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

benefits to Western Australia, um, because much of that UN work would be about good governance capacity building for 2 nations in our, ah - trading nations within our region. 3 5 And your earlier evidence when we went through an earlier 6 run of meeting agendas we've seen with public servants was 7 that you - you recall speaking to the under treasurer, 8 perhaps not unsurprisingly about funding and money. Do you 9 recall whether you spoke about funding and money at this 10 meeting, 9 December 2021?---Yes. So what I also flagged for the under treasurer was that there would be, um, some 11 12 costs from the travels, ah, that would arise, um - ah, as 13 president, ah, and I do recollect, um, saying to the under 14 treasurer, as I said to others, that that would not be 15 exclusively from consolidated revenue. That would be from 16 consolidated revenue, from fundings that would be provided 17 by the IOI and from funding that would be provided from the 18 members who invited me to visit them, so it wasn't 19 exclusively consolidated revenue, but that would be a 20 component part of it. 21 22 And do you recall if there was any response about potential 23 costs of presidential travel?---No. Um, I remember Michael 24 being very supportive of the concept of - of - of the 25 benefit and value, particularly for our new trading - our 26 new trading nation partners. 27 28 Madam Associate, next page over, 134. 29 30 This indicates another meeting with Ms Emily Roper, 31 Director General of Department of Premier and Cabinet. 32 1 pm, 22 February 2022. Do you recall if that meeting 33 occurred?---Ah, best of my recollection, it did, yes. 34 35 And agenda item 3 is meant to prompt you to speak about 36 these things: 37 38 IOI president, Manchester memorandum, Russian 39 Federation, Latin America, CAROA -40 41 - an acronym -42 43 - Israel AORC and South Africa. 44 45 ?---Correct. 46 47 Okay. Well, what are - do you recall speaking about things 48 in that list?---I do. Um, so Manchester memorandum, as we've previously discussed, ah, with some more detail for, 49 50 ah - ah - ah, Sharyn O'Neill and Daniel Pastorelli. Um -51 ah - ah, the others are where I had spoken, ah, at remote, 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 44 Epiq (Public Hearing)

```
ah - spoken by video conference, as of course was the case,
 2
    um, and then item 4 is flagging, um, that there will be
 3
    meetings. I'm - I'm sorry, Commissioner, I was looking at
    the early agendas as '22 not '21. Entirely my fault, um,
    but - and hence my apparent confusion. Um, but, ah, where
 6
    I'm flagging New York then, ah, parenthetically UN, that
 7
    was on the basis that the first, ah, meeting of the IOI
 8
    world board, um, would be in, um - ah, shortly after that
    in - in early 20,000 - ah - ah, 2022, of course with the
 9
10
    lifting of the COVID restriction. Ah - ah, that would be
    followed by a meeting in Vienna, um, which is the course
11
12
    after a world conference meeting, ah, and of course I'm
13
    also speaking to her there about the OECD as well.
14
15
    Well, again this appears to be before the (indistinct).
16
    What, if anything, do you recall about what was discussed
17
    under that heading:
18
19
          OECD -
20
21
    - with Ms Roper, 22 February 2022?---It - we both - that
22
    meeting and other meetings, it was, um - ah, I mean, I have
23
    a very clear, ah, recollection of that period, and as I
24
    say, I apologise for confusing the dates, Commissioner, um,
25
    but, um, this continued on my excitement and wanting to
26
    share, um, what I thought was the - that that was an
27
    exciting project with value to Western Australians, ah,
28
    and, um - ah, as I say, the genesis of that was in 2018
29
    when I first became aware of it, um - ah, and I was very,
30
    very - - -
31
32
    We're - - -?--- - - keen - - -
33
34
    We're retreading ground?---Oh, okay. We are retreading
35
    ground, and I apologise. I'm repeating myself.
36
37
    Then we move over to the next meeting agenda, which is at
38
          This is another meeting that indicates, as
39
    indicative, occurred with Mr Daniel Pastorelli, 12 pm
40
    Tuesday, 22 March 2022. Do you recall if that meeting
    occurred?---Best of my recollection it did.
41
42
43
    And agenda item 3 there:
44
45
          IOI president visit of Werner Amon, New York, New
46
          Natar(?) permanent ambassadors, Austrian cultural
47
          forum, Vienna, 45th and (indistinct) OECD.
48
49
    Now, this is 22 March 2022. Are you travelling again at
50
    this point? Are those places that you're mentioning about
51
    travel, or are they about - - -?---That is a combination,
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               45
```

(Public Hearing)

Epiq

counsel. The visit of Werner Amon, who was then the 1 Secretary-General of the International Ombudsman Institute, 2 and he had visited Perth, um, to - to - to visit me, and ah, as the President of the IOI. Um, New York, I am then foreshadowing meetings in New York, which was for the 2022 world board meeting of the IOI, and the various people that 6 7 I would be meeting, and my responsibilities while I was 8 there. I'm also foreshadowing for him that I've been 9 invited, um, by 10 Mr Werner Amon, to visit Vienna to speak at the 45th anniversary of their office, and also the 10th anniversary 11 of them holding the OPCAT role, the Optional Protocol of 12 13 Cruelty and Torture, counter cruelty and torture. And I'm also flagging for Daniel that, um, ah, that I was trying to 14 15 organise a meeting with Mathias Cormann to discuss and 16 pursue the OECD matter.

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I think your previous evidence is that you said something about the other side, or made some remark about Mathias Cormann in one of these meetings with Mr Pastorelli. that this meeting?---It was either at this meeting or the meeting immediately after. Because what I said to Mr Pastorelli was that, um, am I allowed to raise this, I hope you don't mind, he's on the other side. Of course, it was the various discussions about the clan that were in the newspaper, and it was a somewhat sensitive issue, so - and he joked, I recall him saying, 'We're all friends now.'

27 28 29

30

31

32

So you don't recall whether that was this meeting or - - -?---Either this meeting or the meeting after I met with Mathias Cormann. Commissioner, I would lie to you if I said I knew it was this meeting, I had that conversation

33 34 35

I think you've answered that question?---Yes, thank you.

36 37 38

In fact, a piece of advice, Mr Field. THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Porter is doing a very good job for you?---He's doing an excellent job.

39 40 41

42

43

44

But it would be wise, and save time, if you just listened carefully to his questions, which are quite specific, answer those questions, and if he wants to ask more, he undoubtedly will?---It's a misapprehension, and I was trying to assist you Commissioner, I apologise for it.

45 46 47

Well, my advise is put yourself in the hands of your counsel?---Ah, and I will now heed that advice carefully.

48 49 50

51

PORTER, MR: Madam Associate, the next meeting agenda appears at page 136, which is indicative of a meeting 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. (Public Hearing)

46

Epiq

```
occurring with Ms Sharyn O'Neill, 2 pm, Tuesday 5 April
    2022, do you recall if that meeting occurred?---Yes, to the
 2
 3
    best of my recollection, it did.
 5
    And agenda item 3 there is IOI president, visit Werner
 6
    Amon, New York, UNITAR, permanent ambassadors, Austrian
 7
    Cultural Forum, Vienna, 45th an, OPCAT and then OECD. Now,
 8
    that is a very similar agenda item to the one that we've
 9
    just discussed previously? --- Correct.
10
11
    Is there anything about this meeting which you can add
12
    about what you may have discussed under that heading that
13
    you haven't already indicated to the previous meeting?
14
    ---Not that I've already indicated, it was my desire to
15
    give her as much information as I'd given to Daniel -
16
    Mr Pastorelli.
17
18
    And this is (indistinct) of 2022, so it's shortly before
    you meet Mr Cormann. Have you foreshadowed that meeting
19
20
    under the OECD heading with Ms O'Neill?---Ah, yes,
21
    certainly foreshadowed that I was wishing and attempting to
22
    arrange a meeting with him.
23
24
    And Madam Associate, the next page, 137. This is a further
25
    meeting with Sharyn O'Neill which indicates occurred on
    23 June 2022?---Correct.
26
27
28
    3 pm?---Yes.
29
30
    Do you recall whether this meeting occurred?---Ah, to best
31
    of my recollection it did.
32
33
    Now, this is the first of the meeting agendas that doesn't
    have the year. It appears logical that this is 2022, do
34
35
    you recall whether that would be correct?---Ah, that would
36
    be my understanding, to be correct.
37
38
    And there, there's an agenda item 4, 'IOI president, visit
    to New York (UNITAR permanent ambassadors, USA, Canada, New
39
40
    Zealand and Australia, and Receptions Australia, and
    Austrian Consul General) and to Graz, Vienna, and Paris
41
42
    (45th, OPCAT and committee), Prime Minister, Governor and
43
    Federal President OECD ambassadors.' Now, is it a fair
44
    general description that under this banner, you are
45
    starting to talk about physical travel with Ms O'Neill?
46
    --- That is completely correct, counsel.
47
```

48 And is there anything specific that you can say was spoken with respect to that heading OECD, about this meeting, 49 50 without unnecessary repetition of things that have been

10/04/24 Epiq

FIELD, C.J. (Public Hearing)

previously raised?---Oh, I think, Commissioner, it would be 2 unnecessary repetition. 3 Now, this, on my reckoning, occurs - if it is 2022, after 5 the meeting with Mr Cormann. Do you specifically recall 6 whether you discussed that meeting at this meeting with 7 Sharyn O'Neill, 23 June?---Yes, in fact, it was an 8 important item, as I recollect it. 9 10 Well, what was discussed at the meeting?---Ah, that I had met with him, um, that, ah, ah, that I considered this to 11 12 be a very positive opportunity for our state. 13 14 (indistinct)?---Oh, the opportunity of doing a - the Office 15 of the Western Australia Ombudsman, that is obviously our 16 office, my office, that I had for some considerable period 17 of time, had desired to do a project which would look at 18 matters that have been similar that had been done by the 19 European Ombudsman. I discussed the fact that I felt it 20 was particularly a confluence of interest and timing that 21 Mathias Cormann was Western Australian, I felt that this 22 was an excellent opportunity for that to proceed, and I 23 discussed those matters with her. 24 25 Now, did Ms O'Neill ask any questions about your meeting with Mr Cormann at the OECD?---I only ever recollect her 26 27 response to the ideas of, um, ah, of meeting with Mathias Cormann as - actually, two things. It was exactly 28 29 the same for Rebecca Brown. They were both impressed that I'd achieved the meeting with him, and second of all, that 30 31 they felt it was a very positive opportunity for the state. 32 33 Now, there was some propositions put to you that Ms O'Neill may have communicated with your office about particular 34 35 staff with skills in surveys at the OECD. Do you remember 36 whether or not such matters were discussed at this 37 meeting?---I'm sorry counsel, can you just - - -38 39 There was a proposition put to you earlier by counsel 40 assisting that Ms O'Neill may have said that - or did say, that she had asked you some questions about people with 41 42 skills in surveys, or similar skills, at the OECD, or asked such a question of your office. Do you recall whether 43 there was any question like that put my Ms O'Neill at this 44 45 meeting?---I don't recall any question like that. 46 47 The next page, Madam Associate, 138, indicates that a 48 meeting with Emily Roper, the Director of the Department of 49 Premier and Cabinet, likely occurred on 5 July 2022, we

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 48
Epiq (Public Hearing)

think is the date. Would that accord with your

recollection?---Ah, yes.

50

```
1
 2
    Then we see the first agenda item there is FDV by suicide,
    July 2022. Is that indicative of the timeframe, is that
 3
    correct?---Correct.
 5
 6
    And did this meeting occur?---Ah, to the best of my
 7
    recollection it did.
 8
 9
    And then there's an agenda item there at 4, which is very
10
    similar to the one in your previous meeting with
    Ms O'Neill?---Yes.
11
12
13
    Is there anything additional to what you've described
14
    previously with respect to Ms O'Neill that you recall being
15
    discussed at this meeting?---No. I do remember Ms Roper
16
    being, ah, I think, impressed is the word, but particularly
17
    enthusiastic about the work that was being done.
18
19
    What work?---Ah, all of the work as IOI president, that
20
    included the work with the OECD, ah, but the work
21
    generally, ah, and there was a real enthusiasm about the
22
    fact that I had an opportunity to advance Western Australia
23
    interests as well, I have a very good recollection of her
24
    enthusiasm and excitement about that.
    In terms of specifics though, if you can't recall, you
25
    can't recall, but when you say, "She was enthusiastic about
26
27
    the work with the OECD", at this point, you've met with
    Mr Cormann around about 17 June 2022. There's no actual
28
29
    project with the OECD concluded or contracted for?---No.
30
31
    What was she enthusiastic about? --- Oh, but I'd certainly
32
    flagged that there would be or proposed to be a project
33
    with the OECD, and what I had in mind for that project.
34
    That certainly was flagged. Um, you're absolutely right.
35
    There wasn't a contracted project. There wasn't a
36
    finalised project at that stage. It hadn't commenced. Um,
37
    it still hasn't, but, um - ah, there was clear enthusiasm
38
    about what the project could be and from my articulation of
39
    what it might be.
40
41
    We'll move onto the next meeting agenda, 139.
42
    indicates a meeting with Daniel Pastorelli, Premier's then
43
    chief of staff, 14 July where - I'm putting to you that by
44
    the other dates on that, it appears again to be 14 July
45
    2022 at 1 pm?---Correct.
46
47
    Do you recall whether such a meeting did occur on 14 July
48
    2022?---To the best of my recollection, it did.
49
50
    And there again is a very similar agenda item to the
51
    previous two meetings. Agenda item 3?---Yes.
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               49
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

```
1
 2
    Now, I want to ask you about Graz. Do you remember what,
    if anything, was discussed under the heading of Graz at
    this meeting with Daniel Pastorelli?---Ah, yes.
    discussing, ah, one that I had, ah, attended, ah - ah, Graz
 6
    and the potential for, ah, the development of my
 7
    relationship, um - ah, with Graz, um - ah - ah, potentially
 8
    similar to something like, ah - well, what would now be
 9
    called MoUs. Historically, they're called sister state
10
    relationships.
11
    And is this the first time that you've spoken with
12
13
    Mr Pastorelli about a sister state relationship - - -?---I
14
    would - - -
15
16
    - - - or an MoU?--- - - have thought that was the first
17
    time, correct.
18
19
    Well, do you have an independent recollection or
    you - - -?---I - I don't have any independent recollection
20
21
    of discussing it with him before this time.
22
23
    And then you've got a second agenda item there at:
24
25
          For presidents and OECD.
26
27
    So that's the first time that it's appeared together as
28
    single agenda item president OECD. What is that meant to
29
    prompt you to talk about?---Ah, it was very much about the
30
    - and I do recollect what I was - ah - ah, once again
31
    endeavouring to indicate was that I felt, um, that, um - ah
    - ah - - -
32
33
34
    Mr Field, you're taking this in. It's meant to prompt you
35
    to talk about something. It has a prompt there:
36
37
          President and OECD -
38
39
    - and president is next to OECD. What was it meant to
    prompt you to talk about?---Well, it was prompting me to
40
    talk about the OECD. It was prompting me to talk about the
41
42
    OECD, ah - the presidency in two ways, as I always did, and
43
    I did on this occasion, that, ah, I felt the presidency had
    a value in and of itself, but I also thought it was vital
44
45
    that the presidency made a contribution to Western
46
    Australian interests, and part of the contribution it could
47
    make to Western Australian interests was this OECD project.
48
49
    And this is after the meeting with Mr Cormann.
50
    recall raising that meeting under that agenda item here
51
    with Mr Pastorelli, 14 July - - -?---I - - -
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               50
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

```
1
 2
    -- - 2022?--- - - do, and - and I suspect it's this
    meeting where I indicated to him about, um, the - the aside
 3
 4
    comment I made about working with Mathias Cormann, but I
 5
    cannot be certain of that.
 6
 7
    And where you are talking about Graz, Vienna, Paris, USA,
 8
    Canada, New Zealand, the - these are real places that you
    had travelled to and - was that clear in this meeting to
 9
10
    Mr Pastorelli?---Oh, yes. Oh, abundantly clear.
11
12
    Next agenda, Madam Associate, is at page 140.
13
14
    And Emily Roper, Director General of Department of Premier
15
    and Cabinet, 6 October, likely 2022. Would you agree it
16
    was 2022?---Ah, yes.
17
18
    And did that meeting occur?---Ah, to the best of my
19
    recollection, yes.
20
21
    And again there at agenda 3:
22
23
          IOI president 2022: sister state; visit to Vienna,
24
          Hungary; New Zealand and Ukraine.
25
26
    That generally aligns with your travel in that period?
27
    ---That's correct.
28
29
    And:
30
31
          Sister state.
32
33
    Was this again about the sister state relationship with the
    Austrian province of Styria where the capital is Graz?
34
35
    that what it was meant to prompt you to talk about?---That
36
    is exactly correct.
37
38
    And do you recall talking about that?---I do.
39
40
    And what was the - -?---The - so the discussion was, ah -
    ah, both, ah, that - that - that proposition or project
41
42
    existed, um, a sister state relationship between Styria and
43
    Western Australia, the benefit for Western Australia, and
44
    then practicalities about how it would be achieved.
45
46
    And at - at that point in time again Vienna and Hungary are
47
    real physical places and physical visits?---Yes.
48
49
    And I think New Zealand and Ukraine, they were visits that
50
    were about to happen? --- Foreshadowed, correct.
51
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               51
                             (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

```
I just pause there for a moment. Was there any discussion
    at this meeting with the head of the Department of Premier
    and Cabinet as to where the funding for the trips and
    travel was coming from?---Um, well, not just in this
    meeting, counsel, but never in any meeting was that raised
 6
    with me.
 7
 8
    And the concept of you attempting to broker a sister state
 9
    relationship with an Austrian province, was there any
10
    response by Ms Roper as the head of the Department of
    Premier and Cabinet to that? --- Enthusiastic and positive.
11
12
13
    Next agenda item is at 141, and this indicates a meeting
14
    with Sharyn O'Neill, 19 October, and by the further dates
15
    down there, '22, it's likely 2022. Would that accord with
16
    your recollection?---Ah, yes.
17
18
    And did such a meeting occur on 19 October 2022?---To the
19
    best of my recollection it did.
20
21
    Agenda item 3:
22
23
          IOI president 2022: visit to Vienna\Hungary: New
24
          Zealand and Ukraine: sister states.
25
26
    And again New Zealand and Ukraine were foreshadowed trips.
27
    Correct?---Ah, correct.
28
29
    Vienna and Hungary had been physical trips that had
30
    occurred?---Correct.
31
32
    And again the sister state language there is meant to
33
    indicate that you were to speak about the potential
34
    brokering by you of a sister state relationship with an
35
    Austrian province?---That is correct.
36
37
    Did you speak about all those things in agenda item 3?
38
    ---Yes, I did.
39
40
    And what was Ms O'Neill's response to your informing her
    that you were in the attempting process of negotiating a
41
42
    sister state relationship with an Austrian province? --- Ah,
43
    enthusiastic and - and positive. Impressed.
44
45
    And, Madam Associate, the next document, 142.
46
47
    Indicates another meeting with Ms Sharyn O'Neill. Now,
48
    this appears at 9 March at 10 am. By the further date down
    there under 2, I'm putting to you, it appears that most
49
50
    likely that is 9 March 2023?---Yes.
```

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. Epiq (Public Hearing)

52

```
Do you agree? Do you recall whether a meeting on 9 March
 1
    2023 occurred with - - -?---To the best - - -
 2
 3
 4
    -- - Ms O'Neill?--- - of my recollection it did.
 5
 6
    And agenda item 2:
 7
 8
          IOI president 2023: Styria MoU OECD: Vienna\Hungary:
 9
          New Zealand, Poland\Ukraine; Morocco; Pakistan.
10
11
    It seems to accord with your physical travel around this
12
    time?---That's correct.
13
    And did you - you recall talking to her - Ms O'Neill about
14
15
    those things under agenda item 2?---Yes, I do.
16
17
    Now, with respect to OECD, is there anything that you can
18
    specifically recall about what was spoken about at this
    point in time, 9 March 2023, under that agenda item?---Ah,
19
20
    only that I had, ah, further developed, um, what was a
21
    fairly clear view about the process that would be
22
    undertaken, that I would be procuring a service from the
23
    OECD, um - ah, to undertake work which would be similar to
24
    work that was undertaken, ah, which would be similar to
    work that was undertaken, um, by the European Ombudsman.
25
    Um, but once again, my focus in that conversation was
26
27
    around - talking around the benefit beyond - so yes,
    there's a benefit to the Office of the Western Australia
28
29
    Ombudsman, and the citizens and Parliament that we serve.
30
    But it was - would have been, again, speaking more
31
    generally around the benefits to, um, trading and cultural
32
    partners of Western Australia.
33
34
    You used the words 'I was going to engage with the OECD,'
    do you recall, and if you don't, you can just say that you
35
36
    don't, but do you recall whether or not you were expressing
37
    to Ms O'Neill in this meeting that the 'I' was to be you in
38
    your capacity as head of the Ombudsman's office in WA, or
    you in your capacity as President of the IOI?---Oh, no.
39
40
    recollection is I was clear it was about me as the, ah,
41
    Ombudsman of Western Australia, but, um, that there was a
    concomitant benefit, because the IOI was to be involved in
42
43
    the project as well.
44
45
    This is 9 March 2023, at this point, where you again, it
46
    appears, raise with Ms O'Neill you're advancing attempts to
    negotiate a sister state relationship with the Austrian
47
48
    province of Styria and Western Australia by managing an
49
    MoU, what is her response to that?---Um, extremely
50
    positive, and I do recollect also the reason - I mean, it
51
    is - it is obviously well-known, and it particularly was at
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               53
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

```
this time, that Ms O'Neill was exceptionally close to the
    Premier, and one of the reasons I was raising this with her
    is because the Premier was absolutely essential to that
    sister state relationship. Um, and I was raising it with
    her to make sure that she was aligned, um, with
 6
    conversations that I was having with Daniel and the
 7
    Premier's office.
 8
 9
    We'll come to those in a moment. Next agenda item, Madam
10
    Associate, is 143.
11
12
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                       Can I ask, apart from these aide-
13
    memoires, is there any contemporaneous document to which
    reference can be made that supports your oral testimony as
14
15
    to these meetings?---No, Commissioner. Well, I can simply
16
    say no.
17
18
    Well, that answers my question, thank you, Mr Porter.
19
20
                 Mr Field?---Sorry, sorry Commissioner.
    PORTER, MR:
21
22
    Do you recall whether any of these people - and I'm now
23
    talking about the period of meetings 13 July 2021 - and
24
    we're up to 19 April 2023, did any of the people that you
25
    were meeting with take notes that you saw?---Ah, my
    recollection is that some took notes, um, that might have
26
    been both, ah, Emily, ah, possibly Sharyn, possibly Daniel.
27
    The notetaking wasn't - I don't have a - I don't have a
28
29
    photo recollection. I think on some occasions they might
30
    have, and some occasions they didn't. I mean, I in 17
31
    years have never taken notes of meetings. Um, ah - - -
32
33
    You can't be any more certain than that - - -?
```

---Commissioner, I wish I had, but I didn't.

Now, again the OECD appears, is there anything that you can specifically add by way of advance to your other answers about what you recall being discussed under that banner? ---Ah, no, no. I think I've answered that in relation to the other meeting agendas.

And then the next and final document, is it 144? And so this is 13 September. Now, there's nothing on that that indicates the year, but I'm putting to you it appears that that's 13 September 2023?---That's my recollection, counsel.

47 48 So more recently - sorry, two weeks of IOI president, 2023. And there again it has 'Styria MoU and OECD, Haiti and 49 Ukraine.' Now, that's meant to prompt you to talk about 50

10/04/24 Epiq

34

35 36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

FIELD, C.J. (Public Hearing) those things, did this meeting occur?---My recollection is
did.

And what's Haiti about?---Ah, that was an invitation from my Latin American colleagues to visit Haiti and Dominican Republic and Mexico City.

And was that a trip that eventuated, do you know?---No, it did not.

Ukraine was one of the trips that we've traversed in the quarterly reports?---Oh, no, that was an additional trip, so that was the 2023 trip to Ukraine, not the 2022 trip, so a second trip. Which also did not occur.

This is the most recent meeting agenda that I'm going to put to you. This is 13 September of last year, not too long before the article in The West Australian, which I think was 7 October 2023, came out. At this particular meeting, were any queries or cautionary notes raised by Ms O'Neill about prospective travel to Haiti and the Ukraine?——None whatsoever.

And do you recall what was discussed under the banner of Styria MoU?---Yes, that was around the fact that there was, ah, we got to the point of a locked-in time for the signing of the MoU, and ah, obviously looking for, um, that to be brought to a conclusion, and the OECD similarly, that that had substantially advanced, and we were at the point of now - ready to progress that project.

Now, just - I'll pause there for a moment. Just by way of summary and without getting into the detail of what was discussed, but at least based on the meeting agendas and their existence and what they depict, so I'll put to you as a raw summary, that during this period, which is starting 13 July 2021 to 13 September 2023, so just over two years, there were 16 meetings, four with Daniel Pastorelli, five with Emily Roper, six with Sharyn O'Neill and one with Michael Barnes. Now, you would agree, I take it, with that proposition?---Yes.

That there was indicated as an agenda item reference to the OECD in 11 of those 16 meetings?---Yes.

Three with Daniel Pastorelli, four with Emily Roper, four with Sharyn O'Neill?---Yes.

49 At all 16 meetings, there was reference to the IOI presidency?---Yes.

10/04/24 Epiq FIELD, C.J.
(Public Hearing)

And a mixture of discussions between travel real and 2 presentations virtual at all 16 meetings?---Yes. 3 4 And there are five mentions of sister state or Styria from 5 about October 2022, does that accord with your 6 recollection? --- Yes, yes. 7 8 And before the use of the term 'sister state with Styria', there were three mentions of Graz?---Correct. 9 10 11 And when you were discussing Graz in those meetings, 12 pursuant to those three agenda items of Graz, which is the 13 city of Styria, I take it, is it?---Correct. 14 15 Is that about, in your recollection, the sister state 16 relationship?---Yes, it would have been in the first 17 instance, counsel, that I had been invited to an event at Graz, and then subsequent to that, that as a precursor and 18 19 commencement of the discussion of a sister state. 20 21 I just want to move now away from those specific meeting agendas to the issue of this negotiation of a sister state 22 23 relationship or an MoU between Western Australia and 24 Styria. 25 26 Now, it would appear based on those meeting agendas that 27 this side project occurs and starts to be attempted to be 28 negotiated by you in around mid or early 2022, is that a 29 fair summary?---Yes, ah, the - correct, counsel, and it arose around the time that I, ah, had a discussion with the 30 31 Australian Ambassador to Austria. 32 33 So, the Australian Ambassador to Austria?---Correct. 34 35 Can you say what was the genesis of this concept of yours? 36 ---Ah, the genesis of concept was he contacted me, and -37 and indicated whether, um - he indicated that there'd been an aspiration for Styria to have a, uh - an MoU or sister 38 state relationship with, uh - with Australia. 39 40 obviously without getting into the - perhaps the DFAT sensitivities, they were very keen for that to happen, um, 41 42 and he asked whether I might be - - -43 44 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it wasn't just DFAT 45 sensitivities. There's legislation (inaudible) level about 46 this?---Ah, correct. Um, that's correct, Commissioner. 47 48 PORTER, MR: Why is he contacting you, Mr Field?---Well, 49

he's contacting me because he was aware that, um, I was not just the president of the IOI, but the president of the IOI deals with the secretary general of the, uh - who was also 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 56
Epiq (Public Hearing)

50

a member of the Austrian Ombudsman Board who is domiciled in Vienna, ah, and that I had, um, obviously a relationship with my Austrian colleagues, and he considered that that might be of some, uh, potential value to pursue. 5 6 And when - when did this contact occur?---There was a phone 7 call. I don't recollect the specific time of that phone 8 call, but it would have been I think at around mid-22 because subsequent to that there was an organised - a 9 10 luncheon organised, um, ah, with, um, the Austrian ambassador. And in fact, there was multiple interactions 11 12 from that point onwards. 13 14 Now, I've used the expression previously about as an 15 ombudsman with a statutory office in Western Australia and 16 even as president of a peak body, the negotiation of MoUs 17 between subnational government as being outside of your 18 lane. Do you recall I put that to you?---Yes. 19 20 And your response to that? --- Uh, well, I agree that that 21 would on the face of it appear to be unorthodox, and that 22 is exactly the reason why I sought, um, the, uh - and 23 discussed at some considerable length, um, whether I should 24 be involved in this with all relevant people. 26 When you say all relevant people, are we talking now about

25

27

28 29

30

these people that we have had quarterly meetings with over this two-year period?---Not just those people. Um, with Rebecca Brown from JTSI, um, extensively. Um, with the Deputy Premier's chief of staff. Um, so it's all of the people you put to me and more again.

31 32 33

34

Now, for the uninitiated, these MoUs or sister state relationships between provinces or states of subnational governments overseas and - - -?---Yes.

35 36 37

38

39 40 - - - a state like Western Australia, they occur from time to time. So you I understand were aware that there was one signed between a Vietnamese province and Western Australia in September 2020. You were aware of that?---Yes. aware that we had signed those. Correct.

41 42 43

Now, as part of a state government's Asian engagement strategy?---Correct.

44 45

46 And I'll come to that document in a moment, but do you 47 recall the MoU - that MoU was signed so the signatory on 48 behalf of Western Australia was Minister Peter Tinley as 49 the minister for Asian engagement?---Yes.

50

51 And more recently I think in September 2023 you were aware 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 57 (Public Hearing) Epiq

```
Western Australia and East Java?---Yes.
 2
 3
 4
    Now, in your experience, these sister state relationships
    are negotiated in their early stages by departmental
 6
    officers of JTSI, is that right?---Correct.
 7
 8
    What's JTSI?---Department of Employment, Trade - I would
 9
    have to remember the rest of the acronym.
10
    Jobs, Science and - - -?---Jobs, Science and - - -
11
12
13
    - - - Industry?---That's exactly correct, yes.
14
15
    THE COMMISSIONER: Just take a wild stab.
16
17
    PORTER, MR: Yes, you can always have a good guess. And
18
    that's headed by Rebecca Brown who's - - -?--That's
19
    exactly correct.
20
21
    - - - the director general of the Department of Jobs,
22
    Trade, Science and Industry. Now, I want to draw your
23
    attention to an email chain which commences in 0064 at
24
    page 145.
25
    0064^
26
27
28
    Now, if we look at the middle panel there, that indicates
29
    that there's an email from Rebecca Brown who heads up
30
    JTSI?---Yes.
31
32
    Second Wednesday, 14 December 2022 at 6.15 pm to you,
33
    chrisfield@ombudsmanwa.gov.au?---Correct.
34
35
    And then a range of people are cc'd into that. Now, they
36
    appear to be people at JTSI?---Yes, they are.
37
38
    Just without naming them, did you know any of those staff
    at JTSI personally?---Not personally. I knew of them.
39
40
    And then the subject is proposed, "MoU with Austria". So
41
    this is 14 December 2022. And if we can just scroll up to
42
43
    take in some of that text. There's an apology for a delay
    in getting back to you and then there's:
44
45
46
          Please find attached three examples of MoUs.
47
          most recent is WA BRVT.
48
49
    Is that the Vietnamese - it's Ba Ria-Vung Tau Province?
50
    ---Correct.
51
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                              58
                            (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

that there was a sister state agreement between

Now, it then goes on to say that there are key contacts which are nominated there. I presume that they were contacts for you and for your office? --- Correct.

3 4 5

2

And then it says the issue that the Commissioner raised:

6 7

8

9

According to the Foreign Relations (States and Territories Arrangements) Act 2020, if the foreign partner is the national government, the arrangement would be considered core.

10 11 12

?---Correct.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The WA agency would need to seek approval from the Australian Minister for Foreign Affairs. minister may take up to 30 days to approve to negotiate the agreement and seek approval to sign the agreement. The minister may take up to 30 days to approve which means the WA agency would need to seek approval in the first instance before entering into any discussion with the foreign partner. If the foreign partner is a state government, the arrangement would be considered non-core. WA agency would need to notify DFAT two weeks before the signing and submit the signed document a week after the signing. If the foreign partner is non-government, there will be no FRA implications.

27 28 29

The last of those things, if it's a - I take it that you were getting advice that if it was an agreement between two departments if you like - - -?---Yes.

31 32 33

30

- - - then there's no FRA, which is Foreign Relations Act implications?---Correct.

34 35 36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47 48

49

50 51

Now, this is in December of 2022. Are you able to say what was the genesis of you receiving this response from Rebecca Brown at JTSI?---Ah, it commenced, um, genesis when I returned from, ah, Austria. And I rang Rebecca Brown and I indicated to her that, uh, the Australian Ambassador to Austria had indicated to me an interest in an MoU being undertaken between, uh, Austria - sorry - Styria and Western Australia. And I indicated to Rebecca Brown at that stage that I didn't necessarily think that was something that came within my jurisdiction and would she like to take over the process from that point. And the actual date of that conversation has been produced to you and the notices in the - in the - through the appropriate process, Commissioner. Um, um, and during that conversation Rebecca was emphatically clear, um, that her view is that since I built the relationships and I had 10/04/24 59 FIELD, C.J.

(Public Hearing)

relationships that it would actually be in the interests for me to pursue that matter through. So we had that 2 conversation, um, about the fact that it seemed much more 3 appropriately a JTSI issue but that I could and would pursue the issue in consultation with JTSI. 6 the genesis of the process, and then from that point 7 onwards there was extensive engagement with JTSI to ensure 8 that the process was being done in accordance with, for 9 example, the FRA and a range of other processes as well. 10 11 If we could just scroll down that email a little further, 12 it says there on the next page which is page 140 - sorry, 13 146. Just if we could have the top of that text. 14 15 Please do not hesitate to reach out to Amanda or 16 Nicole for assistance. I hope you have a relaxing 17 break over the holiday season. 18 19 So was your understanding that in your attempts to 20 negotiate and finalise an MoU with the Austrian province of 21 Styria that you could utilise the assistance of these 22 nominated staff member at JTSI?---Ah - ah, not just my 23 understanding. We did. 24 25 And if we could scroll up to the previous page? give any further consideration to the Foreign Relations 26 27 (State And Territory Agreements[sic]) Act after this time 28 in 14 December 2022 based on that precis of advice there? 29 --- I did. I personally read the Act and I considered it at 30 length, um, and it was clear that it was a non-COAR, ah, 31 arrangement, which was, um, somewhat particularly less onerous in terms of its approvals than if it had been a 32 33 COAR arrangement - - -34 35 So just for - - -?--- - - and that was the view of the 36 DFAT - ah - ah, JTSI as well. 37 38 So just for clarity, as the WA state ombudsman holding the concurrent office of president of the IOI - - -?---Yes. 39 40 41 - - - you'd taken it upon yourself to go out an negotiate a 42 sister state MoU relationship between the state of Western 43 Australia and an Austrian province. That's correct? 44 ---Correct. 45 46 You'd received this advice about how that might interplay with the Foreign Relations (State and Territory 47 48 Arrangements) Act from JTSI. Correct?---Correct. 49 50 You were going to proceed, I take it, on the basis that you 51 would simply notify DFAT or that someone from WA would

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. Epiq (Public Hearing)

notify DFAT two weeks before the signing and submit a 1 2 signed document a week after the signing?---Correct. 3 And this as - as appears to be the case, and based on your evidence, was a process that you had discussed at some length in these meetings that we have gone through the 6 7 agendas of?---Ah, not in those - yes. Correct. In all of 8 those meetings and specifically with Rebecca Brown on 9 multiple occasions. 10 And it is Rebecca Brown's state department that is tasked 11 12 with negotiating and drafting and formalising and 13 finalising MoUs or sister state relations?---That - ah, 14 that is exactly correct. The relevant minister at the time 15 was the Deputy Premier, and for that, just as a 16 completeness of answer, I also briefed Neil Fergus, the 17 Deputy Premier's chief of staff, about this matter, and 18 asked whether he or the Deputy Premier had any concerns 19 about what I was doing, and the answer was, "If Rebecca's 20 happy, we're happy". 21 22 So but at this point in - in time, so this is 14 December 23 2022 - - -?---Correct. 24 25 - - - where are you at with the negotiating process with 26 the Austrian province of Styria?---Ah, at that stage, it 27 was advanced. There was numerous discussions with, um, 28 Austrian counterparts, um, with the, ah, Austrian 29 ambassador to Australia, um, to the - with the Australian 30 ambassador to Austria, ah, and the office of both 31 Governor Drexler, who was the governor of Styria, and also Minister Amon as the minister for Styria. 32 33 34 At this point, 14 December 2022, any - has - has Rebecca 35 Brown indicated - and I'm talking about around this time of 36 this email - - -?---Yes. 37 38 - - - any reticence to your negotiating or being - believed negotiating and presumably drafting this agreement? --- No. 39 40 And - and - and - and, counsel, I need to be much clearer and much stronger than that. I specifically - specifically 41 42 rang Rebecca Brown, um, to say to her that I was happy not 43 to do this and to hand over all of this work to JTSI, and

45 46 47

44

So if I can scroll down to what appear to be the attachments to this email?

work, and gave it her absolute support.

she specifically said to me that I should continue this

```
They start there, so I - you recall receiving the - the
    attachments with the email?---Ah, I actually don't have a
 2
    photo recollection of that. (Indistinct), counsel.
 3
 5
    They appear to be, first of all, a precedent, if I can put
    it that way, of the sister state affiliation between the
 6
 7
    state of Western Australia and Hyogo Prefec Church, which
 8
    is in Japan?---I can actually - well, what I can say is
    this, I don't have a photo recollection of that document.
 9
10
    I have a photo recollection of Rebecca and I discussing the
    recent documents, and in fact, she corrected me quite
11
12
    properly, because I was referring to them as sister states,
13
    and she said, "No, the new (indistinct) is MoU".
14
15
    If we just scroll down, there's a signature panel here, so
16
    this indicates that the relevant MoU was concluded by
17
    signature of the then Premier, the Honourable Mark
18
    McGowan - - -?---Correct.
19
20
    - - - and by then president of the legislative council,
21
    Barry House, that was. Do you know when that agreement was
    concluded?---Ah, well, I can see a date there, but that
22
23
    would be the only - the only basis - I was aware we had
24
    one. I wasn't aware of the date of it.
25
26
            So that goes back to 24 April 2017?---Correct.
    Right.
27
28
    So it's been provided to you as a precedent to assist you
29
    in your endeavours?---That's correct.
30
31
    All right. If we can just scroll further down?
32
33
    Then there's another one here. I think this is the
34
    memorandum with the Vietnamese province? --- Correct.
35
36
    If we can go over to the signature panel there?
37
38
    This MoU or sister state relationship's concluded by Peter
39
    Tinley, the minister for Asian engagement - - -?---That's
40
    correct.
41
42
    - - - and the vice chairman of the Peoples' Committee of
43
    Quang Tri Province(?) in Vietnam on 16 September 2020?
44
    ---That's correct.
45
46
    And then if we scroll further down?
47
48
    This is a memorandum of understanding between an agency, it
49
    appears, and another agency?---Yes.
50
```

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 62 Epiq (Public Hearing)

```
So this was provided to you as another precedent but of a
    different type of - - -?---Ah, typology, correct.
 2
 3
    Under the FRO.
 4
 5
    And if we could scroll back up to the - to the top?
 6
 7
    Did you use these precedents? Did you go about drafting
 8
    for the purposes of concluding an agreement with Styria?
 9
    ---Yes. My staff were delegated that, ah - ah, and a full,
10
    um, draft was put together. As I recollect it, counsel,
    the second of the three, um, with, ah, the relevant
11
    Vietnamese province was, I think, the most helpful of the
12
13
    three we considered at the time.
14
15
    Okay. I'm just going to take, if I may, Mr Field's
16
    attention, Madam Associate, to page number 158 on 0664.
17
18
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                        158?
19
20
    PORTER, MR: Yes. 158, Commissioner.
21
    So we're now jumping ahead to 17 May 2023. And at 158
22
23
    appears another email, and again the last email we're
24
    looking at was 14 December 2022. This was an email from
25
    you, Mr Field, to Rebecca Brown, who heads JTSI.
26
    dated 17 May 2023?---Yes.
27
28
    And you say:
29
30
          It was lovely to see you again at the investiture
31
          ceremony.
32
33
    What was that about?---Ah, both she and I had been awarded
34
    public service medals.
35
36
    All right. Then you say you've just spent the week in
37
    Vienna, and you go on - you talk about a reception at the
38
    Ukraine Embassy. And then in the second paragraph you say:
39
40
          I write to let you know that the invitation of his
41
          excellency Governor Christopher Drexler and minister
42
          for European International Affairs Werner Amon -
43
44
    - your good friend -
45
46
          - I spent the weekend in the state of Styria as a
47
          guest of the state.
48
49
    That weekend, that's proximate in time to 17 May, the
50
    sending of the email, is it?---Yes. It was after I'd
    returned from - from Austria. Correct.
51
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               63
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

```
1
 2
    And this week in Styria, is this where further negotiations
    for the MoU occurred?---Yeah, ah - it, ah - ah, indeed.
    There was further - that - that - that's exactly correct.
    There was, ah, further discussions and indeed some of that
 6
    time in Styria was actually being shown a range of the
 7
    matters that were, um, germane to the - to the sister, ah -
 8
    to the MoU relationship.
 9
    Are you - are you supported by staff over the course of
10
    this weekend?---Ah, my chief of, ah, staff accompanied me
11
12
    to - - -
13
14
    Ms Poole was there?--- - - - this meeting, um, Ms Poole.
15
16
    And at this point in time, are you talking to the senior
17
    people in the Austrian province and Austrian Government
    around an actual draft of an MoU document or - - -?---Yes.
18
19
    Talking about the development of the MoU with both - - -
20
21
    Just listen to the question. Are you talking to a physical
22
    document on this weekend?---Oh - ah, to a physical
23
    document, um, I - I would have to check as to whether the
    physical document at that stage was, ah, prepared. I don't
24
25
    - there was a physical document that was exchanged, ah,
26
    with multiple iterations between Perth and Styria.
27
    we spoke to that document on that weekend, I am not sure.
28
29
    I take it from the tenor of this email that you are very
30
    confident that you are going to land or succeed in
31
    concluding this MoU with Styria by this point in time,
    17 May 2023?---Yes, that confidence was based on my
32
33
    conversations with Mr Pastorelli.
34
35
    And you've also put there to Ms Brown in this email that
36
    your office now has strong experience in delegation
37
    management, and that you will liaise with the Premier's
38
    office?---Correct.
39
40
    Do you recall what, if any, response came from this email
41
    to Rebecca Brown?---I actually don't.
42
43
    You don't recall whether there was an email in response or
44
    a phone call?---Not a photo recollection.
45
46
    Now, I'm going to take you to a number of emails that
    occurred in respect of Mr Pastorelli, but often through
47
48
    Ms Filipa Robinson. They start on page 159 of the first
49
    bundle, 0664<sup>^</sup>. So, this is 31 January 2023, so it's
50
    earlier than this exchange - this workaround that we've
51
    just spoken to?---Yes.
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               64
```

(Public Hearing)

Epiq

But it appears that Ms Robinson is the executive assistant to the chief of staff, deputy chief of staff policy director of the Office of the Honourable Mark McGowan MLA in or about 31 January 2023?---Yes. So, what did you understand that meant her position was? ---I'm sorry counsel? What did you understand Ms Robinson's position to be?---Oh, she was, ah, the executive assistant to Mr Pastorelli. And if we can just scroll down the page, thank you. There's an exchange that commences, 'Dear Pip,' it's just it's over the page, but this appears to occur on 29 January 2023 at 12.37 pm. You send an email to Filipa Robinson, and it reads: Dear Pip, I hope this email finds you well. I would be very grateful if you could bring the email below to Daniel's attention, thank you so much. So, you then have some text that says, 'Dear Daniel,' you weren't emailing Daniel directly, you were doing that through Ms Robinson?---As a courtesy, I went through his executive assistant, yes. You say: Thank you again for our meeting on Wednesday. I presume that was one of your quarterly meetings with Daniel Pastorelli?---Yes. I'm delighted to provide a quick update for you regarding the cooperation arrangement between the state of Western Australia and Das Landa Steiermark. What is Das Landa Steiermark?---Oh, Styria. I spoke at length on Friday with the Austrian 

I spoke at length on Friday with the Austrian Ambassador to Australia, His Excellency Wolfgang Strohmeier. I've developed an excellent relationship with Wolfgang. He informs me that his good friend, Governor Christopher Drexler, whom I have not yet met, and that his predecessor will meet the Governor Drexler when I'm in Graz in May, is deeply enthusiastic about the arrangement, and also personally very fond of Western Australia (and Australia).

10/04/24 Epiq FIELD, C.J.
(Public Hearing)

You then say:

1 2 3

 In order to best accommodate the Styrian Parliament summer recess period, Governor Drexler has inquired as to whether the signing ceremony could be done in either July or, subject of course to your view, I think this is much preferred to the previous suggested time. It gives us a considerably better window of eight weeks for the Premier's diary, and more lead time to organise the event. Once I've heard from your office, my team will contact the Governor's office, and I will ask for a series of dates that the Governor could undertake his official visit, which I will provide to you.

Where you say there, 'I think this is much preferred to the previous suggested time,' do you know what that is a reference to?---I recollect when we were - yes, I recollect that the original suggested time is, I think, around March or April or something like that.

And do you recall where you would have suggested that time for the signature ceremony for the MoU?---Oh, it was - I think it was a timeframe that was suggested to me, it was suggested to me, as I recollect - - - By who? Mr Pastorelli, are you talking about?---Oh, no, this was - that timeframe, as I recollect it, was suggested by His Excellency Wolfgang Strohmeier, and also Minister Werner Amon in Styria.

But it appears that you have communicated, the Styrian's originally desired timeframe to someone in Western Australia?---I'm sorry, counsel. Yes, of course I had conveyed that to Mr Pastorelli that early in time.

When?---When, I don't have a recollection.

By email, or meeting, or telephone, or - -?---Um, it would have either been one of the meetings or an email.

If we could just scroll back up, Madam Associate? Ms Robinson then responds on 31 January 2023, so this is after the text which is sent by email 29 January:

Thank you very much for your email below. Daniel asked me to pass on that the July-August time is fine, we just need to find the right dates. We're checking these at the moment, and we'll come back to you with a suitable date as soon as we can.

And then you respond to Ms Robinson on an even date, 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. Epiq (Public Hearing)

1 31 January 2023: 2 3 I really appreciate this update, thank you so much. 4 5 So, is it a fair summary to say that in late January, you are engaged in communications with the Premier's chief of 7 staff to have a date set aside for the Premier to sign a 8 subnational agreement between Western Australia and the Austrian state of Styria that you have negotiated, which 9 10 would include a full visit of Styrian dignitaries to Western Australia for that purpose?---That is exactly 11 12 correct. 13 14 At this point in time, did Daniel Pastorelli ever 15 communicate to you in any way that your position as 16 president of the IOI was untenable?---Absolutely not. 17 18 Madam Associate, the next document is 161 of the bundle 19 0664^. 20 21 THE COMMISSIONER: After this one, we'll take the break. 22 23 PORTER, MR: Thank you, Commissioner. And this is an 24 email from you, Mr Field, to Filipa Robinson on Thursday, 25 You say: 16 February 2023. 26 Dear Pip, I hope this email finds both of you and 27 Daniel very well. When you're able, I'd be grateful 28 if you could bring the attached to Daniel's 29 attention. As always, I sincerely appreciate your 30 assistance. 31 32 If we scroll down, the attached appears to be a letter. 33 And that letter has this dual letterhead of Office of the 34 President - sorry, has the letterhead, 'Office of the 35 President, International Ombudsman Institute', so there's 36 no mention of WA Ombudsman's position in this letter? --- No. 37 38 It reads for itself, but some critical passages: 39 40 I hope you're well. I have had further 41 correspondence with the Austrian Ambassador to 42 Australia and the Styrian Minister for International 43 Affairs in relation to the state cooperation 44 arrangement, which is defined as 'the arrangement'. 45 46 Further down that paragraph: 47 48 I will meet the governor in Graz in May, and I will 49 indicate my complete understanding of this decision 50 (the Governor remains deeply committed to the long-51 term relationship between us). The result is that 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 67 Epiq (Public Hearing)

1 the Governor has asked the Styrian Minister for 2 International Relations, Werner Amon, to travel to 3 Perth to sign the arrangement. The Minister has provided me the following date range that he and his 5 accompanying officers could come to Perth, namely 10-6 30 July -7 8 which must be 2023, is that right?---Ah, yes. 9 10 It says: 11 In terms of whether the Premier should continue to be 12 13 our signatory, I would suggest that this is still the 14 preferred outcome regarding this decision. 15 16 Then you talk about the power of the Austrian provinces. 17 Then the second to last paragraph: 18 19 Nevertheless, this decision is of course a matter for 20 you and the Premier. As you would expect of me, I 21 have made absolutely no commitment as to the personal 22 availability of the Premier. More generally, I 23 appreciate your support and the support of the 24 Premier for my term as president (and, of course, as 25 Ombudsman). Particularly in trying to find 26 additional value for our state arising from my role 27 as president. 28 29 And that email with the letter attached was sent?---Yes, it 30 was. 31 32 And do you recall what if any response was received to 33 that?---I do think we received an email response from that. 34 I don't have a photo recollection of the exact wording. 35 36 That might be an appropriate moment, Commissioner. 37 38 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. We'll adjourn until 2 pm. 39 40 (THE WITNESS WITHDREW) 41 42 (LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT) 43 CHRISTOPHER JAMES FIELD RECALLED AT 02.21 PM: 44 45 46

THE COMMISSIONER: Please be seated. I apologise to everybody for the delay caused by technical issues. Will it cause anybody any difficulty if we sit until 4.30, try make up some time? We will have a break at about quarter past three for five minutes.

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. (Public Hearing) 68

47

48

49

50

1 PORTER, MR: Thank you, Commissioner. 2 3 Mr Field, before the break - Commissioner, I might just note I think that we have emailed another aide-memoire. pertains to the agenda document. And in questioning, I've 6 moved through them in three batches. Pre-election as 7 president of the IOI, then president elect, and then as 8 president. 9 10 I'm not going to go through thankfully those again, but this is 0746 on your numbers now. But it's simply a 11 12 summary of all those three batches by date, the person with 13 whom the meeting is indicated to be and the topics of 14 relevance under discussion in the final column. 15 16 THE COMMISSIONER: That will be helpful. So it's 17 effectively a table or summary? 18 19 PORTER, MR: The chronology, yes. 20 21 So, Mr Field, before the lunch break, I had taken you to 22 that email from yourself to Philippa Robinson dated 23 16 February 2023 which enclosed a letter upon IOI 24 letterhead which was to be provided to and was marked "Dear Daniel" as in Daniel Pastorelli. I asked you whether or 25 not you received any response. I think your answer is you 26 27 do receive - you recall receiving some form of response like an email. I'm going to direct you now to page 163 on 28 29 the first bundle of documents, 0664. 30 31 0664^ 32 33 Now, this is an email from you to Philippa Robinson on 34 3 May 2023, so just over two weeks after the previous 35 emailed letter, 16 February 2023. And it says at the 36 outset: 37 38 Thank you so much for letting Vicky know about the change of time for my meeting with Daniel this 39 40 morning. 41 42 This is 3 May 2023. Do you recall what that change of 43 meeting time was about? --- Uh, excuse me, counsel and Commissioner. I, uh, think that was my regular meeting 44 45 with, uh, Mr Pastorelli that had been, um, moved. 46 47 And you then say: 48 49 Of course, this is never an issue. However, I 50 have one matter that has become more pressing. 51 travelling this Friday to Vienna to chair the annual 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. Epiq (Public Hearing)

world board meeting of the International Ombudsman Institute and will also be travelling to Graz on Thursday 11/5 to meet with the Governor of Styria and the Minister of International Affairs who is coming to Perth to sign the cooperation arrangement between Western Australia and Styria.

1 2

I would very much like to share a date for the Premier's availability with my Graz friends and colleagues (I am certain to be asked the dates). I was looking to confirm either Friday 14, Saturday 15 July, Sunday 16 July, Monday 17 July).

Said you'd be grateful if this could be brought to Daniel's attention. And you sent that email?---Yes. I wrote it and sent it.

And then if I can take you through, Madam Associate, to page 164 of the bundle. The response is the bottom of that page which is from Philippa Robinson to you by email dated 3 May 2023:

Good afternoon Chris,

I can now confirm the Premier would be available on Monday, 17 July 2023. Time to be confirmed. We will note in his calendar and look forward to receiving further details from you closer to the time. I hope you have a successful trip.

And you respond on the same date:

Dear Pip,

I'm deeply grateful for this confirmation. My sincere thanks to you and also of course to Daniel. I'll be delighted to share this information with Governor Drexler and Minister Amon next week.

Did you share that information with Governor Drexler and Minister Amon?---Yes, I did.

 And what did you say to them?---I indicated, uh, what, uh - or how exciting it was that that which we'd worked on for some considerable period of time was not being crystallised, um, that there was a date, that the Premier of Western Australia was available, that he would be the signatory and that it was intended that signing ceremony by in Parliament House.

10/04/24 Epiq FIELD, C.J.
(Public Hearing)

And so who was coming or planned - who was it planned would attend Perth for this signing ceremony?---It was intended that it be Minister Amon who was the minister for international affairs in the government of Styria, his chief of cabinet, at least one or two other staff from his office, ah, uh, heads of chamber of commerce, one or two vice-chancellors of Styrian universities, members of the press who would travel with that travelling party, ah, and potentially one or two others.

11 I'll take you to document 165 in that bundle 0664. Now, in 12 the middle of the page there it's Friday, May 19th. And 13 this is an email from Daniel Pastorelli to you, 14 Chris Field, CC-ing in John Langoulant who was the agent 15 general to the UK?---To, uh - to the UK and Europe. 16 Correct.

CCs in Rebecca Poole, Philippa Robinson and Neil Fergus at DPC. You'd mentioned that you had briefed Mr Fergus. What was his role at DPC?---Ah, Neil Fergus was the chief of staff to the Deputy Premier, and I did brief him and specifically about this arrangement.

And I'm coming to the email it's in response to, but it says:

Dear Chris,

Thank you for your email and the update. The time remains held in both the Premier and Deputy Premier's diary pending any changes due to travel or other necessary commitments. As things progress and the visit gets closer, I suggest you continue to work with JTSI on the finer details of the proposed visit. I have cc'd Neil Fergus into the email for that reason.

So, now the Deputy Premier has come into the signing as well?---Um, the discussions that - I can explain that exactly, counsel. It was the Premier who was intended to be the signatory on behalf of the state, but on the basis that there potentially could be a reason why the Premier wasn't available - some urgent travel or another matter, COAG or something, some reason why he might not be able to - they also placed it in the Deputy Premier's diary as a backup, so if the Premier wasn't available, the Deputy Premier could enter into the arrangement.

Madam Associate, if I could have you scroll down on that page there? Just stop there. And so the email that I've just read from is in response to this email that you sent 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 71
Epiq (Public Hearing)

to Daniel Pastorelli, and this is sent on 17 May 2023 in the evening. And again, it is cc'd into John Langoulant, Rebecca Poole, Filipa Robinson, head of WA/Styria, and just further down, Madam Associate:

5 6

2 3

> As I write to you from a plane high over the Indian Ocean.

7 8 9

And it goes on:

10 11

I've just spent a week in Vienna chairing the annual world board meeting of the International Ombudsman Institute.

13 14 15

12

The next paragraph:

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

I'd like to let you [so this is you, Daniel Pastorelli] know that at the invitation of His Excellency Governor Christopher Drexler and Minister of the International Affairs, Werner Amon, and a good friend (I spent the weekend in the state of Styria as a guest of the state). It's very clear to me that Styria is very committed to the formal relationship with Western Australia. They have a large and sophisticated industry and semiconductors, new energy sources, pharmaceuticals et cetera). Minister Amon will be bringing a delegation to Perth in July, it includes four members of his Cabinet, heads of industry chambers, vice chancellors and two journalists. My office now has strong experience in delegation management, and I being received overseas, but of course we will liaise thoroughly with the Premier's Office about all matters.

33 34 35

So, this is the same weekend that you've spoken about before the break in Styria? --- Yes, correct.

36 37 38

So, you're very confident at this point that this is all happening?---Oh, not just confident, certain.

39 40 41

42

43

And then if we can scroll to the very top, so the other way, apologies, Madam Associate. And the final part in this chain of emails is from you, Chris Field, to Daniel Pastorelli, Sunday, 21 May 2023:

44 45 46

47 48

49

Dear Daniel, thank you very much for your email. I'm pleased that we have a meeting with JTSI on Tuesday to commence detailed planning, and I personally will continue to keep Neil and Rebecca briefed. sincere thanks again for your support with this initiative, best regards, Chris.

50 51

FIELD, C.J. 10/04/24 Epiq (Public Hearing)

```
1
 2
    So, that meeting with JTSI on Tuesday, did that occur?
 3
    ---Ah, to the best of my recollection it occurred with my
 4
    staff, correct.
 5
 6
    And the point of that meeting was discussing what?---Oh,
 7
    that was at the detailed stage of, ah, literally the
 8
    practicalities, like meetings, allocation at the airport,
 9
    where would dinners be held, um, there was coordination
10
    required with both the Chamber of Commerce of Industry and
11
    the Chamber of Commerce of (indistinct) and Energy, because
12
    they were also providing, ah, the vice chancellor of the
13
    University of Western Australia and others.
                                                  So, it was
14
    around coordinating, ah, a range of meetings, Minister
15
    Temple to give them a tour of the museum, there was a whole
16
    raft of things that needed to be organised. So, we were
17
    down to the itinerary stage and making sure that those
18
    matters were lined up correctly.
19
20
    So, this wasn't a sort of (indistinct) event, this was
21
    actually happening, everyone had every expectation that it
22
    was happening?---Absolutely expectation that it was
23
    happening, um, and we had got down to the, ah, level of
24
    detail of, ah, ah, who was coming, ah, that it would
25
    commence with a - a visit down south to energy
26
    infrastructure, because energy was a significant part of
27
    this MoU, ah, to the signing in Parliament. A luncheon
28
    hosted very generously by the Chamber of Commerce and
29
    Industry, then a range of other meetings and events, a tour
    of the museum, because cultural exchange. Exchange of
30
31
    Aboriginal art to Styria was another part of the agreement.
32
    So, all of these matters were very well advanced.
33
34
    But it was to be 17 July 2023?---Yes, the date that was
35
    aligned with the Premier's availability and that had been
36
    given to me.
37
38
    And it didn't go ahead on that date? --- No, it did not.
39
40
    And why was that?---Ah, there was a change of Premier, um,
    and, ah, then, ah, so that was for the particular date.
41
42
    And, ah, so that was the specific reason why that date
43
    didn't go ahead.
44
45
    If I could just take you to, Madam Associate, 167, that's
46
    the page number again in 0664°. The next piece of
    correspondence in it is 9 June 2023, from you, Chris Field,
47
48
    to Filipa Robinson again. And it's a quick note from
    Ljubljana, where's that?---It's the capital of Slovenia.
49
50
51
          Let me know that I do not have to speak to anyone in
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               73
```

(Public Hearing)

Epiq

the Premier's Office. In fact, I would not even think of asking you at a time it is exceptionally busy.

But in reference to that, there's a new Premier, is there? ---That's exactly correct.

One of my wonderful new staff members, Nat Fisher, was just checking for me with DPC protocol. A good month to move the MoU signing before I went back to Styria to know that there is a complete commitment to the MoU signing, but given recent events, we will move it to later in 2023. We will talk to Melanie from DPC protocol on Monday to discuss a month for the signing.

What is DPC protocol?---Ah, that section of DPC that does things like, ah, organise transfers from airports, hotel arrangements, restaurants, dinners, for visiting dignitaries.

So at this point, 9 June 2023, notwithstanding the change of Premier that occurred some time in May 2023, is that correct?---Correct.

The expectation, certainly on your part, is that the agreement is simply rescheduled for its signing and conclusion?---Not just the expectation, counsel, but the indication to me that that was exactly the case.

Well, who was indicating that to you?---Um, ah, Daniel Pastorelli's office.

 And how was that indication provided?---Ah, it was indicated through these email exchanges, but also, um, through engagement with Rebecca Brown, because I did speak to Rebecca Brown at around this time. Um, about the continuity and continuation of the MoU. Ah, and the view was that it was going ahead, um, and that we would simply now need to make a new time that would fit with the Deputy Premier's - now Premier's - calendar. And there was a further, ah, discussion that that should be a more easy task, because the Deputy Premier and his chief of staff, and the head of his department, had been briefed about these matters and been in the loop of those matters, as you saw, Commissioner, from earlier emails.

This is the last piece of correspondence that you've provided to me as your counsel, and has been put before the Commission on the Styrian agreement with Filipa Robinson, or anyone in the Premier's office. Do you recall whether 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 74
Epiq (Public Hearing)

there was any further email or written correspondence about the Styrian agreement after this date, 9 June 2023?---I don't have a recollection. What I do know is that we were continuing - my best recollection is that at an office level, we were continuing to examine dates, ah, ah, such that the MoU could be signed, but I was obviously very mindful that the change of Premier was a significant event, and I wanted to ensure that the Premier's office, and including the Premier's chief of staff, had time to attend to the most urgent matters that would normally be attended to at a time like that.

Now, at any time leading up to this point of 9 June 2023, did anyone make any negative comment about your concurrent holding of the roles of president IOI and WA Ombudsman as you were dealing with them around the negotiation of the Styrian agreement?---Counsel, not just did they not, but there was an ongoing significant level of enthusiasm and a suggestion of the complementarity of the roles.

And I'm going to put to you a proposition. Could you have gotten to the - the open door of a subnational MoU without the travel that you engaged in?---Oh, absolutely not.

And of the trips that you had undertaken over this period in '21, '22, '22, '23, how many of them related to the negotiation and furtherance of this Styrian MoU?---Um, multiple trips. Um, certainly the trips to Vienna in '22 and '23, ah, and to, ah, Graz and other parts of Styria were all directly relevant to this.

Now, obviously, there's a - an article in negative terms that comes out on 7 October 2023 about the travel generally, and at some point close in time after that - that date, 7 October 2023, you receive instructions, and it's in the subject of evidence to cease and desist with respect to the conclusion of the contract or the payment of the funds for the contract with the OECD. That's correct? ---Correct.

After that date of the article, 7 October 2023, did anyone senior in the Premier's office or the Department of Premier and Cabinet ever contact you again about the Styrian agreement?---No. No, they did not.

And between this last email correspondence, 9 June 2023 and that article on 7 October 2023, were you still trying to conclude that agreement by way of having it signed in Western Australia?---Yes, I was, and it was a - it - it - it was a cause of substantial embarrassment that it wasn't continuing.

10/04/24 Epiq FIELD, C.J.
(Public Hearing)

```
1
 2
    For whom?---Ah, I - I felt it was a cause of substantial
 3
    embarrassment for the state of Western Australia.
 5
    What, if anything, did you say to the senior officials on
 6
    the Styrian side of this agreement as to what had happened
 7
    to them?---I said there'd been a change of Premier, and
 8
    that had put an inevitable delay, and then subsequently
 9
    there was - I - my recollection - I would actually have a
10
    WhatsApp message, and of course I would provide it to you,
    Commissioner, but, um, I think it was along the lines, ah,
11
12
    of there being a newspaper article, and I didn't think that
13
    the MoU, ah, would necessarily find, ah, favour, ah, with
14
    the - with the, ah - with the Deputy Premier, now Premier,
15
    going forward.
16
17
    But that was an assumption that you had made. No one had
    specifically communicated - - -?--- I made - - -
18
19
20
    - - - that to you?--- - - assumption, but that assumption
21
    had not been communicated to me whatsoever. And, in fact,
22
    I will say this, I still even at that stage had hope it
23
    might come to fruition.
24
25
    I think we went earlier to the topic of your conversation
26
    with Mr Pastorelli after that article appeared, and that
27
    you had followed up with an email with respect to
28
    information about the Styria agreement and the OECD
29
    arrangement. Did you ever receive any follow up about the
    Styrian agreement from Mr Pastorelli after that date? --- Ah,
30
31
    no. He made an inquiry of, ah, any other matters that were
32
    related to the IOI, ones that had been in evidence and -
33
    and - and, Commissioner, you're aware of that one email,
34
    ah, after the date of the West Australian article, but none
35
    whatsoever otherwise.
36
37
    Madam Associate, if I can just finalise this series of
38
    questions by taking Mr Field to page 168?
39
40
    It's a letter, Mr Field, from the Australian Embassy in
    Austria, if we go down to the signature panel, signed by
41
    Richard Sadleir, and dated 30 January 2023. Who - Richard
42
43
    Sadleir, he was the Australian Ambassador to Austria?
44
    ---Austria. Correct.
                          He was.
45
46
    And - so he is the person that you've described as
    meeting?---Ah, we had both spoken and he also, ah, very
47
48
    kindly hosted a lunch in my honour on my visit to Austria.
49
50
    And I think your evidence was that it was this ambassador,
51
    Mr Sadleir, who had first phoned you about the prospect or
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               76
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

possibility of you being engaged in the process of concluding a - - -?---Yes.

2 3

1

- - - subnational MoU?---To the very best of my recollection, and on - on this occasion, strong recollection, ah, Commissioner, that I think it was Ambassador Sadleir was the first person who raised this matter with me.

8 9 10

6

7

Okay. And it starts with:

11 12

Thank you for your warm letter of 25 January 2023.

13 14

Now, I - I don't think that that's something that you've been able to locate?---Ah, no, um - - -

15 16 17

That is your letter to Mr Sadleir?---That's right.

18 19

20

21

22

23

Do you remember what was in that letter or if there was such a letter?---Ah - ah, there was such a letter and, ah, I more than likely was writing to him, um - ah - ah, as a as a courtesy in engagement, as I did with all of our, ah, ambassadorial core for all countries that I visited or had some relationship.

24 25 26

Then the third paragraph, he says:

27 28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

The proposed visit to Perth by Styrian Governor Drexler and International Affairs Minister Amon to sign the joint declaration is a testament to the hard work of you and your colleagues in forging strong relationships and building institutional connections these past few years. Particularly in the face of a global pandemic, it is a remarkable outcome, and my sincere congratulations to everyone who has played a role in bringing this about -

36 37 38

39 40 - and then he then goes on to apologise that he will be unable to travel to Perth for the signing because he is close to the expiry of his role in Austria?---That is correct.

41 42 43

44 45

46

47

48

49 50

51

And then he then says that he's looking forward to your visit to Austria in May. So, Mr Field, I just want to put to you the proposition that for someone outside the high bureaucracy in Western Australian, the idea, the concept, that you in a role totally unrelated as WA ombudsman to any form of international engagement, even wearing your president of the IOI hat, if I can put it that way, that your role in negotiating through to the very last stage a full subnational MoU is extraordinary or perhaps FIELD, C.J.

10/04/24

(Public Hearing)

```
astonishing to an outside observer?---Oh, I - I don't think
 2
    there's any other way to describe it than to agree with
 3
    you.
 4
 5
    Did no one else in government that you were dealing with at
    senior levels, with respect to your role in taking this
 7
    agreement to the - to the very end of the process, ever
 8
    express to you that it was extraordinary or something that
 9
    shouldn't be done?---Ah, counsel, not only did no one at
10
    any stage say such a thing to me, but I was at every point
    strongly encouraged to continue. Indeed I queried whether
11
12
    I should do so, and I was strongly encouraged to continue
13
    to do so.
14
15
    Can I just ask you a - a very straightforward question?
16
    your mind, what did that tell you or what did it - what did
17
    it set in your mind in respect of your dealings with the
18
    OECD agreement? I know that the Styrian MoU and the OECD
19
    are completely different things, but at the time that you
20
    are at the near completion of the Styrian agreement, in
21
    your mind, how did peoples' response to that play out in
22
    terms of your approach to the OECD deal?---Ah, that it too
23
    was, ah - ah - ah, completely and totally supported by
24
    every relevant senior member of government, and I did not
25
    see them in my own mind as completely different things, nor
26
    did I ever explain them to anyone as completely different.
27
    I explained them as potential benefits - positives that
28
    arose - - -
29
30
    I think you're - - -?--- - - around my role.
31
32
    You're missing the point of my question?---Oh, sorry.
33
34
    It's about your state of mind, Mr Field?---Yes.
35
36
    I think at one point in time in evidence-in-chief, you used
37
    the word "imprimatur", which I understand to mean licence
38
    or - - -? -- Yes.
39
40
    - - - authority. You are out there taking on the role of a
    roving, good will ambassador, as holding the official
41
42
    position of WA Ombudsman but not exercising any delegated
43
    function in that position overseas and also holding the
44
    role of president of the IOI. You are roving at large and
45
    doing things that it seems are very unorthodox?---Yes.
46
47
    And that unorthodox nature of these things appears to be
48
    thoroughly - - -
49
50
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                         There should be a question somewhere in
51
    this, Mr Porter.
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               78
                            (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

**PORTER, MR:** There is.

2 3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

1

Did this create a state of mind for you about other things that you might do or were seeking to do?---I can be unambiguous in answer to say yes, it did. Um, in good faith at all times in my mind, I believed I had not just the imprimatur to pursue the Styria matter but also the OECD matter, and both of them were constantly parts of those discussions, Styria only more so because there were so many more chess pieces to move on the board. absolutely gave me in my mind a sense of clear imprimatur to pursue those matters.

13 14 15

16

17

18 19

If I put to you now with hindsight that it may appear something of a mistake to have confused the clear licence that you had with Styria as extending to the OECD, what would you say in response to that proposition?---Well, I wouldn't - I wouldn't agree with that proposition. Um, uh - - -

20 21 22

23

24

Well, if you don't think that's a mistake, then that's your answer?---Yeah. No, I don't think - I - I - I don't believe it was a mistake. I believe I had a very clear imprimatur to pursue both of those matters.

25 26 27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41 42

43

44

Why were you dealing so closely with JTSI in the Premier's office in respect of the Styria agreement but - if I put this to you - less closely in a comparative sense with respect to the OECD contract?---Oh, that's very simple. Because the OECD was ultimately only, uh, free stakeholders. Uh, principally the Ombudsman of the Western Australia, second to the OECD and thirdly the IOI. stakeholders involved with the Styria agreement were numerous and included those, um, which would have to be co-organised with JTSI and the Premier's office. Um, and the Premier wasn't involved personally in the OECD project but of course he was in - in Styria, ah, organising a raft of meetings for, uh - and protocol matters, um, for the visiting delegation. Of course, I had to discuss that with DFAT - sorry - DPC protocol and those matters. So it was simply so many more stakeholders, ah, and matters to attend to for Styria than there was for OECD. The OECD was much, much simpler and therefore did not require all of those further engagements.

45 46 47

48

49

I'm just going to move on now to the issue of your leave authorisation, and I want to try and provide some summary propositions to you. But you had - you have been the WA Ombudsman for a period of 17 years?---Correct.

```
And so that has involved four notice of acting documents?
 2
    ---Correct.
 3
 4
    2007 was the first?---Yes.
 5
 6
    And then 2012 was the second?---Yes.
 7
 8
    And then 2017?---Yes.
 9
10
    And the most recent was 2022?---Correct.
11
    Now, it's been pointed out at length - and I don't intend
12
13
    to traverse this territory in detail again - but the final
14
    two notice of acting documents had a provision in it which
15
    spoke to going to the Premier for approval of leave?---That
16
    is correct.
17
18
    However that is interpreted, that is the general context?
19
    ---That is correct.
20
21
    And you accept that it was a mistake to not have considered
22
    those two provisions in those two final notice of actings
23
    more thoroughly - in fact, I think your evidence is that
24
    you didn't know that they were - had been inserted into
25
    your notices of acting, and you should have?---
26
    Commissioner, I unambiguously accept it was a mistake and I
27
    should have looked at them.
28
29
    And just - I just want to place in this public hearing some
    context around that error for the second two notices of
30
31
    acting. In an earlier private hearing you gave evidence
32
    about when you had first commenced in the role of
33
    WA Ombudsman that because it was the case that you were
34
    responsible to parliament and didn't have a responsible
35
    minister that you had gone to the then speaker of the
36
    legislative assembly because you had understood that that
37
    was the person who would approve your travel?---That is
38
    correct.
39
40
    And that was Mr Riebeling at the time?---That is correct.
41
42
    And just - can you recount again in this public hearing
43
    what you recall occurred when you went to Mr Riebeling
    about his role or potential role in approving your travel?
44
45
    ---Yeah, I can. Um, the honourable speaker was utterly
    delightful to me but he did indicate that it had been, ah,
46
47
    a practice, um, that he had been asked to approve the
48
    travel of, uh, my predecessor, and he asked me whether I
```

10/04/24 Epiq

49

50

51

FIELD, C.J.
(Public Hearing)

would - could discontinue that process because he did not

wish to be signing, um, those approvals.

```
And that - what year is that? --- When I commenced, 2007.
 2
 3
    And if I, Madam Associate, could have up on the screen page
 4
    169 of the bundle 0664? So this is the first notice of
    acting document - if we scroll down a bit,
 6
    27 February 2007?---Yes, counsel.
 7
 8
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                       A bit confusing when you use the word
 9
    "acting" because there is in fact provision for an acting
10
    parliamentary - - -
11
12
    PORTER, MR:
                 Appointment I should be saying.
13
14
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                        Yes. Appointment, I think.
15
16
    PORTER, MR:
                  Apologies, Commissioner. Notice of
17
    appointment documents.
18
19
    So this first notice of appointment, 27 February 2007. For
20
    swiftness, I'll just scroll through it. But there is
    nothing in this - I don't think it's a matter of dispute -
21
22
    that resembles the two Premier's approval provisions that
23
    are in the last two notices of appointment?---Yeah.
24
    is nothing - excuse me, counsel. There is nothing in this
    term - there is nothing in these terms of appointments that
25
26
    requires an approval from the Premier.
27
28
    And how - for what term length did this notice of
29
    appointment appoint you for?---For five years, counsel.
30
31
    Five years. So at this point, there is still a Premier's
32
    circular about travel in existence?---Yes.
33
34
    You have been appointed under this notice of appointment.
35
    It does not stipulate any person such as the Premier or
36
    otherwise that you need to have travel approved from? --- No.
37
38
    You understood that there had been a convention of having
39
    the speaker approve the travel of the Ombudsman?---Correct.
40
41
    That did not eventuate for the reasons that you've
42
    described?---Correct.
43
44
    And so the first term of your appointment under this NOA,
45
    you were self-approving travel?---And, counsel, that was
46
    also in the context of the fact that I was well aware, um,
47
    that for a number of independent officers at least at that
48
    time, they were also self-approving travel.
49
```

10/04/24 Epiq

And then the next notice of appointment in 2012 - which I think, Madam Associate, is on your document referencing 2 3 system 0468. 4 5 0468^ 6 7 Does that document look familiar to you? 12 March 2012? 8 ---Yes, it does. 9 10 From the Public Sector Commission. And if we scroll through, Madam Associate, a very similar front page to the 11 notice of appointment as in 2007. If we scroll through, 12 13 signed by the then Premier Barnett on 7 March 2012?---Yes, correct. 14 15 16 And was scheduled to the terms and conditions?---Yes. 17 18 If we just scroll through, Madam Associate. Now, again by way of a summary proposition to you, this second notice of 19 appointment equally did not have a Premier's approval 20 21 provision as was the case in the final two notices?---That 22 is correct. There was no requirement to seek, ah, approval 23 from the Premier. 24 25 And so during this period again in the circumstances that 26 you've described, you were self-approving your travel 27 pursuant to the second term of your appointment which again was five years, is that right?---Yes. In the context of 28 29 this, in the context of my conversation with the Speaker, 30 and in the context of the fact that I was aware a number of 31 other officers were self-approving travel. 32 33 Well, at this point in time, so 2017, were you aware of any other officers, civil servants, self-approving travel? 34 35 ---Ah, I had been informed that there were other officers. 36 I was aware of some officers who had done so and were doing 37 so. 38 39 Who was that?---Ah, I - there was three or four I remember 40 being told at the time, who were considered independent officers, who were approving their own travel. In fact, 41 the system - - -42

43 44

45

THE COMMISSIONER: Well, the question was who?---Well, Commissioner, I have to say, the exact names now escape me of who they were, it does go back some time.

46 47 48

All right, well that's answered counsel's question?---Thank you. Thank you, Commissioner.

49 50

> 10/04/24 Epiq

FIELD, C.J.
(Public Hearing)

```
PORTER, MR: Now, Madam Associate, if I could bring up
 1
    page 172 of bundle 0664<sup>^</sup>. You then come up for
    reappointment again in 2017. That's correct?---Yes,
    correct.
 5
 6
    And prior to that, you're sent an email by Imogen Blair?
 7
    ---Yes.
 8
 9
    From Imogen Blair to Chris Field, confidential, and that's
10
    dated Tuesday, 13 December 2016?---Correct.
11
12
          In preparation for progressing your reappointment
13
          through executive council for appointment by the
14
          Governor on a date to still be determined, the
15
          following schedule has been drafted to accompany it.
16
17
    And there's a schedule attached that I'll go to in a
18
    moment. So, this is leading up to your potential third
19
    term. Very briefly, were you sure you were going to get
    the job at this time, was there an interview process, had
20
21
    you been spoken to by a Minister to say that you're being
22
    reappointed and - - -?---Ah, there was no interview
23
    process. I would have spoken to, ah, at that time it
24
    either would have been Mal - sorry, um, Mr Wauchope or
25
                 I'd have to refresh my memory as to which one.
    Ms O'Neill.
26
    Um, indicating that the government was proposing to
27
    reappoint me.
28
29
    And so you receive an email about some of the mechanics of
30
    that reappointment from Imogen Blair. Who was that
31
    person?---Ah, a person who worked in the Public Sector
32
    Commission, who assisted with, ah, the recruitment of, ah,
33
    as it says, EXCOs, but also did things like worked on and
34
    assisted with reappointments.
35
36
    And do you remember receiving this email?---Yes.
37
38
    And there's an attachment, Madam Associate, if we run down,
39
    which is what's described in the email:
40
          The following schedule has been drafted to accompany
41
42
          it.
43
44
    I'm reading from the face email, but I'll leave this on the
45
    screen. The face email says:
46
47
          Your previous appointment and specific allowances as
48
          part of that have been considered in the drafting of
49
               Any addition, more contemporary wording in
50
          relation to leave entitlements has been included.
51
          provide this to you confidentially to ensure you are
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

```
satisfied with it. Please note that it should not be
 2
          considered any commitment to the appointment.
    So, you were being asked to look over, in effect, the
    document that would become your notice of appointment for
 6
    your third term of appointment?---That is correct.
 7
 8
    And did you look over this document?---I did.
    And I think it's not a matter that would be in dispute, but
 9
10
    there is, in this document, no provision for Premier's
    approval of your leave, similar to - - -?---No, there was
11
12
    none.
13
14
    - - - what manifests in the third and fourth notices of
15
    appointment?---That's right.
16
17
    Which we'll come to in a moment. There are some not
18
    insignificant changes between this document and what had
19
    gone before?---Correct.
20
21
    Can you recall reading over this document?---I do.
22
23
    And you are then ultimately appointed in a notice of
    appointment in 2017, is that right?---That's correct,
24
25
    sorry, yes.
26
27
    Did you ever respond to this email?--- I recollect I sent
28
    back a response saying I was comfortable, or happy, or
29
    approved of those things, is my recollection.
30
31
    And prior to your actual third notice of appointment being
32
    finalised and signed through XCO, it would have been? ---
33
    Yes.
34
35
    Did you ever receive any further correspondence about the
36
    terms that would be in that third notice of appointment?
37
    ---Ah, I have no recollection of ever having received such
38
    a document, and I have scoured through every email within
39
    my system, and otherwise in my office, and I can't find
    evidence that I did.
40
41
42
    Now, Madam Associate, if I can now go to page 175 of the
43
    bundle 0664<sup>^</sup>. So, this is 19 January 2017?---Correct.
44
45
    (indistinct) Mr Field?---Yes.
46
47
    Signed by Mr Wauchope, who was the Public Sector
48
    Commissioner at the time?---Yes, correct.
49
50
    Noting that you'd been reappointed as Parliamentary
51
    Commissioner for Investigations for a period of five years.
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

And then this document, as we scroll down, Madam Associate, is then signed by the Premier, and the date of the meeting that it's signed at with the Governor, the executive council, is 17 January 2017. And then over on page 178, these are the provisions of the notice of appointment dealing with leave of absence?---Yes.

8 And this is wl

And this is where - it's not a matter that is in dispute, but at clause 3 there:

The period of leave referred to in clause 2 may be taken with the approval of the Minister.

?---That's right.

And again, not in dispute, that's the first time in your notices of appointment, this being the third of four, that that appears?---That is correct.

And you cannot recall looking at this document?---No, ah, well, I can put it even more strongly than that. I, ah, obviously incorrectly, Commissioner, but because of the fact that terms had been sent to me in the same terms of the previous appointment, I must admit I didn't then turn my mind to that particular schedule, I'd assumed it was the same. And I can certainly say if I had turned my mind to it at the time, I would have immediately asked for item 3 to be removed.

The email where the draft for this notice of appointment was sent to you, as I've read, said that:

Please note, it should not be considered any commitment to the appointment.

 But the best of your recollection is that you didn't receive any follow up emails from Mr Wauchope's office about a change in that document that had been sent to you under the email 13 December 2016?---I have no recollection of it, and I cannot find any email that I received to that end.

Madam Associate, if I can just take the Commissioner and Mr Field to the document, page 179. And Mr Field, this is again by nature of an aide-memoire, but it's a tracked changes version, which attempts to show that the changes that exist between the document that was sent to you as a draft and the schedule in the email 13 December 2016, and then what is actually approved by executive council on 17 January 2017. And there are obviously quite a few changes, the red strikethroughs are things that have been 10/04/24

FIELD, C.J.

85

Epiq (Public Hearing)

```
removed between the draft sent by email and what eventually
 1
 2
    is approved at EXCO?---Yes.
 3
 4
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                        Mr Porter, just so I understand, this
 5
    is a document that you have prepared?
 6
 7
    PORTER, MR:
                  Yes.
 8
 9
                        I understand, it's very helpful, but I
    THE COMMISSIONER:
10
    just wanted to be clear, it's a document that you have
11
    prepared.
12
13
    PORTER, MR:
                 And with every effort at word processing
14
    accuracy, but it is precisely that, and meant to depict the
15
    changes between the draft that you had received, and what
16
    eventually is approved at executive council.
17
    Strikethroughs in red are things that have been removed
18
    from the draft, and underlined red and highlighted in
19
    yellow are things that are additions to what was in the
20
    draft. Now, obviously quite a few changes, Mr Field, based
21
    on this aide-memoire, if I get Madam Associate to scroll
22
    through, including quite a few removals and obviously the
23
    addition of that Premier's approval clause, if I can call
24
    it that, but you acknowledge both that you did not look at
    the final document for the reasons that you've put, and
25
    also - - -?---Yes.
26
27
28
    - - - you acknowledge that was an error on your part?---Ah
29
    - ah, I would (indistinct) to say anything other than it
30
    was an error.
31
    And then the final notice of appointment, which is
32
33
    Commission document 0470 - Madam Associate, I won't trouble
    you to bring it up, but that final notice of appointment,
34
35
    which occurs in 2022, again that had that Premier's
36
    approval clause in it - - -?---Correct.
37
38
    - - - but again you did not direct your attention to - did
    not look at that document?---No, ah, counsel, as I'd
39
40
    indicated, um, I had, ah, looked very carefully, ah, in
    2007, um - ah, subsequently again in 2000 - - -
41
42
43
    THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I think the answer to - - -?---
44
    Ah, well - - -
45
46
    - - - the question - - -?--- - - I've - I've given - - -
47
48
    --- you've already ---?---- - given that ---
49
50
    - - - answered?--- - - answer, Commissioner.
51
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                              86
                            (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

```
Was no?---Yes. Ah, the answer's no, and I've already given
 1
 2
    the context for it.
 3
 4
    PORTER, MR:
                   I just want to very briefly now move onto the
 5
    budget estimates process.
 6
 7
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                         If you're going to move to a different
 8
    topic, we'll take a short break.
 9
10
                  Thank you, Commissioner. Thank you,
    PORTER, MR:
11
    Commissioner.
12
13
14
                         Take a break for 10 minutes.
    THE COMMISSIONER:
15
                         (Short adjournment)
16
17
18
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                         Please be seated.
19
20
    PORTER, MR: Mr Field, just before I move on to budgetary
21
    processes, you had mentioned an interaction with the
    auditor general's office about your self-approval in
22
23
    previous evidence that you've provided. Do you recall
24
    that?---Correct. I do.
25
26
    And if I just - Madam Associate, if I can just draw
27
    Mr Field's attention to page 181 of the bundle 0664.
28
29
    0664^
30
31
    I do want to try and deal with this quickly, but what it
32
    appears - and I put to you a summary that in September of
33
    2023, Nicola Jamieson - who's in your office, is that
34
    correct?---Was my executive assistant at that time.
35
36
    She is emailing you to inform you of a query that had come
37
    in from an audit director, Carly Marr?---Yes.
38
39
    Do you recall having this drawn to your attention? --- Yes, I
40
    do.
41
42
    And, Madam Associate, if you scroll down to the last of
43
    these emails, it indicates what the query was. So this is
44
    from Carly Marr to Leyla Nowbakht who - she had a financial
45
    role in your office?---Yes, as the CFO. Correct.
46
47
    5 September 2023:
48
49
          Hi Leyla,
50
51
          Hope that you are well. I just wanted to clarify
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               87
                             (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

something with you. When the Ombudsman travels internationally, is his travel approved by the 2 3 premier or by a parliamentary committee, as you don't have a minister? I just wanted to confirm. 5 6 So this is in September of 2023 and this request for 7 information or query that has been raised by Ms Marr is 8 brought to your attention. And the request is from "Our audit director, Carly Marr". Does that mean Carly Marr as 9 10 in your office, or she's in the auditor general's office and is attached to or - - -?---Correct. 11 So a - - -12 13 - - - assigned to you?---Yes, correct. Um, as part of the 14 annual audit, uh, auditors within the office of the auditor 15 general are assigned to us. And Carly Marr - who I don't 16 know - is a director of financial audits in the office of 17 the auditor general. 18 19 Okay. Now, Madam Associate, if I can draw Mr Field's 20 attention at page 183 in that same bundle, 0664. 21 seems to be later on that same day, Mr Field, 5 September. 22 And there's this email in the middle of that page from 23 Belinda West to Nicola Jamieson. And the subject is 24 "Request for information related to travel approval". 25 says: 26 27 Could you please provide this email to the Ombudsman? 28 29 Dear Ombudsman, 30 31 I have spoken to Carly Marr. 32 33 So this is Belinda West. Is that the person you took to mean had spoken to Carly Marr?---Yes, correct. 34 35 36 Carly indicated that she remembered a discussion in 37 21/22 about the approval process but I just wanted to 38 clarify. I discussed premier's circular 21 -39 40 Sorry, 2021 should be '22 -41 42 - with Carly and outlined that my understanding is 43 that the circular was silent on any approval process 44 for international travel by yourself. She then 45 stated that she remembered -46 47 Which I take to mean and did you take to mean that is "she" 48 as in Carly Marr remembered or - - -?---That is correct. 49 50 -- is said to have remembered the discussion from 21/2251 and confirmed that the circular was signed on this - she 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 88 Epiq (Public Hearing)

just - she stated that she was just checking if anything 2 had changed since 21/22. And so that - the in queries or queries from the auditor general's office in the past - so earlier than this email chain which is September 2023 - is that a reference to this checking whether anything had 6 changed since 21/22, i.e. had the auditor general's office 7 or someone from the auditor general's office contacted your 8 office in 21/22?---Yes. So in 21/22 there had been a query 9 as to who was signing my travel approvals. There was a 10 discussion that the premier's circular was in our view, Commissioner - I'm not saying it as objective fact but in 11 12 our view was silent on that matter, uh, uh, of approval by 13 myself and other officers of my type. Uh, and, uh, that 14 question was again raised for the most recent financial 15 audit 22/23. And on this occasion as indicated there, it 16 was asked at the direct request of the auditor-general 17 herself.

18 19

20

But as we've gone through, your notice of appointment in 2017 contains for the first time the premier's approval clause?---Yes.

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

And so this query being raised to the best of your recollection in 21/22 by the - someone from the auditor general's office had missed that point but was going to the point about the premier's circular and what it might say about approval processes for you?---Yes. It was exclusively focused on the issue of the premier's circular on travel.

29 30 31

32 33

34 35

36

And did that query resolve itself in any way? What happened to that?---Oh, it was resolved by - both in 21/22 and in relation to the, uh, query before you there in September '23 that the office of the auditor general agreed with my point. As I understand, the auditor general did personally because it certainly was not pursued as being a manner that wasn't compliant.

37 38 39

40

41 42

43

44

Thank you. Now, if - I'm just going to direct you to some budgetary process - - -?---Oh, and I should say, counsel, as a matter of completeness, um, in the formal, uh, financial audit for that year - which is of course published by the auditor general and in our own reporting no matter regarding compliance with the premier's circular was raised.

45 46 47

48

49

Commissioner, I'm intending now to put before Mr Field some budget papers which are part of the parliamentary record. Again, it's just to demonstrate some matters of process. If there's territory, Commissioner, that (inaudible)

straight into. I'll desist from that. But the first of 1 2 those pages is a page 185 from the bundle 0664. 3 4 Now, you like any agency or department of government have a 5 budget, obviously? --- Correct. 6 7 And part of parliamentary process is a budget estimates 8 process. You're very familiar with that?---That is 9 correct. 10 11 And what I'm showing you there is the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations. So that is 12 13 in effect your part of the budget papers for the last 14 financial year?---That is correct. 15 16 And these having headings in them. Without going through 17 every heading, there are - there's a section on 18 appropriations, expenses and cash assets which we see at 19 the front there. There's a section on resource agreements, 20 a section on asset investment programs, and critically a 21 section on financial statements? --- Correct. 22 23 And if we can go through to page 190, Madam Associate. 24 Now, just - that's the financial statements section. At 25 the very bottom there you'll see: 26 27 (a) Full audited financial statements are published 28 in the office's annual reports. 29 30 Now - - -?---Yes. 31 32 -- - I just put to you that's a fairly routine statement 33 in agency and departmental budget papers directing readers' 34 attention to further information that they might get from 35 annual reports?---That is correct. 36 37 And the budget estimates process - if I can take Mr Field, Madam Associate, to page 194 of 0664. And this is the 38 timetable for the 2023 Legislative Assembly Budget 39 40 Estimates Committee. So we'll see that you're in committee A up there at division 2, Parliamentary Commissioner for 41 42 Administrative Investigations? --- Correct. 43 44 And just essentially by way of confirming the process, what 45 happens is you and one or two members of your staff will 46 sit on the floor of parliament and you'll have what is 47 known as committee A of the budget estimates committee 48 which is going to be made up of the membership you - we see

50

49

there?---That is correct.

Usually that's three members of the government, three 1 2 members of the opposition and then a chair?---Correct. 3 4 And the purpose of that process is that you can be asked 5 any normal questions in any way relating to your budget? 6 ---That is exactly correct. 7 8 And - - -9 10 THE COMMISSIONER: With a very expansive view as to how it relates to the budget?---That is also exactly correct. 11 12 13 PORTER, MR: If I put it to you that the questions are 14 close to a free for all in terms of their breadth - - -? 15 --- I would say that that's exactly correct, without being 16 in any way disrespectful as an officer of the parliament. 17 18 And just exemplary of your unusual position, most or almost all agency and department heads as well as their staff 19 20 sitting with them will have sitting next to them their 21 minister, the minister for whom they are responsible?---All 22 is my understanding. 23 24 And I've looked on the website myself and refreshed myself 25 as to the process, but you will sit - sitting next to you will not be a minister but will actually be the Speaker of 26 27 the Legislative Assembly?---That is correct. 28 29 So it was Ms Michelle Roberts who was sitting next to you 30 at this particular - - -?---That is correct. 31 32 And for you, your division 2 will usually last about 30 minutes or so or - - -?---Correct. That's correct. 33 30 minutes for the speaker, 30 minutes for myself. 34 35 36 And generally speaking, the way in which members will 37 inform themselves about the questions to ask - which as 38 we've said could be very wide ranging. The budget papers and other documents including annual reports - - -?---That 39 40 is correct. 41 42 - - - that they may ask literally anything. And we've 43 confirmed that up until that question from Mr Shane Love, you've never been asked a question on your travel in any 44 45 parliamentary setting, including budget estimates?---That 46 is exactly correct. 47 48 And I put out - just taking you to it, I understand that 49 the streamlined budget process of incentive funding is 50 included in that document that I showed you which is your

10/04/24 Epiq FIELD, C.J.
(Public Hearing)

```
2
    specifically included and notated as such.
3
 4
    In fact, we might just go back to page 185,
5
    Madam Associate. Is that this 203,000 second from the
 6
    bottom?---That is completely correct.
7
8
    Is that correct? Was it 203,000 that was approved?---Ah, I
9
    think that is the - well, it - it was - certainly is the
10
    correct, ah, number.
11
12
    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                            It's rounded to the nearest - - -
13
14
    PORTER, MR:
                  It's rounded.
15
16
    Okay. I just want to take you now - - -
17
18
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                         The - - -
19
20
    PORTER, MR:
                  Thank you, Madam Associate - - -
21
22
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                         I may be reading the wrong thing, but
23
    the streamline budget process on the screen seems to say
24
    203,000.
25
26
    PORTER, MR:
                  Yes.
                        That's why I asked the - the question,
27
    Commissioner, because my recollection is the document that
28
    I'm now going to go to has - well, it might have been 230
29
    from recollection.
                        It was slightly different.
30
31
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                         Yes. Well, this was for the '22, '23
32
    budget, but you're going to take me to another document?
33
34
    PORTER, MR:
                  No, I think that, Commissioner, it is 203
35
    that was approved for the process, so I'll say in all
36
    likelihood that's the - the indicator?---Ah - ah, if it's
37
    of assistance to you, Commissioner, I'm sorry, cos it
38
    wasn't directly from a question, but my understanding is
39
    that is the amount, and of course it's for the 3, 4 budget
40
    year, and hence it appears in that line.
41
42
                  So I've just taken you to the streamlined
    PORTER, MR:
43
    budget process that you went through, and that's from the
44
    subject of previous questioning. Without bringing up the
45
    transcript, you were - on 14 February at transcript
46
    page 45, you were asked whether the streamlined budget
    process was the only submission that you had made directly
47
48
    related to IOI presidency travel, and it seems that that is
49
    the case, that otherwise outside of that 203,000, your
    funding for president's travel was coming from your
50
51
    domestic budget?---That is correct.
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               92
                             (Public Hearing)
    Epiq
```

budget papers for that year?---Absolutely. It was

```
1
 2
    And then I'm hoping, Madam Associate, to have on screen
    some transcripts from 15 February, which I think is 0402.
 3
 4
 5
    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                             What's the (indistinct)?
 6
 7
    PORTER, MR:
                 0041.
 8
                      074 - - -
 9
    THE ASSOCIATE:
10
11
    PORTER, MR:
                  0741. My apologies. 0741.
12
13
                         Sorry, are we looking for something?
    THE COMMISSIONER:
14
15
                   I - I - yes. I am, not very well.
                                                        0741 is
    PORTER, MR:
16
    your document designation.
                                 It's transcript from
17
    15 February.
18
19
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                         Right. Do you want that up?
20
21
    PORTER, MR: I - I do - if I can, Madam Associate?
22
23
    0741^
24
25
                  And page 22 of that transcript. Now, if we
    PORTER, MR:
26
    can just scroll down a bit further? And if we can scroll
27
    down further still? Okay. Stop. I'm just looking for a
28
    paragraph that begins:
29
30
          Would you agree that streamline budget process for
31
          all agencies is - - -
32
33
    THE ASSOCIATE: 22 (indistinct) - - -
34
35
    THE WITNESS:
                    I thought it was the immediate - just
36
    immediately above that page.
37
38
    PORTER, MR:
                   Page 22, line - - -
39
40
    THE ASSOCIATE:
                      43.
41
42
    PORTER, MR: Line 43.
                             Thank you.
43
44
    So I'm just - I'm - if you bear with me, Mr Field, I'm
45
    going to read four or five paragraphs because I want to put
46
    some alternative propositions to you, but you'll recall
47
    this line of questioning and answering where counsel
48
    assisting said:
49
50
          Would you agree that the streamline budget process
51
          for all agencies is - the purpose of it is for more
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                               93
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

certain financial management in the state rather than 1 2 as a procurement exercise for a particular project or 3 financial expenditure?---I can't say what's in the mind of the state government in relation to why they 5 undertake the streamline budget process, and that is 6 a matter of policy not for the ombudsman. Um, as to 7 the second proposition, I do see it as part of a -8 absolutely not. No. This is an absolutely 9 appropriate mechanism for which you could seek moneys 10 for procurement. 11 12 Then it's put to you: 13 14 So the streamline budget process, in your evidence, 15 is an appropriate mechanism for you to get approval 16 for a particular procurement exercise?---Ah, well, it 17 is - it is an appropriate process for me to seek 18 money for a service to which I - to which the 19 ombudsman was going to procure. Absolutely, yes. 20 21 It's then put to you: 22 23 But they're different things, aren't they, Mr Field? This is a process for the agency to get some 24 25 finances?---Yes. It's not a process for the agency 26 to get approval to undertake a particular procurement 27 exercise, is it? 28 29 That's a question: 30 31 Ah, I think the best way to answer that question is 32 to say an agency including the OWA - I should only 33 speak for the OWA, procures services under the 34 Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971, the Procurement 35 Act and the Procurement Rules. It can then use, as 36 an utterly appropriate mechanism to fund such a 37 procurement, the streamline budget process. 38 39 Then another question: 40 41 Did the streamline budget process, in also -42 43 - no, you answer: 44 45 Did the streamline budget process, in also providing 46 that funding to me, provide knowledge to the cabinet 47 government of this state an understanding of the, ah, 48 OECD project? And, in my view, it's imprimatur -49 50 - that word -51

10/04/24 Epiq FIELD, C.J.
(Public Hearing)

- yes, it did all those things.

And a question:

I'm just putting to you clearly just for the last for the last time that the streamline budget process is about obtaining some finances for the OWA, not about getting approval for a particular procurement exercise. It's an incentive scheme for the agency not to go back and ask for more money from government, isn't it, Mr Field?---Ah, so that is correct. The scheme itself, as I understand it - and as I say, it's a matter for government as to what their motivation is for that process, but as I understand it, it is a process that incentives you to seek a certain amount of money. It's a percentage of appropriation so you - you don't then go back and seek further moneys unless it's a new project.

Now, in - in a later point in - in questioning, Ms Nelson, counsel assisting, put to you at transcript 20 - page 25 - I don't need to go to it, but at page 25 Ms Nelson put to you:

It's not part of the SBP process to do that because it's not a merits-based review process of particular projects undertaken by agencies?---That's not my understanding at all. That's you - you're saying that.

Now, the streamline budget process, in that - those passages I've read to you are quite a few concepts I want to put to you first. And I - I just want to understand what is your understanding in your mind about the process and what you understood the process you were engaging in did or did not do, but you'll see one proposition that's been put to you by counsel assisting is that the streamline budget process is about obtaining some finances - or some finances for OWA. It's not about getting approval for a particular procurement exercise. Now, it seems that it's not in dispute from you that part of the streamline budget process is, as counsel assisting put, about obtaining finances for the office of Western Australia Ombudsman?---That is correct.

Okay. Now, it seems that you were also, ultimately, agreeing with the proposition that the streamline budget process is not about getting approval for a particular procurement exercise in the sense of it's not a process designed to give intimate detail of a particular

10/04/24 Epiq FIELD, C.J.
(Public Hearing)

procurement exercise, that that is something that occurs inside the procurement process itself?---That is correct.

2

5

6

7

8

9

1

Then you also put a proposition. I suggest you probably still agree with that proposition though that - that streamline budget process does provide information and knowledge to government about what it is the money is being sought for and what it is the money is being approved for?--Ah, yes. Not only do I agree with that process, but that's a fundamental part of the SBP, from my perspective.

10 11 12

13 14

15

So I'm just trying to explore what middle ground there might be between your language of it being an imprimatur or licence and another proposition, which might be described as it being a fairly routine process for the yearly appropriation of minor amounts of funding.

16 17 18

19

20

21

What do you say about the proposition that it's not a merits-based review process of particular projects?---Ah, well, it's not my understanding that for the SBP process that Treasury undertake a substantial, substantive meritbased assessment of a particular project.

222324

THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, could we have that answer again, please, Mr Porter?---The answer? Sorry, Commissioner.

252627

I just got a bit confused, so I want to be very clear what your position is.

282930

**PORTER, MR:** Why don't I put the question more clearly? ---Okay.

313233

34 35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44 45

46

47 48

It was put to you by counsel assisting that the SBP process, to do that - and it's not part of the SBP process to do that, because it's not a merits-based review process of particular projects undertaken by agencies. initial response to that - your response previously, was that is not my understanding at all, that's you, you're saying that. I'm asking you to expand, if you can, about what your response to a proposition that it's not a meritsbased process is?---Ah, so my - my understanding - and I can only go on what my understanding is, is that the, ah, I'm not aware that Treasury undertake a month-long exercise of going through a particular project as put by an agency. But I'm certainly of the understanding, um, that there is absolutely no chance whatsoever that Treasury in their work and advice to the SBP, nor - and SBP just being a subcommittee of Cabinet, would approve a project that isn't merited, they would simply disallow it.

```
1
    THE COMMISSIONER: It isn't - - -?---They would simply
 2
    disallow the project.
 3
 4
    No, I missed the word, that isn't - - -
 5
 6
    PORTER, MR: Merited?---That isn't merited.
 7
 8
    THE COMMISSIONER:
                        Merited, thank you?---I'm sorry
 9
    Commissioner, isn't merited, yes.
10
11
    Thank you?---And that's what I meant by that answer, and
12
    I'm sorry.
13
14
                  Perhaps if I can put this more simply. Can
    PORTER, MR:
15
    you just answer anything in your description to the
16
    streamlined budget process, and expect that you will be
17
    successful?---Oh, no, absolutely not. If you ask for
18
    anything, you can expect to be unsuccessful.
19
20
    And I'll come to this in a moment, but there are certain
21
    procedural prohibitions and things that you cannot ask for,
22
    and that funding won't be granted for, you're aware of
23
    that?---Correct.
24
25
    And what are they?---Ah, such matters as recurrent funding,
26
    if a matter is - is going to go over multiple fiscal years,
27
    that is something that isn't included in the SBP process.
28
29
    And I don't want to be flippant about this, but if you put
30
    in a generic description of money for ongoing operations,
31
    what would your expectation be the response?---That that
    would be rejected.
32
33
34
    So, your understanding is that you are required to describe
35
    what it is that the money you seek is to be spent upon?
36
    ---Ah, you are required to describe, ah, that which the
37
    money would be spent upon. It has to be discrete,
38
    understandable, achievable, and of merit. And if it isn't,
    it's rejected, that's my understanding.
39
40
41
    When you say 'of merit', I think counsel assisting was
    putting to you that this is not a procurement exercise,
42
43
    where you are going through a range of qualitive
44
    evaluations of a project, including things such as need,
45
    value for money and so forth?---Correct.
46
47
    Now, you would accept it's not that sort of a process?
48
    ---No, it's obtaining the money so you may potentially go
49
    through a procurement process.
50
```

10/04/24 Epiq FIELD, C.J.
(Public Hearing)

But as a first gateway, if the description is something that the decision-maker does not at first instance consider is an appropriate spend of money, you might expect that you will not be approved that money?---Oh, not only might 5 expect, I believe it certainly would be rejected. 6 7 Are you aware of streamlined budget proposals that don't 8 hit a state of prohibition nevertheless being rejected? ---Ah, to my understand it's - I don't know how typical, 9 10 but it's certainly the case that SBPs are rejected. 11 12 And so with that transcript, Madam Associate, if we could 13 go to page 29? 14 15 THE ASSOCIATE: Sorry, 29? 16 17 PORTER, MR: Yes, thank you. So, you'll see there that -18 is it clear, is it, around about lines 28 and 29: 19 20 The submission that was made and approved was funding 21 for, well, in my mind, funding for an OECD project, 22 ah, the sister state, and my travel as president. 23 24 Air travel that you took internationally as 25 president?---Yes, in that SBP that was, ah, provided 26 to Parliament - sorry, Cabinet, correct. 27 28 So, is it your evidence that you considered that you were 29 providing that information as to what the money would be spent on, and that there was at least an approval for the 30 31 expenditure of the allocated funds on those things? --- Oh, I 32 took that as a very clear approval. 33 34 But you also accept the proposition that appropriation and 35 procurement are two very different things?---Ah, yes 36 counsel, and to be very specific about that, um, ah, ah, 37 the procurement process, which is an entirely separate 38 process to the SBP, could not have been undertaken unless there'd been an allocation of funding which allowed the 39

40 41 42

If we could go to transcript page 68 of this same day, line 50 and on.

43 44 45

THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry?

procurement to take place.

46

47 **PORTER, MR:** Line 50. 48 **THE COMMISSIONER:** 5-0?

49

10/04/24 Epiq FIELD, C.J.
(Public Hearing)

**PORTER, MR:** Yes, transcript page 68. There was this exchange, this is with the Commissioner. The Commissioner put to you:

It doesn't tell whoever is reading it anything about
this project?---Well - - -

Which is why I suspect counsel is putting to you and you're free to object, that appropriation and procurement are two different things?---Sorry, Commissioner. The SBP was attempting to do - well, it was my intention in those SBP wording, um, to do those things. First of all, that it was a finite project, and travel costs for my role as president in the International Ombudsman Institute, so the travel costs, the one component of the SBP. Ah, the second was costs associated with the sister state, but as an MoU, by proper terminology, um, with - and it shouldn't be Graz, that of course is the capital city of Styria, ah, and Western Australia. Um, third, (indistinct) advanced negotiation of a major OECD project. So, what this is saying to Cabinet is, 'Could you please give us 203,000, of which that will be apportioned to a major OECD project and those two other components.'

Now, you recall that exchange?---I do.

The first part of that exchange, where the Commissioner put to you:

It doesn't - well, tell whoever is reading it anything about this project.

Now, this was all questioning in the context of the OECD project?---Yes.

Now, you would accept, I think, that it provides the SBP description limited - very limited, information about the nature of the OECD project?---Yes.

I'll just pause there and take you to, briefly, some documents about the streamlined budget process itself. And this is the streamlined budget process agency guide October 2022. So, this is at page 195, Madam Associate, of 0664<sup>^</sup>.

0664^

PORTER, MR: Now, at page 199, it's said there that the intent of the streamlined budget process is to focus the expenditure of you, committee and Cabinet throughout the 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 99
Epiq (Public Hearing)

budget process on considerations that materially impact the State's finances. You see that?---Yes, I do.

Were you aware of this handbook or - - -?---Yes, I am.

Then it says - - -

THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, just for precision, the question is "Were you aware of it" and you say, "Yes, I am"?---Yes, I was.

Thank you?---I'm sorry, Commissioner. I should have at least learned tenses in law school.

PORTER, MR: Now, on page - sorry, I'll just stay there.

Agencies participating in the SBP that subsequently raise a funding request during the budget process or budget year with the exception of an election commitment will be required to return all incentives received as part of the SBP. This occurs irrespective of whether the request is approved or not, consistent with the intent of the SBP to reduce the volume of funding requests.

So I just put to you that generally speaking, what the process is designed to do and what is meant by focusing the expenditure review committee of Cabinet on - throughout the budget process on considerations that materially impact the budget is that they are trying to have fewer applications for new funding from agencies and department throughout the budget process in the lead-up to a state budget. Would you agree?---That is exactly my understanding.

And one of the mechanisms that has been engaged in to focus that attention is the - your inability to receive this streamline budget funding, but then if you later go back and ask for separate line items of funding in addition to that, you're required to give back what you got during the streamline budget process whether or not your new request is acceded to?---Yes. The - the streamline budget process is predicated on the fact that you will not make, ah, other, uh, budgetary submissions unless it was a, uh, new policy, ah, requirement, um, from government which they'd otherwise asked you to incur costs to achieve.

And then at page 200 of these documents, Madam Associate, there's the - at the bottom there there are three dot points which effectively set out what you can't receive or ask for money in regards to. Cannot be allocated to expenses that create ongoing commitments and must be 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 100 Epiq (Public Hearing)

```
committed to expenditure in the budget year. Will not be
 2
    utilised to create ongoing expenditure obligations.
    have an expense allocation attached to the funding
 3
    request?---Correct.
 5
 6
    And I think from recollection, the initial version of your
 7
    SBP request for this year had a request for FTEs, for
    salaries in that - - -?---Yes, correct.
 8
                                              That's correct.
 9
10
    Which would have been outside - - -?---Yes.
                                                  And - and -
    and these criteria do change from year to year.
11
    previous years, FTV - FTEs had been allowable, but for this
12
13
    particular fiscal year FTEs were not included.
14
15
    Can I just pause there? The purpose of the process is to
16
    allow greater focus on a lesser number of applications for
17
    funding during the budget process proper, so in the lead up
    to the actual delivery of a state budget. You agree?---
18
19
    That is my understanding.
20
21
    But it's not therefore the case that applications through
22
    the streamline budget process are not scrutinised?---It has
23
    always been my understanding, uh, and I could be wrong.
24
    It's what's been my understanding, um, is that streamlined
25
    budget process applications are properly and fully
26
    scrutinised. I mean, Treasury doesn't give you money for
27
    nothing.
28
29
    Now, if I can just take Mr Field, Madam Associate, to the
30
    expenditure review committee handbook which commences at
31
    page 204 of the documents in bundle 0664. Now, this is the
32
    handbook from March 2021. So on my assessment of the
33
    websites, this is the handbook as it was relevant to the
34
    period that we're now discussing? --- Correct.
35
36
    It notes there at the front that - were you familiar with
37
    this handbook at the time? --- I was.
38
39
    It states that the fund - that high standards are
40
    maintained?---Yes.
41
42
    Submissions that do not comply with the required standards
43
    outlined in the handbook will not be accepted? --- Correct.
44
45
    And at page 5 of this document which is page 207 of the
46
    bundle, Madam Associate - this - this handbook sets out the
    entire ERC process but it's - - -?---It does.
47
48
    voluminous, yes.
49
50
    It nominates who the voting members of the ERC are. It
    says that they can vary but currently comprise as the
51
    10/04/24
                            FIELD, C.J.
                                                             101
    Epiq
                             (Public Hearing)
```

premier and treasurer, minister for public sector 2 management who is the chair, and it goes down the list there. Deputy premier?---Yes, counsel. Correct. 3 5 And then at page 15 of the document - 218, Madam Associate, 6 of the bundle - there's a provision there about the 7 treasurer's delegated authority. 8 9 Where Cabinet has delegated authority to the 10 treasurer to make decisions on submissions that have maintained a net debt - that have a net debt impact 11 12 across the forward estimates of five million or less 13 or contain genuine parameter adjustments. 14 15 Sorry, we need to scroll down there to 3.4, 16 Madam Associate. There it is there. And that indicates 17 that the process in place at the time was that if moneys are being approved for less than five million that there 18 19 was a delegated authority to the treasurer so the treasurer 20 could appoint that without a full meeting of ERC. 21 you agree with that proposal?---That is correct. 22 indeed, my office has utilised that process over the years. 23 24 And then 3.7, which is on page 220, Madam Associate. It 25 talks about ERC meetings. Consistent with that treasurer's delegated authority amount of five million, the streamline 26 27 budget process application that you provided would not necessarily have - or likely did not go to a full ERC 28 29 meeting because it could be determined by the treasurer 30 Is that your understanding?---It could be is my 31 understanding. 32 Did you know whether your - - -?---I - - -33 34 35 - - - SBP went to a full ERC?---No, I do not know that. 36 37 But based on this process, at least would have required the 38 consideration or determination of decision making by the treasurer under their delegated authority at the time? 39 40 --- That is correct. Well, that is my understanding. 41 And ERC is a subcommittee of Cabinet. That is correct? 42 43 ---That is correct. 44 45 And so whether it was approved in a full ERC meeting or 46 under the treasurer's delegated authority by the treasurer 47 alone, it ultimately is an approval that is made by 48 Cabinet?---That is absolutely correct. 49 50 And ERC meetings occur monthly. (Inaudible) indicated that 51 3.6 of this document. No need to go through it but does 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 102

(Public Hearing)

Epiq

that accord with your understanding?---That accords with my 2 understanding. 3 And the treasurer at the time, Mr McGowan, was premier and 5 treasurer at the time?---Ah, yes. Correct. 6 7 So, Madam Associate, if we could go here now to Commission 8 document reference 0156, which should be the SBP document 9 itself. 10 11 0156^ 12 13 All right. Now, I want to go through this in some broken 14 down steps. That is a certification dated 1 February 2023 15 that contains your signature, Mr Field?---Correct. 16 17 And that certifies that you are seeking to participate in 18 the 23/24 streamline budget process?---Correct. 19 20 And that you are making that promise that is set out in the 21 SBP manual that you're not going to raise further funding 22 requests during the 23/34 budget process?---That is also 23 correct. 24 25 And then it says: 26 27 Through undertaking, this commitment is understood. 28 29 That's you are understanding the treasury will receive on 30 the agency's behalf an increase in cash service 31 appropriation of 2 per cent?---Correct. 32 And that this incentive funding is to be allocated to non-33 salaries recurrent expenditure in 23/24?---Correct. 34 35 36 It will not be utilised to create ongoing spending 37 obligations in recognition of its finite nature, correct? 38 ---Correct. 39 40 As the expense allocation attached. So, something has to be attached to this document to get your 2 per cent of cash 41 service appropriation, which in this case totals \$203,000? 42 43 ---That's correct. 44 45 So, you can't just hand in this form and just get 2 per 46 cent as a figure, because it is 2 per cent, if I put it 47 that way?---Oh, absolutely not. 48 49 And then it's agreed that where a funding request is 50 submitted in the 23/24 - after incentive funding has been 51 provided to and allocated by the agency, all incentive 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 103 Epiq (Public Hearing)

funding will be required to be returned to the consolidated account, and any whole of government savings measures retrospectively apply. Which is an undertaking that you are signing to note that you understand that if you then go and make a budget submission, that you will have to hand back your 2 per cent if you have been allocated?---That is correct.

And then if we could just scroll down. Now, there is our \$203,000 figure in the right column. Now, this is the attached document that we've just had referred to in your certification?---That is correct, counsel.

And if you handed in the streamlined budget process without this attached document and you simply were seeking 2 per cent of your cash appropriations, what would you expect would happen?---Oh, well, it wouldn't even be accepted, it certainly would be rejected.

Because high standards need to be maintained procedurally? ---Correct.

So, we'll see there that the Parliamentary Commissioner - so first of all, there's the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations. There is Ministerial consideration of the expenditure items related to the 23/24 streamlined budget process incentive funding is requested for the financial adjustment listed below as entered into the Strategic Information Management System (SIMS). And then you're allocated a SIMS number. Do you know what that is?---Ah, it's the whole of government financial database system that we and other agencies use.

And then we have the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administrative Investigations (Ombudsman), incentive funding of \$203,000 is proposed to be allocated to the expenditure items listed in the table below. So, that is you informing the decision maker that you are proposing to spend, if allocated \$203,000, on the expenditure items listed in the table below, correct?---That is correct.

And the name of the expenditure in the left-hand column is designated as services and contracts expenses?---Correct.

So, by this point, reading down, the decision maker knows that you are seeking \$203,000 for expenditure which is named 'services and contracts expenses', correct?---Ah, correct.

And then we go into the text of the description of the expenditure, and it starts with finite project and travel 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 104
Epiq (Public Hearing)

costs. So, the decision maker is now possessing knowledge that you are seeking services and contract expenses for project and travel expenses?---Correct.

For that year, 23/24, and that it's finite, correct? ---Correct.

Then we read down further:

Arising from the Ombudsman's election as President of the International Ombudsman Institute (IOI).

Yes?---Correct.

So at this point, reading downwards, the decision maker is now aware that you are seeking \$203,000 for services and contracts expenses, for project and travel expenses arising from the OWA election as president of the IOI, correct?
---That is correct.

It then goes on to describe the fixed term which expires in May '24, that it's a global organisation, and so forth. It then describes the role, which is the president of the IOI, involves engagement with presidents and speakers, and the OECD and so forth. It says there that the Ombudsman is highly focused on advancing Western Australia's trade and other bilateral and multilateral interests, particularly in the Asian region, correct?---Correct.

So, reading down, the decision maker now has knowledge and understanding that services and contract expenses of \$203,000 are being sought for project and travel expenses, specifically arising from the Western Australian Ombudsman's election as president of the IOI, in circumstances where the IOI president is also the Ombudsman of Western Australia, is travelling.

Travelling as president of a global organisation for cooperation of more than 205 institutions for more than 100 countries, and is travelling to meet people such as presidents, prime ministers, speaker of parliament, secretary-generals of organisations like the OECD, ambassadors to the UN. And as part of that travel, has a focus on advancing WA's trade and other bilateral and multilateral interests.

That's what the decision maker is necessarily, by plain English, aware of at this point in time, reading downwards?---That was my intention, and that is correct.

And then finally it says:

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 105
Epiq (Public Hearing)

1 2

3 4 5 The presidency has already resulted in well advanced negotiations for a major OECD project in the Asian region, and a sister state relationship with Graz targeted towards new energy technology, a current and future imperative for Western Australia.

6 7

That's what it says?---Yes.

8 9 10

1112

13

Now, you would accept, as has been put to you, I take it, by counsel assisting, that that does not provide in depth information about an OECD project, whether complete or nascent, which you would expect in a procurement document, you would accept that?---Ah, I would exactly accept that.

14 15 16

17

18 19 And you would accept that it only provides the barest information about a sister state relationship, and indeed nominates the capital city rather than the province that it was envisaged would be the subject of the sister state relationship?---Ah, correct, and - - -

202122

So, it actually contains a mistake?---And in fact, it - that reference there is an error, and it's my error alone.

232425

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

But ultimately, as a matter of plain English, at the very minimum, the decision maker, having read the name of the expenditure and the description of the expenditure, and the amount, is aware that they are about to approve \$203,000 for services and contracts expenses, which are specifically for projects and travel expenses, that these projects and travel expenses will arise from the Ombudsman's election as president of the IOI, in circumstances where the IOI president, who is also concurrently the Ombudsman of Western Australia, is travelling, as president of a global organisation for cooperation of more than 205 institutions from more than 100 countries, is travelling to meet people such as presidents, prime ministers, speakers of parliament, secretary-generals of organisations such as the OECD, UN and ambassadors, and as part of the travel has a focus on advancing WA's trade and other bilateral and multilateral interests, and that at least they are aware that two projects related to that travel, and ongoing travel are well advanced in a negotiation phase, one for a major OECD project in the Asian region, and one for a sister state relationship, which is nominated there as being with Graz, which is a city, not a state. So, that is what is in front of the decision maker at that point?---It is what I intended to put before the decision maker, and it is what was at that time before the decision-maker.

49 50 51

And we know from the SBP handbook and from the ERC - the 10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 106 Epiq (Public Hearing)

expenditure review committee handbook, that the minimum 2 decision maker here under delegated authority must have been the Treasurer?---At a minimum. 3 5 Who was also the Premier at the time, Mark McGown? 6 ---Correct. That is correct. 7 8 So, for this money to be approved, Mark McGowan, the Premier and Treasurer at the time, knew all of these things 9 10 that I have just put to you and made the positive decision to provide that money?---That is exactly correct. 11 12 course, coincidentally, I had also been informing his Director-General, chief of staff, and a multitude of others 13 of exactly those matters. 14 15 16 When you say 'exactly those matters', certainly the sister 17 state relationship was the subject of a great deal of 18 information?---Yes, and there were discussions about the OECD project. For reasons I've indicated, they simply 19 20 weren't as voluminous because they didn't need to be. 21 22 And in your experience of 17 years in the senior echelons 23 of the public sector, if the decision maker, in this case, 24 the Premier and treasurer, did not think that it was a good idea or in the interest of the public finances of Western 25 Australia to approve \$203,000 for the purposes set out in 26 27 that description of expenditure, what would have been the 28 response to your application for those funds? --- No. 29 30 Now, with respect to the - I'm just going to go to the OECD agreement in particular now, and I'm - Madam Associate, if 31 32 you're - - -33 34 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, if the - if you're going to that, 35 we might do that in the morning, because I imagine that 36 will take a while. 37 38 PORTER, MR: I probably have an hour to an hour-and-a-half, possibly in the (indistinct) two hours to 39 40 go, I'd say, Commissioner. 41 42 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, we can stop here, but I will see 43 counsel briefly outside just to talk about that very thing. Well, as you're about to move onto a significant topic, we 44 45 will adjourn till tomorrow morning at 9.45. 46 47 (THE WITNESS WITHDREW) 48 49 AT 4.20 PM THE MATTER WAS ADJOURNED UNTIL 50 THURSDAY, 11 APRIL 2024

10/04/24 FIELD, C.J. 107 Epiq (Public Hearing)

## Certificate Made Under Section 50A of the Evidence Act 1906

The transcript of CHRISTOPHER JAMES FIELD heard on Wednesday, 10 April 2024:

was made in good faith and, subject to any qualification referred to below, is correct, accurate and complete transcription of the contents of the recording;

was produced from recordings that were suitable for making an accurate and complete transcript except where otherwise stated in the body of the transcript. Any "indistinct" or "inaudible" or other notations indicating difficulty with the transcription contained within the transcript refers to those parts of the proceedings that could not be accurately transcribed due to speech clarity, recording quality or other factors impacting word intelligibility.

Certified on this 10th day of April 2024 by: Chris Millward, Hannah Wood & Melissa Cain

Full Name: Chris Millward, Hannah Wood & Melissa Cain

Occupation: Transcribers and officers of the Commission under the Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003 ss 182, 3 who have taken an oath before the Commissioner.

Signature: CHRIS MILLWARD HANNAH WOOD MELISSA CAIN

Epiq Australia Level 9 16 St Georges Terrace Perth WA 6000